

**RETURN BIDS TO:**  
**RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:**  
Bid Receiving  
PWGSC  
33 City Centre Drive  
Suite 480C  
Mississauga  
Ontario  
L5B 2N5  
Bid Fax: (905) 615-2095

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT**  
**MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address  
Raison sociale et adresse du  
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution  
Public Works and Government Services Canada  
Ontario Region  
33 City Centre Drive  
Suite 480  
Mississauga  
Ontario  
L5B 2N5

<b>Title - Sujet</b> Inspection/License Elevating Device	
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> EQ755-151409/A	<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 001
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> EQ755-151409	<b>Date</b> 2015-03-19
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$TOR-215-6794	
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> TOR-4-37150 (215)	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2015-04-14</b>	<b>Time Zone</b> Fuseau horaire Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT
<b>F.O.B. - F.A.B.</b> Specified Herein - Précisé dans les présentes <b>Plant-Usine:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Destination:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Other-Autre:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Lopez, Maria	<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> tor215
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (905) 615-2071 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> (905) 615-2060
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b>	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>	<b>Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée</b>
<b>Vendor/Firm Name and Address</b> <b>Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur</b>	
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> <b>Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur</b>	
<b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print)</b> <b>Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b>	
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>

**Solicitation Amendment No. 1 is being issued to include changes to the Request for Proposal, Annex A and incorporate questions posed by potential bidders and responses from PWGSC.**

**Reference - Page 3 - Under 6.5.2 Project Authority:**

**Delete :** In it's entirety

**Insert:** The Project Authority (Technical Authority) for the Contract is:

Name:

Title:

Organization: Public Work and Government Services Canada

Address: 4900 Yonge Street, Toronto ONT M2N 6A6

Telephone :

Facsimile:

E-mail address:

The Project Authority (Technical Authority) is the representative of the department or agency for whom the Work is being carried out under the Contract and is responsible for all matters concerning the technical content of the Work under the Contract. Technical matters may be discussed with the Project Authority (Technical Authority), however the Project Authority (Technical Authority) has no authority to authorize changes to the scope of the Work. Changes to the scope of the Work can only be made through a contract amendment issued by the Contracting Authority.

**Reference - Page 25, Under Annex A - 2.11. Record of Inspection:**

**Delete:** 1. Upon completion of an inspection the inspector shall issue a separate hard copy, record of inspection for each provided inspection being performed.

**Insert:** 1. Upon completion of an inspection the inspector shall issue a separate hard copy to Operating Authority, record of inspection for each provided inspection being performed.

**Questions and Answers**

Q1) 2.1 & 6.3.1: Where can we find a copy of the 2003 Standard Instructions – Goods or Services – Competitive requirements and 2035 General Conditions – Higher Complexity – Services? We have not been able to find these among the documents stored online at BuyandSell.

A1) As noted in Part 2- Bidder Instructions, 2.1 Standard Instructions and conditions, all instructions, clauses and conditions identified in the bid solicitation by number, date and title are set out in the Standard Acquisition Clauses and Conditions Manual

(  
<https://buyandsell.gc.ca/policy-andguidelines/standard-acquisition-clauses-and-conditions-manual/all>) issued by Public Works and Government Services Canada. You may need to cut and paste the link into your browser.

Q2) Annex A & F: The mandatory requirements state all inspectors must be Qualified Elevator Inspectors and be certified under O.Reg. 222/01, which Annex A notes as a minimum requirement of EDM-F. Note that a class T licence holder (EDM-T) "*may perform the same range and scope of work allowed under the scope of the certificate of the supervising certificate holder if those skills have been documented and signed by a qualified EDM*"(O.Reg.222/01) and would therefore meet the mandatory requirements stipulated, so can we assume an EDM-T licence holder, provided they have documented training under a qualified EDM, would be acceptable?

A2) No, inspector must be fully qualified (EDM-F).

Q3) Annex F: There is no mandatory requirement for the proponent's firm to hold a Certificate of Authorization from Professional Engineers Ontario or for there to be a licenced Professional Engineer on the team in the proponents' bids. Since the RFP does specifically include " " in the mandatory scope of services should we assume that the involvement of a P.Eng. on the proponents' teams would be required?

A3) Certification of Authorization or P.Eng from PEO is not required; however the firm must provide required certification and insurance as per RFP.

Q4) Annex: With respect to the " " for the purpose of inspections, the RFP documents stipulate the Code to be used for inspections (ex: for elevators, the 1985 B44 code). However, the elevating devices are generally governed by the Code applicable at the time of installation subject to some retroactive requirements from the code currently in force (ex: the B44-10). It is practically unrealistic to inspect all devices to a single code as the requirements have changed in each iteration of the code. If it helps, the " " approach we have seen elsewhere is that the 1985 Code is taken as a sort of minimum standard, allowing us to inspect to a more recent code if applicable, but for elevating devices installed under older iterations of the various codes (ex: an elevator installed in 1960) only areas of fundamental non-compliance with safety features/standards introduced in the 1985 Code are itemized as " ". For example, if an elevator installed in 1960 does not have car top railings it would be called a " " even though the elevator might be compliant with the installation code. This would require some technical discretion on the part of the successful proponent.

A4) At minimum, all elevating devices within federal facility must comply with CAN3-B44-M85 and CSAB311-M1979 regardless of its installation year, as per Occupation Health and Safety Regulation (SOR/86-304); the inspector may apply more recent code if required. Based on our knowledge, all/most of the elevating devices in federal facilities were installed/upgraded after 1985.

Q5) General: Where we would require assistance from a maintenance contractor (ex: for access or for some physical tests, particularly for tests after an alteration) can we assume this cost is either already included in their maintenance contract or will be borne by PWGSC?

A "test" is actually an undefined classification of tasks under O.Reg 222/01. An EDM-A mechanic must conduct or be present for a physical test; an EDM-F or EDM-T holder cannot perform a physical test except under the supervision of an EDM-A. What constitutes a "test" is largely a matter of TSSA interpretation and precedent in Ontario, but since they can't be done by an EDM-F or EDM-T I'm assuming we're not expected to perform them under this mandate.

So, for example, a test of the buffers or the emergency brake or the governor would typically be considered a physical test while testing a stop switch, or alarm bell, or door force would not be considered

a physical test (those latter 3 tests we would normally perform during a safety inspection, the former 3 items would not be tested, for example).

There is no definition of what a physical test is but I generally take the broad position that if a test does not require dismantling of any components, does not require multiple personnel and no safety systems are bypassed during the inspection procedure, then it likely would not constitute a physical test. This may not be categorically true but might serve as a useful reference.

A5). Physical tests are not required therefore there is no assistance required from the maintenance contractor.