

RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
Public Works and Government Services / Travaux
publics et services gouvernementaux
Kingston Procurement
Des Acquisitions Kingston
86 Clarence Street, 2nd floor
Kingston
Ontario
K7L 1X3
Bid Fax: (613) 545-8067

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
Public Works and Government Services / Travaux
publics et services gouvernementaux
Kingston Procurement
Des Acquisitions Kingston
86 Clarence Street, 2nd floor
Kingston
Ontario
K7L 1X3

Title - Sujet Wildlife Control CFB Trenton	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation W0125-145089/B	Amendment No. - N° modif. 002
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client W0125-14-5089	Date 2015-07-14
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$KIN-655-6612	
File No. - N° de dossier KIN-3-40212 (655)	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2015-07-27	
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Semple, Patrick	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur kin655
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (613) 484-1928 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (613) 545-8067
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation

W0125-145089/B

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.

002

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur

kin655

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client

W0125-14-5089

File No. - N° du dossier

KIN-3-40212

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No/ N° VME

This page is left intentionally blank

Questions for site meeting - Wildlife Control CFB Trenton

Solicitation W0125-145089/B

Question 1: The RFP requires on site wildlife control services at CFB Trenton from 1 hour before sunrise to 1 hour after sunset, 365 days per year. This works out to be 5,110 on site control hours per year.

The RFP cites Mandatory Criteria M5 requiring a minimum of 1.5 people based on the previously mentioned work load. However, the Canadian and Provincial labour laws (work hours, vacation, stat days, sickness, etc.) that companies have to respect would dictate a minimum staff to be 3.

Would the government consider changing the staffing numbers mentioned in M5 in order to more accurately reflect the requirement?

Response 1: The mandatory requirement M5 is a minimum criterion for the bidder to qualify to participate in the evaluation process. It is not intended to specify how many personnel must be provided for the contract. The successful contractor must provide the amount of qualified personnel to comply with the statement of work and the Ontario Labour Regulations.

Question 2: CFS Mountainview has an eight-hour requirement for up to 200 days per year. When this site is in simultaneous operation with Trenton the minimum staffing requirement now goes up to 4 WCO's.

Please confirm that additional staff is required to service CFS Mountain View when CFB Trenton is in operation.

Response 2: The successful contractor must provide the amount of qualified personnel to comply with the statement of work and the Ontario Labour Regulations.

Question 3: Under section 3.1 the RFP mentions, "The objectives of carrying out this wildlife control are to safely manage risks of wildlife hazards to aircraft to the lowest reasonable practical level through dispersal and removal of wildlife".

Please define the word, "lowest".

Response 3: Section 3.1 is a general description of the scope of work. The wording is not intended to quantify the entire scope of work. The remainder of the scope of work does quantify and define the level of effort required.

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
W0125-145089/B
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client
W0125-14-5089

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
02
File No. - N° du dossier
KIN-3-40212

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
kin655
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

Question 4: In the last RFP Amendment #2 was issued to address the work load at CFB Mountain View. The amendment cited an 8 hour work day.

The new RFP under Annex B "Pricing Basis" cites that operations at CFS Mountainview are from sunrise to sunset.

Please could you clarify?

Response 4: The period of work for a day at CFS Mountainview will be 8 hours. The Task Authorization will specify the start and end time of each day.

Question 5: With respect to the example detailed monthly work program, do you intend it to still be for August 2015?

Response 5: The work period for the scheduling in R4 will be changed to September 2015.

Question 6: In the first solicitation we requested annual wildlife reports, but only received the 2013 report; are 2014 and 2012 available, and can we receive copies?

Response 6: The wildlife reports for 2012 or 2014 have been emailed to all bidders that attended the mandatory site visits.

Question 7: We also requested any other wildlife studies (e.g. risk analyses) and any existing wildlife management plans, but none were provided, so just wanted to clarify that there are no other wildlife reports/plans available for Trenton or the immediate surrounds?

Response 7: The purpose of the Technical Evaluation is to assess the bidder's knowledge and abilities. It would be inappropriate to provide the bidders with the information that is the subject of the technical evaluation.

Question 8: Are there additionally any wildlife reports, studies, or plans for Mountainview airfield that we could receive?

Response 8: Same as response 7.

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
W0125-145089/B
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client
W0125-14-5089

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
02
File No. - N° du dossier
KIN-3-40212

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
kin655
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

Question 9: This relates to a change in requirements with respect to point rated criteria.

In particular, I see there is a new requirement in the Point Rated Technical Criteria; it appears now that all of our human resources, including our part-time and back-up personnel, must each individually meet the Mandatory Criteria M5.

This new criterion renders some of our core staff ineligible to be included as any part of our team, and they would not be allocated any points on this basis.

It would be reasonable to expect them to undergo a refresher course and to spend some time working airside with our SWCO prior to being scheduled on alone. The same would be true for some other potential new team members with strong relevant backgrounds. Obviously, if awarded this contract we would be in a position to put on refresher courses/training. But to consider these personnel as non-responsive resources for back up and part time work on our team seems unreasonable. They can be up and current and ready to go by project inception if necessary.

I request that the new criterion in the Point Rated Technical Criteria R4 be reworded, to the effect that all personnel must meet mandatory requirements prior to being scheduled on alone. However, if the company and lead staff meet the mandatory criteria, the remaining team members should be evaluated on their relative merits.

For staff who have exceeded the requisite hours but where some of those hours were over 5 years ago, the bidder should be allowed to indicate how they would provide upgrade training to bring these experienced staff to current prior to inception of work.

For staff whose wildlife training courses were over 5 years ago, the bidder should be able to provide them with a course by a recognized trainer prior to inception of the work, or prior to scheduling of an employee. For people with prior extensive relevant experience, there is adequate time to do this between contract award and start of work.

Response 9: The successful bidder may have approximately one month to prepare before they are required to provide wildlife control services. The requirement for training will be deleted from R3 and R4 of the point rated Technical Evaluation. The successful bidder must have a sufficient number of fully trained and qualified personnel at the start of the Contract.

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation
W0125-145089/B
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client
W0125-14-5089

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.
02
File No. - N° du dossier
KIN-3-40212

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
kin655
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

Amendments to Solicitation

ANNEX "D" Mandatory and Point Rated Technical Evaluation Criteria Mandatory Technical Criteria

Mandatory Technical Criteria M2

Delete:

- (a) No smaller than CFB Trenton (CFB Trenton's size is 44,000 square meters fenced in area), and

Insert:

- (a) No smaller than 3,000,000 square meters; and

Point Rated Technical Evaluation Criteria

C. Schedules:

Delete:

³ Proposed resources must fully meet Mandatory Technical Criteria M5 to be considered for this evaluation.

Insert:

³ Proposed resources must fully meet Mandatory Technical Criteria M5 (with the exception of M5(c)) to be considered for this evaluation.