

RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions
- TPSGC
11 Laurier St. / 11 rue Laurier
Place du Portage, Phase III
Core 0B2/Noyau 0B2
Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5
Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires
THIS PROCUREMENT CONTAINS A SECURITY
REQUIREMENT

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
Consultant Services Division/Division des services
d'experts-conseils
11 Laurier St./11 Rue Laurier
3C2, Place du Portage
Phase III
Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet Env. Rehab. & Base Bldg. Upgrade	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EP775-142668/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 002
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 20142668	Date 2014-12-15
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$FE-171-66088	
File No. - N° de dossier fe171.EP775-142668	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2015-01-09	
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Searchwell, Suzette	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur fe171
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 956-6645 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (819) 956-8335
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: Public Works and Government Services Canada Postal Station "B" Building 59 Sparks Street Ottawa, Ontario	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

The following changes in the Request for Proposal document are effective immediately. This amendment will form part of the contract documents.

A) EXTENSION OF TIME

Receipt of proposals is hereby postponed from "Friday, December 19, 2014 at 2:00pm" to "Friday, January 9, 2015 at 2:00pm".

B) SUPPLEMENTARY CONDITIONS (SC)

SC1 SECURITY REQUIREMENT

DELETE:

The following security clauses apply to Prime Consultants, Sub-Consultants/ Specialist and each entity of Joint Ventures. In the case of Joint Ventures, each entity must have document safeguarding.

REPLACE WITH:

The following security clauses apply to Prime Consultants, Sub-Consultants/ Specialist and each entity of Joint Ventures.

Document Safeguarding only applies to the Prime Consultant and each entity of a Joint Venture.

C) PROJECT BRIEF

1) PD 2.2 Cost

.1 **DELETE** the word "seismic" throughout this section.

.2 **REPLACE WITH** "seismic and structural".

2) PD 2.4 Project Overview

.1 **DELETE** the word "seismic" in this section.

.2 **REPLACE WITH** "seismic and structural".

3) PD 2.5.1 Schematic Design Update

.1 **DELETE** the word “seismic”.

.2 REPLACE with “structural seismic”.

4) PD 2.6.2 Seismic Upgrading

.1 **DELETE** section in its entirety.

.2 **REPLACE WITH:**

“ _____ PD 2.6.2 Seismic and structural Upgrading

A detailed structural seismic assessment of the building was completed in 2014 (*Structural Seismic Assessment of Postal Station “B” Building, Dessau, Jul 2014*) to verify the seismic and structural capacity of the building and compliance to the requirements of the National Building Code of Canada and the PWGSC Seismic Policy. Seismic and structural upgrading of the building will be required to meet NBCC and PWGSC Policy Requirements, which will be need to be designed and implemented on a floor by floor basis in a partially occupied building.”

5) PD3.1 Project and Building History

3.1.1 Major Renovations and Recent Reports

.1 **DELETE** the following:

“**2014:** A detailed seismic assessment of the building was completed by Dessau to verify the seismic capacity of the building and compliance to the seismic requirements of the National Building Code of Canada and the PWGSC Seismic Policy. (*Structural Seismic Assessment of Postal Station “B” Building, Dessau, Aug 2014*).”

.2 **REPLACE** with:

“**2014:** A detailed structural seismic assessment of the building was completed by Dessau to verify the seismic and structural capacity of the building and compliance to the requirements of the National Building Code of Canada and the PWGSC Seismic Policy. (*Structural Seismic Assessment of Postal Station “B” Building, Dessau, Jul 2014*).”

6) PD 5.8 Temporary Work

.1 **DELETE** the following:

“The Consultant, in consultation with the CM, will be required to identify and design temporary architectural, structural, mechanical, communication, electrical and fire protection requirements related to the abatement and demolition tender packages and for the transitional periods between tender packages.”

.2 REPLACE with:

“The Consultant, in consultation with the CM and the Environmental Engineering Services Consultant, will be required to identify and design temporary architectural, structural, mechanical, communication, electrical and fire protection requirements related to the abatement and demolition tender packages and for the transitional periods between tender packages.”

