

Question 80:

Implementation approaches and work plans (and other inherent components of a proposal) are based on the information provided in the RFP Statement of work (SOW). Analyzing the SOWs and developing implementation approaches and work plans accordingly have raised many questions and required proponents to make several assumptions with respect to establishing the scope and assessing the complexity of the RFP SOWs. With Tuesday May 12, 2015 still the due date for the bid submissions, could the CMHC, please, confirm that it is acceptable to include the critical assumptions in proposals for the purpose of their evaluations and ensuing negotiations?

Response:

Yes, please list all assumptions .

Question 81:

Could the CMHC please confirm the following with respect to Change and Communication Management:

- a) Will the CMHC have complete responsibility for overall enterprise-wide Change and Communication Management throughout the planning, execution, and monitoring of Streams 1, 2, and 3?
- b) Will the selected Service Providers only be responsible for coordinating and synchronizing their Statement of Work activities having Change and Communication Management considerations and impacts with the designated CMHC's representatives?
- c) Does the CMHC already have established Communication Management and Change Management strategies and plans developed for the Phase 2 implementation of InfoShare?

Response:

a) CMHC will have overall responsibility for overall enterprise-wide change management and communication management throughout the project lifecycle for all 3 streams. The proponent will share the responsibility by integrating their activities into the overall plan and set of implementation activities.

b) yes

c) yes

Question 82:

Implementation approach and work plan may be based on a different team composition, resource utilization, and effort allocation than the ones provided by CMHC. With respect to the team composition make-up, could CMHC, please, confirm that the Proponents can:

- a) Propose a team of resources made up of less than suggested resources in the RFP;
- b) Propose the numbers of resources per resource categories lower than the ones provided by CMHC;
- c) Propose not to use some resource categories; and
- d) Provide resource resumes and evaluation grids for only the resource categories and resources that the proponent proposes.

Please clarify and confirm how the RFP resource based requirements and evaluation criteria will be adjusted or adapted to factor in the abovementioned bullet points.

Response:

a) no

b) no

c) no

d) no

Question 83:

Could the CMHC, please, confirm that the RFP requirements 4.4 Executive Summary, 4.5 Proponent's Qualifications and 4.7 Project Management Plan are Informational requirements only and that they are not directly or indirectly part of the evaluation of the prescribed Mandatory and Rated requirements?

Response:

Correct . The items listed above are not directly related to the evaluation of the proposals.