Question 13:

Can the Crown please clarify the information provided in the last column of the resource rated requirements table titled "Weight/max points available/* 6 persons"? For example, rated item 3.2.2.8 shows "5 / 50 / 300":

    a.   How is the "5" weight points determined (what is the formula used)?

    b.   How is the "50" max points determined (note: there are 6 resources with a potential for 10 points each)?

    c.   How is the "300" determined?

Response:

    a.   Weight is the "importance" CMHC places on this requirement over another other; in CMHC's sole discretion

    b.   As the max points each resource could get is 10 points, multiplied by the weight of 5, gives a max weighted points of 50/resource.

    c.   The max weighted points of 50/resource as described in b. above multiplied by 6 resources give a max weighted points of 300 for the Proponent.

Question 14:

Regarding Appendix B, how are the percentages in the last two columns "% of total Section points" and "% of total Stream 1 points" determined? What is the formula used?

Response:

    a.   Percent of **total section** point's column is determined by dividing a requirement's max weighted points for all resources by total of that category. IE requirement 3.2.2.15 max points of 300 divided by section 3.2.2 total max points of 4,500 = 6.67% rounded

    b.   Percent of **total stream 1** point's column is determined by dividing a requirement's max weighted points for all resources by total of Stream 1. IE requirement 3.2.2.15 max points of 300 divided by stream 1 total max points of 12,865 = 2.33% rounded

Question 15:

For various rated requirements, it isn't clear how many points a resource would receive if the resource has exactly 3 years of experience, or exactly 5 years of experience, or exactly 7 years of experience. For example: rated 3.2.2.9 for the IM Business Analyst, it currently shows "1 to 3 years: 2 pts" and "3 to 5 years: 4 pts".

    a.   How many points would a resource receive if this resource has exactly 3 years of experience? 2 pts or 4 pts?

    b.   How many points would a resource receive if this resource has exactly 5 years of experience? 4 pts or 6 pts?

    c.   Please clarify the points scoring for all the rated requirements where a resource is to demonstrate years of experience.

Response:

Less than 1 year experience = 0 point

1 to < 3 years experience = 2 points

3 to <5 years experience = 4 points

5 to < 7 years experience = 6 points

Question 16:

Regarding 3.2.5.7, it states that "The Proponent should demonstrate that the proposed resource has a Project Management Professional (PMP) designation or an industry recognized Project Management (PM) certification. No certification provided = 0; Per Project Management certification copy provided = 2 pts". However, in the "Points Available" column, it shows "1-10". How is the 10 points achieved?

Response:

CMHC recognizes that there are only 3 certifications applicable to this RFP:
   a. PMP
   b. Prince2
   c. Master Certificate in Project Management from a recognized university

0 certifications = 0 points
1 certifications = 3 points
2 certifications = 7 points
3 certifications = 10 points

Question 17:

Regarding section 1.6.2 Rated Requirements on page 6 of the RFP, it states that rated requirements are based on a points system of 1 to 10; however, for the Technical Analyst, 3.2.4.21, it appears to be out of 9 points and 3.2.2.10 (for the IM Business Analyst), it appears to be out of 5 points. Please confirm the points system and the "Points Available" for those rated requirements that are less than 10 points.

Response:

   a. CMCH is making the following clarification to Section 1.6.2, second sentence. Rated requirements are based on a points system of 1 **up to** 10 points, as well as a base weight between 1 and 10 per requirement.

   b. For requirement 3.2.4.21 it is only out of 9 points as there are only 9 non-core modules in OpenText ECM

   c. The point for requirement 3.2.2.10 have recently been revised (answers to question 10) to 0, 4, 8, and 10