.3 ADD the following:

“vii. Mechanical modifications to the main return air duct of the Air Handling Unit as specified by the Environmental Engineering Services Consultant for asbestos abatement activities.”

7) PD 5.17 Structural/Seismic**.1 ADD the following:**

“vi. Structural upgrading of the building structure to meet the structural load requirements of the National Building Code of Canada.”

8) RS 1.1 Schematic Design Overview and Intent**.1 DELETE the following:**

“This includes review and validation of the findings from the seismic assessment report prepared by Dessau in 2014 (*Structural Seismic Assessment of Postal Station “B” Building, Dessau, Jul 2014*).”

.2 REPLACE with:

“This includes review and validation of the findings from the structural seismic assessment report prepared by Dessau in 2014 (*Structural Seismic Assessment of Postal Station “B” Building, Dessau, Jul 2014*).”

9) RS 1.2 Activities**.1 DELETE the following:**

“ix. Review the structural seismic assessment report by Dessau (*Structural Seismic Assessment of Postal Station “B” Building, Dessau, Jul 2014*). Provide a summary level assessment of the analysis, results and conclusions in the Schematic Design Update Report, and outline the methodology for additional seismic and structural analyses to complete the updated schematic design. Present this methodology at a separate meeting on this subject. Upon DR approval, commence seismic and structural analysis required for schematic design update.”

.2 REPLACE with:

“ix. Review the structural seismic assessment report by Dessau (*Structural Seismic Assessment of Postal Station “B” Building, Dessau, Jul 2014*). Provide a summary level assessment of the analysis, results and conclusions in the Schematic Design Update Report, and outline the methodology for additional seismic and structural analyses to complete the updated schematic design. Present this methodology at a separate meeting on this subject. Upon DR approval, commence seismic and structural analysis required for schematic design update.”

10) RS 1.2 Activities**.1 DELETE the following:**

“xiii. Based on the review of existing conditions, review of existing seismic and structural analyses, the outcome of the Field Inspection and Investigation and the Materials Testing Plans, and additional seismic and structural analyses, develop an updated schematic design to upgrade the building structure to a minimum of 60% of the NBCC 2010 seismic demand and to meet NBCC structural loading requirements. Intent for seismic upgrading is to achieve the maximum level that is reasonably practical within the project constraints, with a minimum of 60% reliability level. Consultant shall use site-specific seismic hazard data in their seismic analyses. Updated schematic design to include: schematic drawings and details for options indicating existing construction modifications and new structural systems. The updated seismic and structural schematic design is to include an implementation strategy which takes into consideration project objectives and constraints, and an assessment of costs, schedule and risks. Also included is an implementation strategy for the seismic restraint of Operational and Functional Components (OFCs) using as reference the *CAN/CSA Standard S836-06 Seismic Risk Reduction of Operational and Functional Components (OFCs) of Buildings*. The implementation strategy for OFCs is to focus only on those building elements that are planned to remain as part of the Program of Works, including all exterior masonry walls and parapets, all interior partitions, remaining OFCs in the basement area and ground floor.”

.2 REPLACE with:

“xiii. Based on the review of existing conditions, review of existing seismic and structural analyses, the outcome of the Field Inspection and Investigation and the Materials Testing Plans, and additional seismic and structural analyses, develop an updated schematic design to upgrade the building structure to a minimum of 60% of the NBCC 2010 seismic demand and to meet NBCC structural loading requirements. Intent for seismic upgrading is to achieve the maximum level that is reasonably practical within the project constraints, with a minimum of 60% reliability level. Consultant shall use site-specific seismic hazard data in their seismic analyses to reflect upcoming revisions to the NBCC 2010 (i.e. NBCC 2015).

