



Materiel and Procurement Services
200 Kent Street, Station 9W079
Ottawa, Ontario
K1A 0E6

FP802-140350

January 22, 2015

Subject: Invitation to Tender: FP802-140350

Title: REPAIR of the steel floating DOCKS in SEPT-ÎLES Harbour

ADDENDUM: NO. 9

Further to the above mentioned Invitation to Tender documentation previously posted on the Government Electronic Tendering Site (GETS), BuyandSell.gc.ca, Addendum (#9) is hereby issued.

Department of Fisheries and Oceans

Bid Closing Date: Friday January 21, 2015

Time: 14:00 Hours (2:00 pm) Eastern Daylight Time (EDT)

RFP File No: FP802-140350

23. Question from Bidder:

Following the analysis of addendums 5 and 6 we cannot see from the photos how the floating docks are installed. Are there anchors in the water? Or, are there ties present on the side of the riverbanks?

DFO Answer 23:

The floating docks are to be installed with anchor arms which are fixed on concrete blocks. All hardware pieces will be supplied by DFO. The fastening is done by inserting a standard coupling ball into a coupling head.



24. Question from Bidder:

Would it be possible to shed light on the installation site? As well as, the layout of the docks? This would help us better plan our installation.

DFO Answer 24:

The site and the docks can be seen on Google earth at coordinates (50 deg 11'58.36'' N, 66 deg 23'03.92'' O).

25. Question from Bidder:

We also see that allot of bidders did not understand, like us, that the installation is to be included. We believe that there is a lack of information in regards to the installation mandate. And we cannot go see this, because the floating docks are out of the water.

DFO Answer 25:

Installation is not a complex task. One the docks are in the water, they must be attached to the arms and to connect electricity and water supply. The chosen Contractor will have the help of the Harbour authority to better plan the installation.

26. Question from Bidder:

As well, would it be possible to delay the closing date for the submission of tenders?

DFO Answer 26:

The revised solicitation closing date is: Friday, January 23, 2015. There will be no further extensions.

27. Question from Bidder:

As discussed, the price schedule does not work for item 2.0. The price we indicate to item 2.0 must be a lot for surface preparation by sand docks 14 + 28 rust repair area by welding a steel plate 50mm x 50mm + as in addendum # 3 to include the leak test of the compartments. Which includes all work described in item 2.2.1 and 2.2.2 of the quote. This item includes multiple tasks and must not be multiplied by 28. The price to be quoted should be a lot

DFO Answer 27

In item #2.0, include : 1) half the unit cost for sand blasting, 2) the repair of a single zone of rust, and 3) half the cost of a leak test. By multiplying by 28 you will have the fair total cost.



28. Question from Bidder:

Also for item 2.1 (option) is a unit price for the repair of a rusted area to be repaired by welding a steel plate 50mm x 50mm as mentioned in section 2.2.2.7 of the quote. The price of this item should not add to the total. It should be used only in case of further relief to the 28 already included in item 2.0. In order not to change the deadlines for the opening of bids, can we manually change the form to submit our bid by 2:00 p.m. tomorrow?

DFO Answer 28

This cost is theoretically so much less than all other costs that it will not influence on the bid's results.

29. Question from Bidder:

I simulated completing the bid form and I saw that it does not work. First: there is a global cell with a lump sum which would normally be all global items included in the contract. Secondly: you also ask to fill a unit price table which cumulates all unit-base costs and global costs. Thirdly: You ask for the sum of the lump sum from the first cell and all the costs included in the unit price table. In summary we are to double the real cost of the project. Should the bid form be changed to reflect that? Can we suggest this one as an example?

DFO Answer 29

First: The cell for the lump sum has been added by DFO's administrative division, not by the technical team. You could write 0\$ on the lump sum and fill only the unit price table to reflect the real price of the project. Secondly: Contractors must consider item #2.0 as it is, please see DFO's answer #27 which will correct the situation. Thirdly: The bid form will not be modified as the call or bid closes too soon.

All other Terms and Conditions for this requirement remain unchanged.

The Question and Answer period is now closed. No further questions will be accepted.

Yours truly,

Lynda Coulombe
Senior Procurement Officer
Business and Procurement Management
Materiel and Procurement Services
Financial and Materiel Management Operations
200 Kent Street, 9th Floor, 9W079
Ottawa, ON K1A 0E6
Tel: (613) 993-2839
Facsimile: (613) 991-1297
E-mail: Lynda.Coulombe@dfo-mpo.gc.ca