

RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
Réception des soumissions - TPSGC / Bid Receiving
- PWGSC
1550, Avenue d'Estimauville
1550, D'Estimauville Avenue
Québec
Québec
G1J 0C7

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
TPSGC/PWGSC
601-1550, Avenue d'Estimauville
Québec
Québec
G1J 0C7

| | |
|---|---|
| Title - Sujet R&D - Modeling & Simulation-FY | |
| Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation W7701-155935/A | Amendment No. - N° modif. 001 |
| Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client W7701-15-5935 | Date 2014-12-22 |
| GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$QCL-028-16236 | |
| File No. - N° de dossier QCL-4-37151 (028) | CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME |
| Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2015-01-07 | Time Zone Fuseau horaire Heure Normale du l'Est HNE |
| F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Roy, Josée | Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur qcl028 |
| Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (418) 649-2932 () | FAX No. - N° de FAX (418) 648-2209 |
| Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: | |

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

| | |
|--|--|
| Delivery Required - Livraison exigée | Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée |
| Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur | |
| Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur | |
| Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie) | |
| Signature | Date |

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation

W7701-155935/A

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client

W7701-15-5935

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.

001

File No. - N° du dossier

QCL4-37151

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur

qc1028

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No/ N° VME

AMENDMENT 001

You will find below amendments to the Request for Proposal and questions that were asked by potential bidders.

1 – Criterion 1.2

Q: At criterion 1.2, is the expression "realistic and relevant", which applies to the methodology, include the assessment of the effort by the bidder? In other words, is it the fact that the bidder submits a proposal effort "realistic and relevant" is evaluated in by the criterion?

A: What we are evaluating here is the technical approach and methodology proposed by the bidder. A realistic and relevant methodology implicitly involves a realistic and relevant effort.

2 - Task 10 (bullet, 10.3)

Q: What is the justification to talk about the RCS (Radar Cross Section) when speaking of an IR seeker?

A: This is a mistake, it should be rather an IR signature of the target, not the RCS.

3-

Q : In article 7.1 (Basis of selection, page 12 french version) could you confirm that the amount used is the "ceiling price" specified on page 27?

A: As specified in article 1.2.2 *Evaluation of Price* in Part 4, the price of the bid is the sum of the price of the firm part and the price of the part that includes the Optional services.

4 - Scientific Category 1 (engineer)

Q: Is a resource with a PhD in applied mathematics is eligible in category " Scientific Category 1 (engineer)"?

A: No, see the Mandatory Technical Criteria. The resource must have applied knowledge in electrical, mechanical, physical or aerospace in order to properly model the phenomena essential to the modeling and simulations.

5 - Criteria 3.2.1 and 3.2.2

Q: Does the experience of the criterion 3.2.1 can be combined with the experience of the criterion 3.2.2 (insofar as the criterion 3.2.2 activities are related to criterion 3.2.1 activities)? Note Part 3 talking about this information of a nonexistent section (3.2.4).

A: No, the criteria 3.2.1 to 3.2.3 are not concurrent. The bidder should demonstrate experience with the required information. Criterion 3.2.4 was removed because it was no longer necessary for the bids evaluation.

Amendments to the Request for Proposal

1- Delete the text below (reference to Attachment 2 – Mandatory and point rated technical criteria / 2. Point rated technical criteria / 3. Scientific category 1 (Engineer)):

~~Note that concurrent activities pertaining to a single experience will be considered only once. Exception: 3.2.4 can concur with work performed in Sections 3.2.1 through 3.2.3.~~

2- Amend article 10.3 of Annex A – Statement of Work as follows:

10.3

Develop six baseline scenarios (using open source literature information on missile performance / operation)

- General scenario
 - Air platform launched air-to-ground missile engaging a ground target
- Variants
 - Target dynamics (stationary, moving), **IR signature of the target** ~~Radar Cross Section (RCS)~~

All other terms and conditions remain the same.