Consultant shall adopt NBCC 2015 seismic requirements in their analysis and design in accordance with the official release of the NBCC 2015. Updated schematic design to include: schematic drawings and details for options indicating existing construction modifications and new structural systems. The updated seismic and structural schematic design is to include an implementation strategy which takes into consideration project objectives and constraints, and an assessment of costs, schedule and risks. Also included is an implementation strategy for the seismic restraint of Operational and Functional Components (OFCs) using as reference the *CAN/CSA Standard S836-06 Seismic Risk Reduction of Operational and Functional Components (OFCs) of Buildings*. The implementation strategy for OFCs is to focus only on those building elements that are planned to remain as part of the Program of Works, including all exterior masonry walls and parapets, all interior partitions, remaining OFCs in the basement area and ground floor.;

11) RS 1.3 Deliverables

- .1 **DELETE** the word “seismic upgrade”.
- .2 **REPLACE WITH** “seismic and structural upgrade”

12) RS 1.3.1 Schematic Design Update Report, section B. Schematic Design Review

- .1 **DELETE** the following:
 - “v. Summary assessment of the analysis, results and conclusions of the structural and seismic assessment by others. Methodology and results on additional seismic and structural analysis to complete the schematic design.”
- .2 **REPLACE** with:
 - “v. Summary assessment of the analysis, results and conclusions of the structural and seismic assessment by others. Methodology and results on additional seismic and structural analysis to complete the schematic design.

13) RS 1.3.1 Schematic Design Update Report, section C. Implementation Strategy

- .1 **DELETE** the following:
 - “v. Integration of seismic upgrading; and”
- .2 **REPLACE** with:
 - “v. Integration of seismic and structural upgrading; and”

14) RS1.3.2 Abatement and Demolition**.1 ADD** the following:

“iii. f. Mechanical modifications to the main return air duct of the Air Handling Unit as specified by the Environmental Engineering Services Consultant for asbestos abatement activities.”

15) RS 2.3.3.7 Structural/Seismic**.1 DELETE** the following:

“Seismic loads based on the optimal reliability level for upgrading established in the updated seismic schematic design;”

.2 REPLACE with:

“Seismic loads based on the optimal reliability level for upgrading established in the updated seismic schematic design and the use of site-specific seismic hazard data and leveraging NBCC 2015 release;”

.3 ADD the following:

“xiii. e. Structural upgrading of the building structure to meet the structural load requirements of the National Building Code of Canada.”

16) RS 10.1.1 Updated Schematic Design Report and Design Development Report**.1 DELETE** the word “seismic”.**.2 REPLACE** with “seismic and structural upgrades”

The following is in response to inquiries received in relation to this solicitation.

Question 1

SC1.1 Security Requirement states: “The Consultant personnel requiring access to CLASSIFIED information, assets or sensitive work site(s) must EACH hold a valid personnel security screening at the level of SECRET, granted or approved by the CISD, PWGSC. Until the security screening of the Consultant personnel required by this Contract has been completed satisfactorily by the CISD, PWGSC, the Consultant personnel MAY NOT HAVE ACCESS to CLASSIFIED information or assets, and MAY NOT ENTER site where such information or assets are kept, without an escort.”

-
- A) Please clarify if the requirement to have SECRET level clearance applies to all subconsultants, or only those required to access the interior of the building?
- B) If some subconsultants/subconsultant personnel do not have SECRET level clearance at the time of project award, will this jeopardize the winning Prime Consultants ability to finalize the contract?
- C) If some subconsultants/subconsultant personnel do not have SECRET level clearance at the time of project start-up will they be able to perform their work as long as they have "an escort" until they are able to complete attaining the SECRET level clearance?

Response 1

- A) SECRET level clearance applies to all subconsultants
- B) The Prime Consultant and all Key Subconsultants/Specialists identified in Appendix A must have Secret clearance before contract award. Refer to amendment 001 item 2.
- C) The Prime Consultant and all Key Subconsultants/Specialists identified in Appendix A must have Secret clearance before contract award. Refer to amendment 001 item 2.

