

RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions
- TPSGC
11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier
Place du Portage, Phase III
Core 0A1 / Noyau 0A1
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5
Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
Informatics Professional Services Division / Division
des services professionnels en informatique
11 Laurier St., / 11, rue Laurier
3C2, Place du Portage
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet WADS SUPPLY ARRANGEMENT	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation C1111-130637/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 002
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client C1111-130637	Date 2014-12-29
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$ZM-613-28280	
File No. - N° de dossier 613zm.C1111-130637	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2015-01-16	
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Pierre, Anoule	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 613zm
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 956-2137 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (819) 956-1207
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

SOLICITATION No.: C1111-130637/A
REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)
FOR CONTRACT AGAINST A SUPPLY ARRANGEMENT
FOR TASK-BASED INFORMATICS PROFESSIONAL SERVICES (TBIPS)

REQUIRED BY
DEPARTMENT OF CANADIAN HERITAGE

AMENDMENT NO. 2

This amendment is raised to answer Bidders' questions.

1 BIDDERS' QUESTIONS:

Question No.	Question	Response
1	<p>Programmer/Software Developer Level 3 (CRM) (M3) Asks for experience as a lead software developer on "two (2) CRM development projects with an estimated value that exceeds \$500,000.00 in project value within the past five (5) years." This criterion excludes candidates who have been working on extremely large CRM implementations. Would Canada please consider allowing project equivalencies of 1 year? That is to say that if a resource has been working for 2 years on a large project that meets these criteria, would Canada please allow this to count as having experience with two projects?</p>	<p>Yes, Canada will allow this. In this case (the resource has worked on the same project for more than 2 years), please provide two references for that project.</p>
2	<p>M3 for the Tester and Test Coordinator ask for a Test Plan which contains UML Diagrams and a minimum of 1 Test Case related to the UML diagram. UML diagrams are usually referenced within the Test Plan, not necessarily replicated within the Test Plan. For this reason, can we please remove this requirement for UML diagrams to be contained within the Test Plan as well as a minimum of 1 test case related to the UML diagrams? It is unclear why Canada is asking for the Test Plan to contain this, as the Test Coordinator/Tester resources would not create the UML diagrams themselves.</p>	<p>For a better understanding of the context around the test plan, we are asking that the test diagram be added in the appendix. If the diagram cannot be provided please add a explanation section to facilitate the comprehension of the test plan.</p>
3	<p>Given that there is a great deal of work required on the part of the proposed resources to supply documented proof of experience and summary descriptions and the lack of availability of said resources over the holiday break; would the Crown consider extending the due date by two weeks to the 26th of January, 2015.</p>	<p>The closing date will be extended to January 16, 2015.</p>

4	A.8 (R1) requires demonstration of how the projects referenced in A.8 (M3) relate to the SOW in the solicitation. A.8 (M3) does not make reference to the need to demonstrate projects. Can the Crown please confirm that A. 8 (R1) requires demonstration of how the projects references in A.8 (M2) and not A.8 (M3).	Yes! Please see Amendment 1, Question / Response 3.
5	This RFP was issued December 17, and with the impact of statutory holidays provides a maximum of 15 business days to respond. Also, the logistics of coordinating the candidate-authored requirements is complicated by this time of year. We request an extension of at least two (2) weeks in order to be able to account for time-of-year challenges that respondents to this solicitation would currently be experiencing.	The closing date will be extended to January 16, 2015.

2. NOTE:

A project reference consists of the following:

- Project Description;
- Relevance of the project to the requirements outlined in this statement of work;
- Name and description of client organization;
- Name and phone number of client reference (2 names and telephones of client reference);
- Project timeframe;
- Contribution of the individual to the project;
- Objective and outcome of the project.

Please At Annex D of the RFP page 45 of 72, under Mandatory Requirements 1.0:

DELETE: The following information should be included for each project;

INSERT: The following information must be included for each project.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE RFP REMAIN UNCHANGED.