



Royal Canadian Mounted Police
Gendarmerie royale du Canada

RETURN BIDS TO :

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS :

Bid Receiving Unit / Grope de la réception des soumissions

Procurement and Contracting Services
 73 Leikin Drive,
 Visitor Center - Building M1
 Mailstop # 15
 Ottawa, ON K1A 0R2

Attn: Shannon Plunkett (613) 843-3798

AMENDMENT TO THE INVITATION TO TENDER

Royal Canadian Mounted Police

We hereby offer to sell to Her Majesty the Queen in right of Canada, in accordance with the terms and conditions set out herein, referred to herein or attached hereto, the goods, services and construction listed herein and on any attached sheets at the price(s) set out therefore.

MODIFICATION À L'APPEL D'OFFRES

Gendarmerie royale du Canada

Nous offrons par la présente de vendre à Sa Majesté I Reine du chef du Canada, aux conditions énoncées ou incluses par référence dans la présente et aux annexes ci-jointes, les biens, services et construction énumérés ici sur toute feuille ci-annexée, au(x) prix indiqué(s).

Comments – Commentaries

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Telephone No. – No de téléphone:

()

Facsimile No. – No de télécopieur:

()

Title-Sujet		
Construction – Detachment - Arviat		
Solicitation No. – No. de l'invitation	Amend. – Modif.	Date
201601066	No. : 2	July 13th, 2015
Client Reference No. - No. de Référence du Client		
201601066		
GETS Reference No. – No de Référence du SEAG		
201601066		
Solicitation Closes – L'invitation prend fin		
at - à 2 :00 pm EDT		
on - le July 28th, 2015		
F.O.B. - F.A.B.		
Destination		
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toute questions à :		
Eric Glynn – Contracting Authority		
Eric.glynn@rcmp-grc.gc.ca		
Telephone No. - No de téléphone	Fax:	
613-843-5533	613-825-0082	
Destination of Goods - Destinations des biens:		
See Herein		
Instructions : See Herein / Voir aux présentes		
Delivery Required – Livraison exigée:		
See Herein		
Name and Title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm.		
Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur		



Royal Canadian Mounted Police

Gendarmerie royale du Canada

Amendment #2 of Solicitation 201601066 has been issued to respond to Questions and Answers – Part 1, amend the ITT solicitation document, extend the solicitation period by an additional week (closes **on July 28th, 2015**), and to provide Addendum #1 to the Specifications/Drawings.

Questions and Answers – Part 1:

Q1 – In the French version of the ITT Solicitation, it states that the Substantial Completion date is on “March 31st, 2016”; however, the English version of the ITT Solicitation, it states that it is on October 31st, 2017. Which version is correct?

A1 – The English version of the ITT Solicitation is correct. Substantial Completion is on **“October 31st, 2017.”**

Q2 – With respect to SI01 (4)(a) which states “use a numbering system that corresponds to the bid solicitation”, can you please specify the section of the bid solicitation that the numbering system should correspond to?

A2 – This is an instruction to ensure that all bidders reference the correct sections of the solicitation when responding to the requirement. This includes all “General Instructions”, all “Special Instructions”, and all Annexes. ** Specifically, under Annex “E” – Evaluation Criteria for Inuit Benefits, we request that Bidders respond to each criterium as it is identified in the solicitation. (ie: M1, M2, M3).

Q3 – With respect to Annex “E” – Evaluation Criteria for Inuit Benefits, the Bidder Instructions indicate that “the Bidder is to respond to the Evaluation Criteria using the table formats below”. Can you please confirm whether you wish to have the response in table format or if this is actually a reference to the preferred numbering format in SI01 (4)(a)?

A3 – As per A2 above, we request that Bidders respond to each criterium as it is identified in the solicitation. The exact table format does not need to be followed, so long as it is clear for evaluation purposes.

Q4 – SI11 indicates that four (4) hardcopies of the Technical Proposal must be submitted. This portion of the document is reliant upon sub-contractor information which will be received up until the day of closing. This may be difficult as our offices are In Iqaluit, Nunavut. Currently we would need to submit the Technical Proposal and then submit an amendment which would need to be incorporated into the base document. Clarity may also be lost through an attempt to amend the Technical Proposal. Since the Technical Proposal will not contain any price information, we recommend that you consider acceptance of Technical Proposals by fax or by e-mail.

