

RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions
- TPSGC

11 Laurier St. / 11 rue Laurier
Place du Portage, Phase III
Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2
Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5
Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

Revision to a Request for a Standing Offer

Révision à une demande d'offre à commandes

Departmental Individual Standing Offer (DISO)

Offre à commandes individuelle du département(OCID)

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Offer remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'offre demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Consultant Services Division/Division des services
d'experts-conseils
11 Laurier St./11 Rue Laurier
3C2, Place du Portage
Phase III
Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet Full Service Interior Design S.O.	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EN448-152437/A	Date 2015-07-09
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 20152437	Amendment No. - N° modif. 005
File No. - N° de dossier fe176.EN448-152437	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$FE-176-67450	
Date of Original Request for Standing Offer 2015-06-05	
Date de la demande de l'offre à commandes originale	
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2015-07-21	
Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Talom, Mike	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur fe176
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 956-3796 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: Various locations, National Capital Area	
Security - Sécurité This revision does not change the security requirements of the Offer. Cette révision ne change pas les besoins en matière de sécurité de la présente offre.	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Acknowledgement copy required Accusé de réception requis	Yes - Oui <input type="checkbox"/>	No - Non <input type="checkbox"/>
The Offeror hereby acknowledges this revision to its Offer. Le proposant constate, par la présente, cette révision à son offre.		
Signature	Date	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of offeror. (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du proposant. (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)		
For the Minister - Pour le Ministre		

The following is in response to inquiries.

Question # 9

On page 9 of the RFSO, in the table 3.3 Evaluation and Rating, the heading 3.2.2 Team Approach/Management of Services is weighted at 3.0 and 3.2.5 Proponent Team (which in the text description preceding is called "Key Personnel Expertise and Experience - Proponent Team") is weighted 1.0. As instructed in the SRE text descriptions, the latter category will contain the proponent and consultant team c.v.s. In past crown RFSO's the weight factor was highest for the team c.v section. Can you please confirm that the evaluation weight factors and the rating points are correct.

Answer # 9

Please see revised table below for updated weight factors.

Criteria	Weight Factor	Rating (points)	Weighted Rating
GENERAL PRESENTATION			
3.2.1 Comprehension of the Scope of Services	0.5	0 - 10	0 - 5
PAST EXPERIENCE			
3.2.2 Team Approach/Management of Services	1.0	0 - 10	0 - 10
3.2.3 Past Experience, Interior Designer/ Architect	2.5	0 - 10	0 - 25
3.2.4 Past Experience, Sub-Consultants/Specialists	1.0	0 - 10	0 - 10
3.2.5 Key Personnel Expertise and Experience – Proponent Team	3.0	0 - 10	0 - 30
PROJECT REQUIREMENT			
3.2.6 Hypothetical Project	2.0	0 - 10	0 - 20
TOTAL	10.0		0 - 100

Question # 10

In the RFSO, Section SP 4 Call-Up Limitation, it indicates the maximum call-up limit is \$1,000,000. Does this include construction costs? Is this strictly Architectural and Engineering consulting fees and disbursements?

Answer # 10

The \$1,000,000.00 call-up limitation is for the fees of all consultants, the disbursements and taxes and does not include the construction costs.

Question # 11

In the SRE, Section 3.2.3 Past Experience, Registered Interior Designer/Licensed Architect, it indicates "the projects should be comparable in terms of dollar value of the maximum Call-Up limit of this particular standing offer." Does this mean projects where our fees were in the range of \$1,000,000 or where the total project cost was in the range of \$1,000,000? If we use projects that are of a lesser value, but still required the indicated scope of services will we lose points in the evaluation of our proposal?

Answer # 11

Projects presented should be in the range of the maximum dollar value for the fees, not total project cost. Projects of a lesser value will be assessed against the evaluation criteria.

Question # 12

The documents refer to "key personnel" several times. Could you please indicate your definition of "key personnel"? Is it each and every person included in our standing offer or only the leaders (i.e. principal architect, principal engineers, specialists)?

Answer # 12

"Key Personnel" refers to personnel being evaluated under SRE 3.2.5.

Question # 13

Is it authorized to present the same projects for mechanical and electrical engineering (both disciplines were part of these projects) or would TPSGC prefer 4 different projects (2 different projects for each discipline)?

Answer # 13

Either option is acceptable.