

**RETURN BIDS TO:**  
**RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:**  
**Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions**  
**- TPSGC**  
**11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier**  
**Place du Portage , Phase III**  
**Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2**  
**Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5**  
**Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776**

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT**  
**MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

**Comments - Commentaires**

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address**  
**Raison sociale et adresse du**  
**fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

**Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution**  
Business Management and Consulting Services  
Division / Division des services de gestion des affaires  
et de consultation  
11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier  
10C1, Place du Portage  
Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5

<b>Title - Sujet</b> Defence Sect Research & Analysis Se	
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> UT830-153671/A	<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 007
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> UT830-153671	<b>Date</b> 2015-09-21
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$\$ZG-421-29395	
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> 421zg.UT830-153671	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> <b>on - le 2015-09-28</b>	<b>Time Zone</b> <b>Fuseau horaire</b> Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT
<b>F.O.B. - F.A.B.</b> <b>Plant-Usine:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Destination:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Other-Autre:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Dubé, Robert	<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> 421zg
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (819) 956-0473 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> ( ) -
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b>	

**Instructions: See Herein**

**Instructions: Voir aux présentes**

<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>	<b>Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée</b>
<b>Vendor/Firm Name and Address</b> <b>Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur</b>	
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> <b>Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur</b>	
<b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm</b> <b>(type or print)</b> <b>Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/</b> <b>de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b>	
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation

UT830-153671/A

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.

007

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur

421zg

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client

UT830-153671

File No. - N° du dossier

421zgUT830-153671

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No/ N° VME

---

**SEE ATTACHED DOCUMENT**

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation  
UT830-153671/A  
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client  
UT830-153671

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.  
007  
File No. - N° du dossier  
421zg UT830-153671

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur  
421zg  
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

---

### AMENDMENT # 007

This amendment solicitation is raised to:

A) make revisions and/or clarifications to the RFP; and

B) extend the bid closing date to **September 28, 2015 at 2:00PM, Eastern daylight saving time (EDT).**

\*\*\*\*\*

A) To make the following revision(s) to the RFP document:

**1) In Part 3 – BID PREPARATION INSTRUCTIONS, ATTACHMENT 1 TO PART 3, PRICING SCHEDULE.**

Under Table 2 – Task Based Work:

**DELETE:**

NOTE: Total for Table 2 (TTP 2) will be used to assess Financial Criteria at a rate of **10%**

**REPLACED with:**

NOTE: Total for Table 2 (TTP 2) will be used to assess Financial Criteria at a rate of **5%**

**2) In Part 4 – EVALUATION PROCEDURES AND BASIS OF SELECTION.**

Under section 4.2 Basis of Selection:

**DELETE:**

**4.2 Basis of Selection**

**4.2.1. Basis of Selection – Highest Combined Rating of Technical Merit [75%] and Price [25%]”. The evaluation of price will be done in two parts: [15%] for Table 1 (TTP 1) and [10%] for Table 2 (TTP2) in Attachment 1 to Part 3.**

4.2.1.1 To be declared responsive, a bid must:

- (a) comply with all the requirements of the bid solicitation;
- (b) meet all the mandatory evaluation criteria; and
- (c) obtain the required minimum pass mark specified in Attachment 1 to Part 4 for the point rated technical criteria.

4.2.1.2 Bids not meeting 4.2.1.1 (a) or (b) or (c) will be declared non-responsive. Neither the responsive bid obtaining the highest number of points nor the one with the lowest evaluated price will necessarily be accepted.

4.2.1.3 The lowest evaluated price (LP) of all responsive bids will be identified and a pricing score (PS), determined as follows, will be allocated to each responsive bid (i):

- Total Price for Table 1 (TTP1) :  $PS_i [TTP1] = LP / P_i \times 15$ .  $P_i$  is the evaluated price (P) of each responsive bid (i)

- Total Price for Table 2 (TTP2) :  $PSi [TTP2] = LP / Pi \times 10$ .  $Pi$  is the evaluated price (P) of each responsive bid (i)

4.2.1.4 A technical merit score (TMS), determined as follows, will be allocated to each responsive bid (i):  $TMSi = OSi \times 75$ .  $OSi$  is the overall score (OS) obtained by each responsive bid (i) for all the point rated technical criteria specified in Attachment 1 to Part 4, determined as follows: total number of points obtained / maximum number of points available.

4.2.1.5 The combined rating (CR) of technical merit and price of each responsive bid (i) will be determined as follows:  $CRi = PSi [TTP1] + PSi [TTP2] + TMSi$ .

4.2.1.6 The responsive bid with the highest combined rating of technical merit and price will be recommended for award of a contract. In the event two or more responsive bids have the same highest combined rating of technical merit and price, the responsive bid that obtained the highest overall score for all the point rated technical criteria detailed in Attachment 1 to Part 4 will be recommended for award of a contract.

4.2.1.7 The table below illustrates an example where all three bids are responsive and the selection of the Contractor is determined by a 75/25 ratio of the technical merit and price, respectively.