A4 – As per SI11, we require four (4) hardcopies of the Technical Proposal to be submitted at Bid Closing. We feel that last minute changes will be minor in nature, and as such, may still be submitted via



Royal Canadian Mounted Police

Gendarmerie royale du Canada

facsimile prior to the closing date and time. **Please note that Bids, and Amendments to Bids will NOT be accepted by email.**

Q5 – Under Annex “B” – Drawings, Drawing E-0002, Generator cord note: Can you please advise if the RCMP will be providing the Generator, as the note indicates (ie. top right-hand corner beside single line diagram) Electrical to provide 6m cord only? There is no separate section in **Annex “A” - Specifications** for the Generator.

A5 – Since the generator is portable, there is no installation required and it is “Supply Only”. The Generator specification in its full description is located under **Annex “B” – Drawings – Drawing E-002.**

Q6 – Is the Bid Bond to be made out to PWGSC, or the RCMP?

A6 – The RCMP.

Q7 – Do we need to sign the Invitation to Tender document?

A7 – Yes.

Q8 – Is there any sprinkler required for this project as there is reference to **Annex “A” – Specifications, Section 21 00 00** in the table of contents but no corresponding section in the specification?

A8 – No, there is no sprinkler system required.

Q9 – Under Annex “A” – Specifications, Section 10 22 13: Can you please specify the exact location for the wire mesh partitions?

A9 – Refer to **Annex “B” – Drawings, Sheet Number 1408-A-001** for construction of security partition types SR-1(*), SR-2, SR-7, SR-2A, SR-4B, SR-10B and Sheet Number 1408-A-100 for location of security partition types.

Q10 – Under Annex “B” – Drawings, Page 18 of 74 (ie. Sheet Number 1408-A200): There is exterior signage shown but nothing is identified in **Annex “A” - Specifications**. Can you please confirm if the exterior signage is required? If so, what are the specifications?

A10 – Under Bid and Acceptance (BA) Form, BA03 – The Offer: \$20,000.00 has been identified as a cash allowance for the supply and install of interior and exterior graphics.



Royal Canadian Mounted Police

Gendarmerie royale du Canada

Q11 – The bid form shows a Cost Allowance for testing for \$50,000. Is this amount intended to cover all associated costs on this job for testing including travel and out of town expense for consultants?

A11 – Yes.

Q12 – Under **Annex “A” – Specifications, Section 10 51 10**: There is no Evidence Locker shown within **Annex “B” – Drawings**. Can you please confirm if this item is still required?

A12 – There is no requirement for an Evidence Locker. See Addendum #1.

Q13 – There appears to be a discrepancy between **Annex “A” – Specifications** and **Annex “B” – Drawings** regarding the Operable Partition. The Specification asks for a **Folding Panel Operable Partition (Section 10 22 26)**. This product is an up to 4’ wide panelized system that has high acoustical value. However, the Drawings identify Accordion Doors. Accordion Doors are one long door that spans the opening with low acoustical value. Can you please clarify whether an Accordion Door, or an Operable Partition is required?

A13 – Specification Section 10 22 26 Folding Panel Operable Partitions and associated drawings will be removed from the contract. Addendum #2 to be issued for clarification.

Q14 – Under **Annex “A” – Specifications, Section 07 81 00 – Spray Applied Fireproofing**, it states that “all steel supporting floors are to be protected”. Can you confirm if this also includes the underside of the main floor, as well as the mezzanines?

A14 – The underside of the main floor does not require spray applied fireproofing.

Q15 – Under **Annex “A” – Specifications, Section 07 81 23 – Intumescent Fireproofing**, we are unable to find anything related to this under **Annex “B” - Drawings**. There is only a reference to intumescent paint in the room finish schedule for rooms 133, 134, 135, 136. Also, the intumescent coating requested is for structural steel. Is the 18 gauge steel ceiling to be fire rated with intumescent paint? If so, it will not be thick enough. For a one (1) hour rating, the minimum thickness of steel would be 5/16”. Can you please confirm the requirement?

