

**RETURN BIDS TO:**  
**RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:**  
**Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions**  
**- TPSGC**  
**11 Laurier St. / 11 rue Laurier**  
**Place du Portage, Phase III**  
**Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2**  
**Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5**  
**Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776**

## **SOLICITATION AMENDMENT MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

### **Comments - Commentaires**

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address**  
**Raison sociale et adresse du**  
**fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

**Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution**  
Consultant Services Division/Division des services  
d'experts-conseils  
11 Laurier St./11 Rue Laurier  
3C2, Place du Portage  
Phase III  
Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5

<b>Title - Sujet</b> West Memorial Building	
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> EH900-160145/A	<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 006
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> 20160145	<b>Date</b> 2015-09-10
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$\$FE-172-67759	
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> fe172.EH900-160145	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> <b>on - le 2015-09-18</b>	<b>Time Zone</b> <b>Fuseau horaire</b> Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT
<b>F.O.B. - F.A.B.</b> <b>Plant-Usine:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Destination:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Other-Autre:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Boujenoui(fe172), Nabil	<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> fe172
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (819) 956-6145 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> ( ) -
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b> West Memorial Building 344 Wellington Street Ottawa, Ontario	

**Instructions: See Herein**

**Instructions: Voir aux présentes**

<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>	<b>Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée</b>
<b>Vendor/Firm Name and Address</b> <b>Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur</b>	
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> <b>Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur</b>	
<b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm</b> <b>(type or print)</b> <b>Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/</b> <b>de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b>	
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>

---

**This Amendment is issued to correct the following:**

(1) To re-issue the following questions which did not appear in the English version only of Amendment 005 due to a technical issue:

**Question 020:**

With respect to a sub-consultant, is security clearance required in order to submit a proposal for this RFP?

**Answer 020 :**

Please refer to SRE 3.1.5 and to Appendix F.

**Question 021:**

What is the process for obtaining a security clearance?

**Answer 021:**

Please contact the contracting Authority mentioned on the solicitation. Please note that security clearance can take weeks or months to obtain depending on the requested level of clearance and individual circumstances.

**Question 022:**For the joint venture, is there a specific format that the client wants to see for the agreement or just a general letter?

**Answer 022:**

Please refer to R1410T General Instructions (GI), Section GI14 "Joint Venture".

**Question 023:**

Can one of the architects that is part of the joint venture prime consultant also be the Heritage Architect?

**Answer 023:**

In **SRE 3.2.2 Achievements of Key Sub-consultants and Specialists on Projects**, it is indicated that ``If the Proponent proposes to provide multi-disciplinary services which might otherwise be performed by a sub-consultant, this should be reflected here.`` Therefore, a member of the joint venture that is the Proponent can also be the Heritage Conservation Architect.

**Question 024:**

If the heritage architect is one of the prime consultants as well, can the same projects be used as reference in both categories and will this impact the scoring one way or another?

**Answer 024:**

Similar to the response to Question 023, the proponent may choose to include related projects for both SRE 3.2.1 and 3.2.2. In this case, the selected projects submitted for 3.2.1 would represent the joint venture as the Proponent, while those selected for the Heritage Conservation Architect in 3.2.2 would represent the heritage conservation architect specialty.

(2) To respond to the following additional questions:

**Question 025**

In order to review the gap analysis of seismic loading, will PWGSC provide an ETABS model (as PWGSC appears to prefer) to the Consultant?

**Answer 025**

The report "Seismic and Loading Assessment (May 2015)" prepared by Halsall Associates will be provided to the successful proponent. It includes the "Computer Model Output Summary" in the appendix. A soft copy of SET, EDB, and Icon files from the ETAB model will also be available.

**Question 026:**

Regarding Addendum 05, Answer 010: Can you please provide separate budget costs for Demolitions broken out from the cost of abatements currently shown as one amount in line item D21 in the elemental cost breakdown provided in Answer 010. I.e. Please confirm how much of the \$5,736,000 line item D21 budget is abatement cost?

**Answer 026:**

The amount included in line item D21 for abatement of hazardous materials is \$1,861,376.