



Royal Canadian Mounted Police
Gendarmerie royale du Canada

RETURN BIDS TO :

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS :

Bid Receiving Unit / Grope de la réception des soumissions

Procurement and Contracting Services
 73 Leikin Drive,
 Visitor Center - Building M1
 Mailstop # 15
 Ottawa, ON K1A 0R2

Attn: Shannon Plunkett (613) 843-3798

AMENDMENT TO THE INVITATION TO TENDER

Royal Canadian Mounted Police

We hereby offer to sell to Her Majesty the Queen in right of Canada, in accordance with the terms and conditions set out herein, referred to herein or attached hereto, the goods, services and construction listed herein and on any attached sheets at the price(s) set out therefore.

MODIFICATION À L'APPEL D'OFFRES

Gendarmerie royale du Canada

Nous offrons par la présente de vendre à Sa Majesté l Reine du chef du Canada, aux conditions énoncées ou incluses par référence dans la présente et aux annexes ci-jointes, les biens, services et construction énumérés ici sur toute feuille ci-annexée, au(x) prix indiqué(s).

Comments – Commentaries

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Telephone No. – No de téléphone:

()

Facsimile No. – No de télécopieur:

()

Title-Sujet		
Construction – Duplex – Pangnirtung		
Solicitation No. – No. de l'invitation	Amend. – Modif.	Date
201601064	No. : 2	July 10th, 2015
Client Reference No. - No. de Référence du Client		
201601064		
GETS Reference No. – No de Référence du SEAG		
201601064		
Solicitation Closes – L'invitation prend fin		
at - à 2 :00 pm EDT		
on - le July 21st, 2015		
F.O.B. - F.A.B.		
Destination		
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toute questions à :		
Eric Glynn – Contracting Authority		
Eric.glynn@rcmp-grc.gc.ca		
Telephone No. - No de téléphone	Fax:	
613-843-5533	613-825-0082	
Destination of Goods - Destinations des biens:		
See Herein		
Instructions : See Herein / Voir aux présentes		
Delivery Required – Livraison exigée:		
See Herein		
Name and Title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm.		
Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur		



Royal Canadian Mounted Police

Gendarmerie royale du Canada

Amendment #2 of Solicitation 201601064 has been issued to respond to Questions and Answers – Part 1, amend the ITT solicitation document, and to provide Addendum #1 to the Specifications/Drawings.

Questions and Answers – Part 1:

Q1 – Can you tell us the amounts of fill you are requiring for pad and driveways? Also, it is mentioned in **Annex “B” – Drawings, Page 28 of 35** that the Triodetic Foundation is to be designed and supplied by other suppliers? Are we to supply the Triodetic Foundation?

A1 – See Addendum No. 1; **Section 2.7.**

Q2 – Is the RCMP willing to extend the Substantial Completion date to October 31st, 2016?

A2 – See Addendum No. 1; **Section 2.8.**

Q3 – Under Google Maps, there are two small structures located in the South-West of the site plan. Can you confirm that both of these structures have already been removed from the site?

A3 – See Addendum No. 1; **Section 2.1.**

Q4 – Has the Gravel Pad referenced in the site plan already been installed? As there is no specification for this Gravel Pad, we assume that it is already in place? If our assumption is correct, and this Gravel Pad is in place, can you please confirm the type, the source, and the availability of gravel in the community?

A4 – See Addendum No. 1; **Section 2.2.**

Q5 – Would it be possible to send a list of “equals” for all mechanical equipment?

A5 – See Addendum No. 1; **Section 2.6.**

Q6 – With respect to SI01 (4)(a) which states “use a numbering system that corresponds to the bid solicitation”, can you please specify the section of the bid solicitation that the numbering system should correspond to?

A6 – This is an instruction to ensure that all bidders reference the correct sections of the solicitation when responding to the requirement. This includes all “General Instructions”, all “Special Instructions”, and all Annexes. ** Specifically, under Annex “E” – Evaluation Criteria for Inuit Benefits, we request that Bidders respond to each criterium as it is identified in the solicitation. (ie: M1, M2, M3, R1, R2, and R3).



