

## RETURN BIDS TO:

## RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

**Bid Receiving Public Works and Government  
Services Canada/Réception des soumissions Travaux  
publics et Services gouvernementaux Canada**  
800 Burrard Street, Room 219  
800, rue Burrard, pièce 219  
Vancouver, B.C.  
Vancouver  
British Columbia  
V6Z 0B9  
Bid Fax: (604) 775-9381

## Revision to a Request for a Standing Offer

## Révision à une demande d'offre à commandes

Departmental Individual Standing Offer (DISO)

Offre à commandes individuelle du département(OCID)

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless  
otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the  
Offer remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication  
contraire, les modalités de l'offre demeurent les mêmes.

## Comments - Commentaires

## Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du  
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

## Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Public Works and Government Services Canada -  
Pacific Region  
800 Burrard Street, Room 219  
800, rue Burrard, pièce 219  
Vancouver, B.C.  
V6Z 0B9  
British C

<b>Title - Sujet</b> Architectural Services SOA	
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> EZ899-160176/A	<b>Date</b> 2015-09-28
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b>	<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 002
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> TPV-5-38077 (026)	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$TPV-026-7613	
<b>Date of Original Request for Standing Offer</b> 2015-09-08	
<b>Date de la demande de l'offre à commandes originale</b>	
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> <b>on - le 2015-10-19</b>	
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Liu (TPV), Patty	<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> tpv026
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (604) 775-6227 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> (604) 775-6633
<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>	
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b> CSC - Various Locations - Various, BC & Yukon	
<b>Security - Sécurité</b> This revision does not change the security requirements of the Offer. Cette révision ne change pas les besoins en matière de sécurité de la présente offre.	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

<b>Acknowledgement copy required</b>	<b>Yes - Oui</b>	<b>No - Non</b>
<b>Accusé de réception requis</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>The Offeror hereby acknowledges this revision to its Offer.</b> <b>Le proposant constate, par la présente, cette révision à son offre.</b>		
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of offeror. (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du proposant. (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)		
<b>For the Minister - Pour le Ministre</b>		

---

Les documents français seront disponibles sur demande.

Amendment 002 has been raised to answer questions from potential bidders.

Q1. Section SRE 2.2.1 Requirement for Proposal Format, point 5 request 'single sided submissions'. Is this in fact the case?

A1. Yes.

Q2. Within 3.2.1 2a asks for the Roles and Responsibilities of Key Personnel. Are there specific disciplines you are looking for?

A2. View Price Proposal Form Appendix B for Key Personnel.

Q3. Within 3.2.2. Form Experience: Selected Projects is not directly scored. The scoring is related to sub-sections 3.2.2.1., 3.2.2.2., and 3.2.2.3. Are we to discuss three (3) projects, and only in the context of the topics within the subsections?

A3. Yes.

Q4. Further to section 3.2.2 "Firm Experience: Selected Projects" we are wondering whether the RFP request for "A brief description of 3 significant projects of which one is new construction valued under \$10,000,000, one is rehabilitation valued under \$1,000,000 and one is renovation valued under \$500,000" is stating that each of these projects be discussed per points 3.2.2.1, 3.2.2.2, 3.2.2.3 or whether points 3.2.2.1, 3.2.2.2, and 3.2.2.3 are each intended to involve one project which can represent one of the three categories "new construction valued under \$10,000,000," "rehabilitation valued under \$1,000,000" and "renovation valued under \$500,000". Please clarify.

A4. Each project selected to be discuss per points 3.2.2.1, 3.2.2.2, 3.2.2.3.

Q5. Within 3.2.2 for Zone A, should all examples be corrections or should they be a mix of corrections and non-corrections examples?

A5. Zone A should be examples of Correctional Building Projects.

All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.