Question 2

- A) Will Prime Consultants, and Sub-Consultants/Specialists with the "Facility Security Clearance at the Secret Level", but without the approved "Document Safeguarding Capability at the Secret Level" be disqualified in the Phase One and Phase Two evaluation process?
- B) Will Sub-Consultants/Specialists without the "Facility Security Clearance at the Secret Level", and without the approved "Document Safeguarding Capability at the Secret Level" be disqualified in the Phase One and Phase Two evaluation process?

Response 2

- A) Refer to item B above, addendum 001 item 2 and Project Brief, PD 2.3 Estimated Consultant Contract Award.
- B) Refer to item B above, addendum 001 item 2 and Project Brief, PD 2.3 Estimated Consultant Contract Award.

Question 3

- A) In SC1 SECURITY REQUIREMENT, is it required that in addition to the Prime Consultant (Proponent), each Sub-Consultant and Specialist also possess approved Document Safeguarding at the level of SECRET? Or is it sufficient that Document Safeguarding is held by the Prime consultant only?

-
- B) In SC 1, are we permitted to name key personnel who have received Secret or Top Secret Clearance, but whose "Updated Required" date has been passed, with the renewal process currently underway?
- C) In SC B, are we permitted to name key personnel whose initial Security Clearance screening is in process?

Response 3

- A) Refer to item B above
- B) Yes, refer to addendum 001 item 2, and Project Brief, PD 2.3 Estimated Consultant Contract Award.
- C) Yes, refer to addendum 001 item 2 and Project Brief, PD 2.3 Estimated Consultant Contract Award.

Question 4

The Phase One submission (maximum of thirty pages) is required to include three projects for the proponent and three projects for each of the six key subconsultants (ie. 21 projects in total plus overviews of the each firm's knowledge/achievements), and resumes for key personnel for the proponents and each of the key subconsultants (approx. 16 resumes anticipated). Can the page limit for the Phase One submission be increased?

Response 4

No

Question 5

In the interest of a collaborative team effort, would PWGSC consider having both the hazardous materials and geotechnical engineering consultant as part of the team. Having these individuals separately contracted can result in challenges with respect to schedule and cost.

Response 5

No

Question 6

- A) Is the requirement for Facility Security Clearance at the level of Secret required for the Conservation Architect and Masonry Conservator as these

sub-consultants, by the nature of their work, are not typically privy to secret information?

- B) Page 22 of the RFP, Appendix B – Declaration/Certifications Form is blank. Is this intentional or can proponents remove it when filling out the form?
- C) Can PWGSC advise proponents on what is expected to be submitted under 3.1.4 Code of Conduct Certifications Under the Mandatory Requirements of the Proposal?
- D) Appendix F is not listed under the items that do not count towards the page count on pages 2-3 of the SRE. Please confirm that Appendix F will not count?
- E) Similar to Appendix A, are proponents allowed to format Appendix F into our submissions, so long as no information is added or removed and the format is unaltered?
- F) Please confirm that proponents are to include all front pages of the RFP and subsequent addenda with the Mandatory Requirements?

Response 6

- A) Refer to item B above, amendment 001, item 2 and Project Brief, PD 2.3 Estimated Consultant Contract Award.
- B) Page 22 can be removed
- C) Refer to R1110T (2014-09-25) - General Instructions - R1110T GI1 (2014-09-25) Integrity Provisions - Proposal, sub-section 4
- D) Refer to addendum 001, item 3A and 4
- E) Yes
- F) Refer to SRE 7 - Submission Requirements - Checklist

Question 7

Since the submission is page limited, can PWGSC please identify the roles that constitute the "Key Personnel", as per 3.2.3. Achievements of Key Personnel on Projects?

Response 7

Key personnel are not identified in section 3.2.3 of the SRE. It is left to the discretion of Proponents to select Key Personnel to present in their proposal.

Question 8

- A) RS 7.3.4: What is the definition of the term CM. Please advise if this scope is by the Construction Manager or the Cost Consultant?

- B) RS 7.3.5: Please advise how many addendums are to be evaluated or can this section be based on a Per Diem or Cash Allowance basis. If Cash Allowance, please advise the amount.

Response 8

- A) The term CM signifies Construction Manager. Refer to RS 7.1
- B) No