A15 – Intumescent Paint will be applied to the walls and ceilings for rooms 133, 134, 135, and 136, noted as FCC in the room finish schedule and the specification section 07 81 23.



Royal Canadian Mounted Police

Gendarmerie royale du Canada

Q16 – Most of the Architectural Specification sections request a submittal of a Certificate from a Structural engineer and to employ a full-time structural engineer registered in Nunavut carrying a minimum \$2,000,000 in professional liability. As this is a lump sum bid project isn't the design responsibility that of the project consultants listed in the construction documents and not the bidders? Is this required or will the Design Consultant be providing this service? Does this requirement only refer to the submitting of stamped shop drawings? Please clarify.

A16 – The Design Consultants have identified the structural requirements for the project and it remains the responsibility of the General Contractor to ensure that these are being met. The requirements of the Architectural Specifications to employ a licensed professional is a standard requirement for the selected building systems / manufacturers. This requirement is often reviewed during the submittal of shop drawings to ensure that the Quality Assurance of the project is being met.

Q17 – Who is responsible for the site visit costs and out of town expenses for inspections to the site for the manufacturer's representatives and for the consultants mock up inspections?

A17 – Inspections for manufacturer's representatives if required will be taken from the testing allowance. Consultant mock up inspections will be reviewed during scheduled site review visits and coordinated by the General Contractor.

Q18 – It seems as the specifications are written similar to that of a Design-Build Contract. Can you please confirm that this is a stipulated price Contract where, we the Contractors are only required to build to your consultant's design?

A18 – This is not a Design-Build contract. This is a stipulated price contract.

Q19 – Most of the Architectural Specification sections requests to have "licensed installers" on site. It is difficult to find subtrades who will go up North and most companies who work in the North have their own crews that do all their own installs. Can you please confirm that we can use our own crew for this project for the following list of sections or from the specification sections not listed below?

- Elastomeric Sheet Waterproofing, Section 07 13 53, Service Room WaterProofing, Section 071813, Sprayed Fireproofing, Section 07 81 00, Intumescent Protection, Section 07 81 23, Weather-Resistive Barriers, Section 07 25 00, Sprayed Urethane Foam Insulation, Section 07 21 30, and Aluminum Composite Panel System, Section 07 42 43.

A19 – It remains the General Contractor and their sub-contractor's responsibility to ensure that all products are being installed by competent installers in accordance with the specifications. Several of the noted specification sections require site mock-ups or site reviews which will ensure that the Quality Assurance of the product installation is in accordance with the specifications.



Royal Canadian Mounted Police

Gendarmerie royale du Canada

Q20 – Do you require our name, address, telephone and fax number on the invitation to tender and the addendum acknowledgement forms to be submitted with the proposal?

A20 – Yes, as per the “Bid and Acceptance Form (BA)”, and Solicitation instructions.

Q21 – We believe that responding to the Point Rated Criteria of this solicitation would be too time consuming to meet the closing date of this Solicitation. Can you please either remove the Rated Criteria component, or extend the Solicitation period by an additional two (2) weeks?

A21 – We have decided to remove the Point Rated Criteria for this requirement. The new Basis of Selection will be “Mandatory Technical Criteria” (ie. The responsive bid with the lowest evaluated price will be recommended for award of a contract).

Q22 – Looking at the drawings and specs it appears that the Duplex Pump Controllers shown on the Mechanical Equipment Schedule are being provided as part of the mechanical contract. What about the other individual starters noted for AHU-1, AHU-2, P8, P9 & P13? Does electrical or mechanical supply disconnects and starters?

A22 – Starters and disconnennects are to be supplied by Electrcal. Refer to E002 for requirements. Pumps p10/p11 – Fuel Oil Pump have been eliminated from the scope.

Q23 – Tank T-10 is not approved for storing fuel for a generator. Will alternates be accepted? In addition, the National Fire Code will not allow a tank stand to exceed 12” without having a Fire Rating. Will a tank Meeting ULC s601 or S602 be acceptable?

