

RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions
- TPSGC
11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier
Place du Portage, Phase III
Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5

**Revision to a Request for Supply
Arrangement - Révision à une demande
pour un arrangement en matière
d'approvisionnement**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
**Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
Electronic Information Technology Professional Srv
Div/Div des srv professionnels en technologie de
l'information électronique
11 Laurier St. / 11 rue Laurier
Portage III 0A1 - 1
Gatineau
Québec
K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet SBIPS (Solutions Based Informatics)	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EN537-05IT01/I	Date 2015-05-19
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client EN537-05IT01	Amendment No. - N° modif. 005
File No. - N° de dossier 002ei.EN537-05IT01	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$EI-002-28362	
Date of Original Request for Supply Arrangement 2015-01-20 Date de demande pour un arrangement en matière d'app. originale	
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2015-07-24	
Time Zone Fuseau horaire Atlantic Daylight Saving Time ADT	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Bouliane, Manon	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 002ei
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 934-4667 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (819) 956-7827
Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: To be defined in Contract	
Security - Sécurité This revision does not change the security requirements of the solicitation. Cette révision ne change pas les besoins en matière de sécurité de l'invitation.	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Acknowledgement copy required	Yes - Oui	No - Non
Accusé de réception requis	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The Offeror hereby acknowledges this revision to its Offer. Le proposant constate, par la présente, cette révision à son offre.		
Signature	Date	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of offeror. (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du proposant. (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)		
For the Minister - Pour le Ministre		

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation

EN537-05IT01/I

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client

EN537-05IT01

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.

005

File No. - N° du dossier

002eiEN537-05IT01

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur

002ei

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No/ N° VME

Amendment #5 to follow:

Solicitation Amendment 5 SBIPS Refresh EN537-05IT01/I – Period 2

A : MODIFICATION

None

B: QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Q: 12

Reference: SBIPS - Attachment C to the SBIPS RFSA: Technical Response Template/CPSS Supplier Module – DCC, Item 17b. : Under Cross Reference include the Contract number for this project.

Reference: SBIPS - Amendment 4, Question 10, line 4: "What should be in the "cross reference" field?"

Answer: Under 17b. of these instructions indicates the following:

"Under Cross Reference include the Contract number for this project."

Our comment and question:

The above suggest one contract only for a solution. Yet, a solution-based project can be subject to more than one contract. One of our projects contained multiple contract numbers. In addition to this, with IT departments being transferred to Shared Services, contracts were issued on the same project by two different departments. Therefore, how should we enter our contract information numbers? Entering one number would not be representative of the solution? Please advise how we can do this.

A.12 You may enter more than one contract number separated by a comma. If the space under cross reference does not allow for this, enter the first number in the cross reference section and in the project description section indicate there are multiple contract numbers that make up the solution and list them in the project description section in addition to the project description requested.

Q: 13

When using a reference in one stream can a project that occurred in two phases be used as two references?

A.13 This scenario may be possible. However, each phase must meet all mandatory criteria specified in M.3 on its own, without relying on the other phase to be considered two solutions in one project.

Q: 14

We are currently a Tier 1 and Tier 2 qualified supplier and are interested in qualifying for additional Domains. We have previously satisfied the M.4 Insurance Requirements; are we required to re-submit the certificate of insurance, if the information you currently have on file is still accurate?

A.14 If an existing supplier has already been awarded under Tier 2 the existing supplier does not need to provide another insurance certificate.

Q: 15

In 3.1.4 Submission Grid under the column for existing SBIPS Holders who are applying for additional tiers, it is indicated that the only components that need to be submitted in print/hard copy are the Supplier's Statement and M.4 Insurance Requirements if applying for Tier 2. However, within the Certifications section in the DCC it is indicated that the Holder Responsibility Statement and the

Grandfather Certification must be submitted in hard copy as well. Can you please clarify what must be submitted via hard copy and what must be submitted solely in the DCC?

A.15 The instructions in Article 3.1.4 of the SBIPS RFSA take precedence in this instance. The Supplier's Statement and M.4 Insurance Requirements (if applying for Tier 2) need to be submitted in hard copy.

Q: 16

Are the Supplier's Statement and the Holder Responsibility Statement the same thing?

A.16 Yes

Q: 17

In Section 1.2.2 Streams of Expertise of this RFSA, Stream 9 refers to network services.

In Appendix A of expertise for Shutter SBIPS and definitions described:

9. NETWORK SERVICES: Services to plan, deploy, optimize and manage complex network infrastructures that support data, voice, video, etc.

Can you elaborate on the definition and on the profiles could be identified for this component?

Is it directly related to the transformation of GCNet expected 2015-2020?

A.17 The definition of all Streams of Expertise are kept fairly general to allow for a variety of solutions to fit under the Streams. There is no further breakdown of the definition provided. No, the SBIPS Streams of Expertise were not created based on any specific future requirement.