

RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions
- TPSGC
11 Laurier St./ 11, rue Laurier
Place du Portage, Phase III
Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2
Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5
Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
Clothing and Textiles Division / Division des
vêtements et des textiles
11 Laurier St./ 11, rue Laurier
6A2, Place du Portage
Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet EXTREME COLD WEATHER MUKLUKS		
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation W8486-151946/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 003	
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client W8486-151946	Date 2015-06-26	
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$\$PR-751-67242		
File No. - N° de dossier pr751.W8486-151946	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME	
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2015-07-14		Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Specified Herein - Précisé dans les présentes		
Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Doré, Catherine		Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur pr751
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 956-1247 ()		FAX No. - N° de FAX (819) 956-5454
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:		

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation

W8486-151946/A

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.

003

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur

pr751

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client

W8486-151946

File No. - N° du dossier

pr751W8486-151946

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No/ N° VME

AMENDMENT 003

The purpose of this amendment is to answer questions from suppliers.

Question 14

With reference to paragraph 2.2.1.1 of Annex B, we understand that we must provide the chromaticity coordinates and luminance for the white part of the CADPAT WO boot shaft.

Regarding the luminance (and more specifically subparagraph 2.2.1.1.2), we do not understand clearly if 93.88 (max) is a requirement to meet or rather a simple reference point. The luminance is measured from 0 (black) to 100 (white) and it appears wrong to limit the luminance 93.88 for white (pure black would be acceptable, but not the white). Can you enlighten us?

We also understand that there is no requirement for the a^* and b^* and that they are provided for information purposes only. Can you confirm?

Since paragraphs 2.2.1.2 and 2.2.1.3 of Annex B state that these requirements "should" (not "must") be acceptable, would a result of 60.3% be tolerable? Can you confirm?

In short, only the Delta E is a requirement to meet (less than or equal to 3), in addition to being a rated requirement for the equivalent of 15 points. Can you confirm?

Answer 14

To confirm, the Delta E is the over-riding requirement.

In order to meet the Delta E requirements, the measured chromaticity coordinates will each need to be very close to the $L^*a^*b^*$ values quoted. As per Annex B para 2.2.1.1 .2 "...the specified requirement for luminance of white ($L^*=93.88$ (max)) is the recommended maximum value in order to meet the overall CADPAT™ WO requirement to obtain the Delta E". Note that with respect to the "max" requirement for luminance, "bright" "pure" white is not desired, thus a "maximum" recommended L^* value. Pure black would never be acceptable given the stated requirements.

To confirm, the Ultra-Violet reflection requirement is not a mandatory but a desirable performance requirement since Annex B para 2.2.1.3 states that the "ultra-violet reflection should conform to the minimum acceptable requirements".

Question 15

For ease of ignition, please specify if the flame test (CAN / CGSB 4.2 No. 27.4), in reference to paragraph 2.8.1 of Appendix B is completed:

- 1) On assembled liners (both layers);
- 2) On each layer of liner separately;
- 3) On textiles (raw material layers) completed separately.

Please confirm that the test is performed on the outside of the liner exposed (or the exposed face of the textile makes up the liner).

Answer 15

The test (CAN/CGSB-4.2 No. 27.4) is to be completed on all layers of the removable liner (as a composite, multi-layer specimen); what is considered the outside (or the face) of the removable liner must be the layer that the burner's flame will be exposed to first. What is considered the next-to-skin layer will be the layer that is closest to the frame of the test device. To confirm, the layers of the removable liner are not tested separately.

All other terms and condition remain unchanged.