A23 – Tank T-10 supplies fuel for heating, not for a generator. Fire Marshall Technical Bulletin FM-016-99 issued to supplement the consolidated Nunavut Fire Protection Act, indicates that a 2-hr fire rating for a tank stand is not required for tank sizes up to 500Gal.

** Alternate products for mechanical equipment will be accepted from the successful bidder during the shop drawing review stage.

Q24 – There is no specification for tank T-11. Can you please clarify?

A24 – T-11 was removed during design phase. Detail B/M600 will be crossed out on revised drawing M600, issued with Addendum #1.

Q25 – Schematic E on drawing M600 shows different requirements than the specifications. Can you clarify which one is correct? Also is there anything specific you are looking for in the fuel warming pipe?



Royal Canadian Mounted Police

Gendarmerie royale du Canada

A25 – Detail E of M600 is the correct arrangement for fuel oil piping. Specifications have been updated to agree with E/M600 and detail has been modified as part of updated M600 issued as part of this addendum. Fuel warming pipe is to meet fuel oil piping specifications and fabricated as shown on Detail E/M600.

Q26– Is there a piping arrangement drawing for the fuel piping?

A26 – Fuel Oil piping is shown on Detail A/M350 for routing inside of mechanical room. Routing outside of building is shown on revised M100 issued as part of Addendum #1. Route outdoor piping beneath soffit space and inside of chain link building skirting.

Q27– The Specification asks for the supply of cathodic protection for the piping with a reference to 26 42 00.01. We are unable to locate that section. Is this cathodic protection required?

A27 – This reference can be DELETED in its entirety.

Q28– Under Annex “B” – Drawings, Sheet Number E0001, can you please advise if security cameras, panic alarms, and security systems will be provided by others as there does not appear to be any specification for any of these? Would the electrical sub-consultant be responsible for the wiring of these devices?

A28 – The General Contractor is responsible for the rough-in installation only, as the equipment is owner supplied and installed.

Q29 – DRWG. M101, M105, M106 all indicated fuel oil day tank positioned beside glycol pumps. DRWG. M-600 SEC. B gives detail of day tank c/w level switch control strategy.

DRWG. M-600 SEC “E” indicates 2 warming pipes. Please indicate which of these systems is to be installed.

A29 – Fuel oil is to be a gravity fed system that incorporates two (2) warming pipes as shown in Detail E on M600. Drawings will be updated to be consistent, revised drawings to be issued as part of Addendum #1.

Q30 – When is the cut-off date to ask questions, or submit request for equal/alternative materials and products ?

A30 – Enquiries received after **July 17th, 2015** may not result in an answer being provided, as sufficient time is required to provide a response.



Royal Canadian Mounted Police
Gendarmerie royale du Canada



Royal Canadian Mounted Police

Gendarmerie royale du Canada

The following changes will be made to the ITT:

1. **FRENCH VERSION ONLY** - Under **Bid and Acceptance Form (BA) – Section BA06 Construction Time**, **DELETE** “Substantial Completion in March 31st, 2016”, and **REPLACE** with “Substantial Completion on **October 31st, 2017**”.
2. Under **SI10, Nunavut Land Claims Agreement, Part 1 – Contractor Selection**, **DELETE** “Highest Combined Technical Merit (25%) and Price (75%) - A0027T (2012-07-16)”, and **REPLACE** with “Mandatory Technical Criteria – A0031T (2010-08-16)”.
3. Under **SI12, Evaluation Procedures and Basis of Selection, Parts 2 & 3**, **DELETE** in its entirety, and **REPLACE** with the following:

 “2. Basis of Selection

 2.1 Mandatory Technical Criteria – A0031T (2010-08-16)

 A bid must comply with the requirements of the bid solicitation and meet all mandatory technical evaluation criteria to be declared responsive. The responsive bid with the lowest evaluated price will be recommended for award of a contract.”
4. Under **Annex “E” – Evaluation Criteria for Inuit Benefits, Section “Rated Requirements”**, **DELETE** in its entirety.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE ITT REMAIN UNCHANGED.