Royal Canadian Mounted Police

Gendarmerie royale du Canada

Q7 – With respect to Annex “E” – Evaluation Criteria for Inuit Benefits, the Bidder Instructions indicate that “the Bidder is to respond to the Evaluation Criteria using the table formats below”. Can you please confirm whether you wish to have the response in table format or if this is actually a reference to the preferred numbering format in SI01 (4)(a)?

A7 – As per A6 above, we request that Bidders respond to each criterium as it is identified in the solicitation. The exact table format does not need to be followed, so long as it is clear for evaluation purposes.

Q8 – SI11 indicates that four (4) hardcopies of the Technical Proposal must be submitted. This portion of the document is reliant upon sub-contractor information which will be received up until the day of closing. This may be difficult as our offices are In Iqaluit, Nunavut. Currently we would need to submit the Technical Proposal and then submit an amendment which would need to be incorporated into the base document. Clarity may also be lost through an attempt to amend the Technical Proposal. Since the Technical Proposal will not contain any price information, we recommend that you consider acceptance of Technical Proposals by fax or by e-mail.

A8 – As per SI11, we require four (4) hardcopies of the Technical Proposal to be submitted at Bid Closing. We feel that last minute changes will be minor in nature, and as such, may still be submitted via facsimile prior to the closing date and time. **Please note that Bids, and Amendments to Bids will NOT be accepted by email.**

Q9 – The specifications state that the site work quantities will be provided by the Project Manager. We are unable to find any quantities within **Annex “B” - Drawings**. There appear to be no contours located on the Drawings. What should we use for bidding purposes?

A9 – See Addendum No. 1; **Section 2.3**.

Q10 – Some of the materials within the **Annex “A” – Specifications** are different than those listed within **Annex “B” – Drawings**. What should we use for bidding purposes?

A10 – See Addendum No. 1; **Section 2.4**.

Q11 – Are all wood products FSC?

A11 – See Addendum No. 1; **Section 2.5**.



Royal Canadian Mounted Police

Gendarmerie royale du Canada

Q12 – How much High Trim Basboard is required?

A12 – See Addendum No. 1; **Section 2.9.**

Q13 – Are the Ultra Oil 4 Boilers Direct Vent, or Chimney Vent?

A13 – See Addendum No. 1; **Section 2.10.**

Q14 – We believe that responding to the Point Rated Criteria of this solicitation would be too time consuming to meet the closing date of this Solicitation. Can you please either remove the Rated Criteria component, or extend the Solicitation period by an additional two (2) weeks?

A14 – We have decided to remove the Point Rated Criteria for this requirement. The new Basis of Selection will be “Mandatory Technical Criteria” (ie. The responsive bid with the lowest evaluated price will be recommended for award of a contract).



Royal Canadian Mounted Police

Gendarmerie royale du Canada

The following changes will be made to the ITT:

1. Under Bid and Acceptance Form (BA) – Section BA06 Construction Time, **DELETE** “Substantial Completion in March 31st, 2016”, and **REPLACE** with “Substantial Completion on **October 31st, 2016**”.
2. Under **SI10, Nunavut Land Claims Agreement, Part 1 – Contractor Selection**, **DELETE** “Highest Combined Technical Merit (25%) and Price (75%) - A0027T (2012-07-16)”, and **REPLACE** with “Mandatory Technical Criteria – A0031T (2010-08-16)”.
3. Under **SI12, Evaluation Procedures and Basis of Selection, Parts 2 & 3**, **DELETE** in its entirety, and **REPLACE** with the following:

 “2. Basis of Selection

 2.1 Mandatory Technical Criteria – A0031T (2010-08-16)

 A bid must comply with the requirements of the bid solicitation and meet all mandatory technical evaluation criteria to be declared responsive. The responsive bid with the lowest evaluated price will be recommended for award of a contract.”
4. Under **Annex “E” – Evaluation Criteria for Inuit Benefits, Section “Rated Requirements”**, **DELETE** in its entirety.

**ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE ITT REMAIN
UNCHANGED.**