

RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions
- TPSGC
11 Laurier St., / 11, rue Laurier
Place du Portage, Phase III
Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5
Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
Informatics Professional Services - EL
Division/Services professionnels en informatique -
division EL
4C2, Place du Portage
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet TBIPS for the Physical Resources	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation 08324-130123/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 006
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 14-73881	Date 2015-04-14
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$EL-606-28536	
File No. - N° de dossier 606el.08324-130123	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2015-04-21	Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Mansour, Silvana	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 606el
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 956-3519 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (819) 956-5925
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

This document includes a question received from a potential bidder with the corresponding answer.

Question 25

With respect to Amendment 004, change to Corporate Mandatory M1, including the addition of “minimum total billed value of the professional services components delivered was greater than or equal to \$1M per year” to contracts involving applications development utilizing Component based Scalable Logical Architecture. It is our experience that CSLA is not an enterprise-level architectural framework and is not commonly implemented on the scale represented in the value aspect of this requirement, and that, given the rarity of CSLA application development projects in the NCR on this scale, combining the technology and the value aspects of this criterion is highly restrictive.

Even in the event that the estimated level of effort for this solicitation is accurate, it is highly unlikely that the resulting contract will meet a value comparable to ‘\$1M per year’, and so “similar” in this respect would be significantly overstated and unreasonably restrictive to a competitive process.

This change is unsolicited in the pertinent Q&A section of the amendment, and represents a significant change to the criterion. To introduce an unsolicited change this significant at this stage of the proposal development process imposes substantial restrictions on vendors who have made a considerable investment in producing a quality response to the Crown’s requirement. In order to avoid the appearance of targeting the requirement to a specific vendor, and to ensure an open competitive process, we respectfully request that the addition of “greater than or equal to \$1M per year” be removed entirely from the Corporate M1 requirement. Alternately, if the Crown wishes to ensure that the bidder has successfully delivered CSLA services in order to qualify to respond for this RFP at a more reasonable level, that the billed value for professional services delivered be greater than or equal to \$100K per year for this Corporate Mandatory M1 requirement.

Answer #25:

CSLA *is an enterprise-level architecture framework* and we have implemented it.

- The acquired experience is not restricted to the NCR, this is not stated anywhere in the RFP. The demonstrated experience can be anywhere in Canada or USA.
- The \$1M value is for a team of resources that the Bidder has had experience with developing and implementing CSLA with the two additional requirements stated. (A team is defined as: the Architect, Programmers, Database analyst, Web designer, Quality assurance specialist and the project manager). The proposed change to \$100k would be for one resource. This is not what we are looking for.
- This requirement is not new to the solicitation. It was in the Points rated requirement and was moved over to the mandatory corporate requirements to emphasize the Crown specific requirements in this case.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE RFP REMAIN UNCHANGED