

RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions
- TPSGC
11 Laurier St., / 11, rue Laurier
Place du Portage, Phase III
Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5
Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
Informatics Professional Services - EL
Division/Services professionnels en informatique -
division EL
4C2, Place du Portage
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet TBIPS for the Physical Resources	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation 08324-130123/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 007
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 14-73881	Date 2015-04-17
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$EL-606-28536	
File No. - N° de dossier 606el.08324-130123	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2015-04-28	
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Mansour, Silvana	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 606el
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 956-3519 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (819) 956-5925
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

This document includes questions received from potential bidders with the corresponding answers and an amendment.

Question #26:

Given the substantive nature of the change to the mandatory corporate requirement introduced in Amendment 4, rendering contracts and references that would have been deemed acceptable prior to the amendment as non-compliant, which was affirmed in Amendment 6, will the Crown grant a 1 week extension to vendors who are required to identify and substantiate contracts that satisfy the new aspects of the mandatory corporate requirement?

Note: In the rationale provided in A#25 (Amendment 6), the crown suggests that the change in question is merely a relegation of a rated corporate requirement to the mandatory requirements. This is not the case; the criteria requiring a *combination* of CSLA application development and a billed services value of “greater than or equal to \$1M per year” is new to the solicitation, especially as it now becomes a mandatory and significantly further restricting requirement. Further the substantive and unsolicited nature of this combination was still not addressed in the Crown’s response. Can the Crown provide the rationale for this change?

Answer #26:

These changes reflect the operational requirements of DFATD in the Physical Resources Bureau. As such, this is the minimum that we are capable of accepting at this time.

An extension to the closing date is granted, see amendment below.

Question #27:

The Crown accurately identifies that acquiring the relevant CSLA experience is not restricted to the NCR, as it is not stated explicitly in the RFP. Could the Crown describe what value it will derive from a reference outside of the NCR, unless that Bidder brings this particular team to bear in Ottawa, given that only individuals under a TA can contribute to the project under TBIPS ‘as and when required’ guidelines?

Answer #27:

In order to ensure fair and open competition, the Crown has decided to accept references from outside the NCR.

Question #28:

Given the clarification and definition of “a team” provided in A#25 (Amendment 6), will the Crown confirm that only a reference that fit the definition of “a team” (that is, comprised of an Architect, Programmers, Database analyst, Web designer, Quality assurance specialist and Project Manager) will

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation

08324-130123/A

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client

14-73881

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.

007

File No. - N° du dossier

606e108324-130123

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur

606e1

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No/ N° VME

be accepted for the Corporate Mandatory Requirement? Alternately can you provide clarification on this point as this requirement is highly restrictive?

Answer #28:

Yes, the Crown will accept references for teams with a different mix of resources, as long as they meet the other criteria.

Amendment:

At page 1:

DELETE: The solicitation closes at 2:00 p.m. EDST on April 21, 2015

INSERT: The solicitation closes at 2:00 p.m. EDST on April 28, 2015

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE RFP REMAIN UNCHANGED