

RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

**Bid Receiving Public Works and Government
Services Canada/Réception des soumissions Travaux
publics et Services gouvernementaux Canada**
800 Burrard Street, Room 219
800, rue Burrard, pièce 219
Vancouver, B.C.
Vancouver
British Columbia
V6Z 0B9
Bid Fax: (604) 775-9381

Revision to a Request for a Standing Offer

Révision à une demande d'offre à commandes

Departmental Individual Standing Offer (DISO)

Offre à commandes individuelle du département(OCID)

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless
otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the
Offer remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication
contraire, les modalités de l'offre demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Public Works and Government Services Canada -
Pacific Region
800 Burrard Street, Room 219
800, rue Burrard, pièce 219
Vancouver, B.C.
V6Z 0B9
British C

| | |
|--|--|
| Title - Sujet Architectural Services SOA | |
| Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EZ899-160176/A | Date 2015-09-24 |
| Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client | Amendment No. - N° modif. 001 |
| File No. - N° de dossier TPV-5-38077 (026) | CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME |
| GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$TPV-026-7613 | |
| Date of Original Request for Standing Offer 2015-09-08 | |
| Date de la demande de l'offre à commandes originale | |
| Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2015-10-19 | |
| Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Liu (TPV), Patty | Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur tpv026 |
| Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (604) 775-6227 () | FAX No. - N° de FAX (604) 775-6633 |
| Delivery Required - Livraison exigée | |
| Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: CSC - Various Locations - Various, BC & Yukon | |
| Security - Sécurité This revision does not change the security requirements of the Offer. Cette révision ne change pas les besoins en matière de sécurité de la présente offre. | |

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

| | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Acknowledgement copy required | Yes - Oui | No - Non |
| Accusé de réception requis | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| The Offeror hereby acknowledges this revision to its Offer. Le proposant constate, par la présente, cette révision à son offre. | | |
| Signature | Date | |
| Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of offeror. (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du proposant. (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie) | | |
| For the Minister - Pour le Ministre | | |

Amendment 001 has been raised to answer questions from potential bidders.

Q1. Please confirm if proponents must be currently licensed to operate in Yukon or if they need to be eligible to obtain licensing.

A1. Proponents must be eligible to obtain licensing to operate in Yukon. Proponents are reminded for BC they must be licensed.

Q2. Please confirm that a Procurement Business Number (PBN) is not required at submission

A2. A PBN is not required at submission; however, will be required for the successful proponent(s).

Q3. Under 3.2.2.1 and 3.2.2.3 in Rated requirements the term “demonstrate application of public processes and practices” is used. This is broad and vague and can mean a number of different things. Can further explanation of this term in the context of this proposal be provided for respondents?

A3. No additional explanation will be provided.

Q4. 3.2.3 Senior Personnel - What minimum number of years of experience is required of a senior personnel if any?

A4. AEC industry accepted standard is 10 years.

Q5. 3.2.4 Project Personnel - What minimum number of years of experience is required of a Project personnel if any?

A5. No additional explanation will be required.

Q6. 3.2.2.1 Project Experience - Is previous experience on the mentioned typologies (offices, border crossing, laboratories and light industrial buildings) a prerequisite? If example projects are not used will this be used to score against proponents?

A6. Previous experience on mentioned typologies is not a prerequisite, if project + experience is deemed applicable it will be used to improve but not penalize a score.

Q7. 3.2.2 Further to the above, is previous PWGSC/Federal experience a prerequisite and will specifying or using PWGSC projects be seen as adding to the proposal score?

A7. Previous experience on mentioned typologies is not a prerequisite, if project + experience is deemed applicable it will be used to improve but not penalize a score.

Q8. 3.2.2.1 Project Experience: Design & 3.2.2.2 Project Experience: Process
Do these projects need to be completed in the past 5 years?

A8. Yes.

Q9. 3.2.2.3 Project Experience: Implementation

Do you expect to see projects in this section, or are we to apply these headings to the projects noted in 3.2.2.1 and 3.2.2.2?

A9. Apply these headings to the projects noted in 3.2.2.1 and 3.2.2.2.

Q10. Section 3.2.2 of the rated requirements 'Firm Experience: Selected Projects' is split into 3.2.2.1 Design, 3.2.2.2 Process and 3.2.2.3 Implementation. Each of these sub sections states 1 page of text and images of each project. Please clarify if there is to be a single page for a single project containing all the information requested for design, process, and implementation, as well as images. Or if there is to be one page of information and one page of images, or if there is to be one page of text with images on each of the topics (one page per project on design, one page per project on process, etc).?

A10. One page of text with images on each of the topics (one page per project on design, one page per project on process, etc).

All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.