

RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions
- TPSGC

11 Laurier St. / 11 rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

Revision to a Request for a Standing Offer

Révision à une demande d'offre à commandes

Departmental Individual Standing Offer (DISO)

Offre à commandes individuelle du département(OCID)

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Offer remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'offre demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Consultant Services Division/Division des services
d'experts-conseils

11 Laurier St./11 Rue Laurier

3C2, Place du Portage

Phase III

Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet Full Service Interior Design S.O.	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EN448-152437/A	Date 2015-06-17
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 20152437	Amendment No. - N° modif. 001
File No. - N° de dossier fe176.EN448-152437	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$FE-176-67450	
Date of Original Request for Standing Offer 2015-06-05	
Date de la demande de l'offre à commandes originale	
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2015-07-21	
Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Talom, Mike	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur fe176
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 956-3796 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: Various locations, National Capital Area	
Security - Sécurité This revision does not change the security requirements of the Offer. Cette révision ne change pas les besoins en matière de sécurité de la présente offre.	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Acknowledgement copy required Accusé de réception requis	Yes - Oui <input type="checkbox"/>	No - Non <input type="checkbox"/>
The Offeror hereby acknowledges this revision to its Offer. Le proposant constate, par la présente, cette révision à son offre.		
Signature	Date	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of offeror. (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du proposant. (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)		
For the Minister - Pour le Ministre		

The following is in response to inquiries.

Question 1:

In SRE "3.2.1 Comprehension of the Scope of Services", we note the paragraph "1. What we are looking for." is not followed by the customary paragraph "2. What the Proponent should provide."

Could you please issue that second paragraph as to what we should provide in our proposal?

Answer 1:

SRE 3.2.1 Please add the following after 1.

2. What the Proponent should provide:
 - a) scope of services - detailed list of services;
 - b) summary of your proposed typical work breakdown structure, i.e. resources assigned, time schedule, level of effort;
 - c) broader goals (federal image, sustainable development, sensitivities);
 - d) risk management strategy;
 - e) project management approach to working with PWGSC (understanding of PWGSC management structure, Client environment, standing offer process, working with the government in general);

Question 2:

In SRE "3.2.3 Past Experience, Registered Interior Designer/Licensed Architect", at least one project should be a study/report/analysis type of project. Is a Functional Program acceptable as a study/report/analysis example?

Answer 2:

Yes, a Functional Program is acceptable.

Question 3:

In SRE "3.2.4 Past Experience, Sub-Consultants/Specialists", at least one project should be a study/report/analysis type of project. Is a Functional Program acceptable as a study/report/analysis example?

Answer 3:

Yes, a Functional Program is acceptable.

Question 4:

We read that under RS 1.4, the Project Schedule is to be prepared by the Consultant team. As the Consultant Scheduling appears to focus largely on the work of the project

team (Consultants, PWGSC, Client), we anticipate that the majority of the Consultant's detailed scheduling will be done before RS 7.

As we have people in-house who routinely prepare, monitor and revise project schedules of the type described in the RFSO, is it acceptable that as prime consultants, we propose ourselves in the role of Scheduler?

Answer 4:

Yes it is possible for the Prime Consultant to propose in-house resources for this activity

Question 5:

RS 7.3 is entitled "Construction Schedule". The first sentence under this title, RS 7.3.1 reads, "Obtain the Project Schedule ". Since the Consultant will have already prepared the Project Schedule under RS 1 .4, Please confirm that sentence RS 7 .3.1 should read, "Obtain Construction Schedule "

Do we correctly understand that the Construction schedule will be prepared by the Contractor, and that our monitoring and review of it will be for overall compliance with the needs of the Project Schedule?

Answer 5:

In RS 7.3.1 please delete 'Project' and insert 'construction' so that the sentence reads "Obtain the Construction Schedule with detailed Commissioning component shown separately, as soon as possible after contract award and ensure proper distribution to the project team."

Yes, the Consultant monitoring and review will be for overall compliance with the needs of the Project Schedule.