<b>Basis of Selection - Highest Combined Rating of Technical Merit (75%) and Price (25%), where Price is broken down as follows: 15% for TTP1 and 10% for TTP2</b>				
<b>Bidder</b>	<b>Bidder 1</b>	<b>Bidder 2</b>		<b>Bidder 3</b>
<b>Overall Score for All the Point Rated Technical Criteria</b>	OS1: 120	OS2: 112		OS3: 95
<b>Bid Evaluated Price For Table 1 (TTP1)</b>	PS1 C\$78,500	PS2 C\$54,000		PS3 C\$53,000
<b>Bid Evaluated Price For Table 2 (TTP2)</b>	PS1 C\$20,000	PS2 C\$17,000		PS3 C\$15,000
<b>Calculations</b>	<b>Technical Merit Score (75%)</b>	<b>Pricing Score 1 (15%)</b>	<b>Pricing Score 2 (10%)</b>	<b>Combined Rating</b>
<b>Bidder 1</b>	$120/140 \times 75 = 64.29$	$53/78.5 \times 15 = 10.13$	$15/20 \times 10 = 7.50$	81.91
<b>Bidder 2</b>	$112/140 \times 75 = 60$	$53/54 \times 15 = 14.72$	$15/17 \times 10 = 8.82$	<b>83.55</b>
<b>Bidder 3</b>	$95/140 \times 75 = 50.89$	$53/53 \times 15 = 15$	$15/15 \times 10 = 10$	75.89

Based on the above table, Bidder 2 would be recommended for Contract award.

## REPLACE With:

### 4.2 Basis of Selection

- 4.2.1 **Basis of Selection – Highest Combined Rating of Technical Merit [80%] and Price [20%]**. The evaluation of price will be done in two parts: [15%] for Table 1 (TTP 1) and [5%] for Table 2 (TTP2) in Attachment 1 to Part 3.

4.2.1.1 To be declared responsive, a bid must:

- 
- (a) comply with all the requirements of the bid solicitation;
  - (b) meet all the mandatory evaluation criteria; and
  - (c) obtain the required minimum pass mark specified in Attachment 1 to Part 4 for the point rated technical criteria.

4.2.1.2 Bids not meeting 4.2.1.1 (a) or (b) or (c) will be declared non-responsive. Neither the responsive bid obtaining the highest number of points nor the one with the lowest evaluated price will necessarily be accepted.

4.2.1.3 The lowest evaluated price (LP) of all responsive bids will be identified and a pricing score (PS), determined as follows, will be allocated to each responsive bid (i):

- Total Price for Table 1 (TTP1) :  $PS_i [TTP1] = LP / P_i \times 15$ .  $P_i$  is the evaluated price (P) of each responsive bid (i)
- Total Price for Table 2 (TTP2) :  $PS_i [TTP2] = LP / P_i \times 5$ .  $P_i$  is the evaluated price (P) of each responsive bid (i)

4.2.1.4 A technical merit score (TMS), determined as follows, will be allocated to each responsive bid (i):  $TMS_i = OS_i \times 80$ .  $OS_i$  is the overall score (OS) obtained by each responsive bid (i) for all the point rated technical criteria specified in Attachment 1 to Part 4, determined as follows: total number of points obtained / maximum number of points available.

4.2.1.5 The combined rating (CR) of technical merit and price of each responsive bid (i) will be determined as follows:  $CR_i = PS_i [TTP1] + PS_i [TTP2] + TMS_i$ .

4.2.1.6 The responsive bid with the highest combined rating of technical merit and price will be recommended for award of a contract. In the event two or more responsive bids have the same highest combined rating of technical merit and price, the responsive bid that obtained the highest overall score for all the point rated technical criteria detailed in Attachment 1 to Part 4 will be recommended for award of a contract.

4.2.1.7 The table below illustrates an example where all three bids are responsive and the selection of the Contractor is determined by a **80/20** ratio of the technical merit and price, respectively.

<b>Basis of Selection - Highest Combined Rating of Technical Merit (80%) and Price (20%), where Price is broken down as follows: 15% for TTP1 and 5% for TTP2</b>				
<b>Bidder</b>	<b>Bidder 1</b>	<b>Bidder 2</b>		<b>Bidder 3</b>
<b>Overall Score for All the Point Rated Technical Criteria</b>	OS1: 120	OS2: 112		OS3: 95
<b>Bid Evaluated Price For Table 1 (TTP1)</b>	PS1 C\$78,500	PS2 C\$54,000		PS3 C\$53,000
<b>Bid Evaluated Price For Table 2 (TTP2)</b>	PS1 C\$20,000	PS2 C\$17,000		PS3 C\$15,000
<b>Calculations</b>	<b>Technical Merit Score (80%)</b>	<b>Pricing Score 1 (15%)</b>	<b>Pricing Score 2 (5%)</b>	<b>Combined Rating</b>
<b>Bidder 1</b>	$120/140 \times 80 = 68.57$	$53/78.5 \times 15 = 10.13$	$15/20 \times 5 = 3.75$	<b>82.45</b>

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation  
UT830-153671/A  
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client  
UT830-153671

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.  
007  
File No. - N° du dossier  
421zg UT830-153671

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur  
421zg  
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

<b>Bidder 2</b>	112/140 x 80 = 64.00	53/54 x 15 = 14.72	15/17 x 5 = 4.41	<b>83.13</b>
<b>Bidder 3</b>	95/140 x 80 = 54.29	53/53 x 15 = 15.00	15/15 x 5 = 5.00	<b>74.29</b>

Based on the above table, Bidder 2 would be recommended for Contract award.

\*\*\*\*\*

**B)** Please note that the bid closing date has been extended to **September 28, 2015 at 2:00PM, Eastern daylight saving time (EDT)**.

**ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE BID SOLICITATION REMAIN UNCHANGED**