



SYSTEMS DELIVERY AND PROJECT PORTFOLIO MANAGEMENT

ATTACHMENT 2 TO ANNEX H

TECHNICAL PROPOSAL EVALUATION SUBMISSION TABLES

AFIS RENEWAL

Last Updated Date: 2015-10-14

Status: Draft

WBS: REB-11

Version: 0.1

RDIMS Document No.: 42672

Classification: Protected A

RECORD OF AMENDMENTS

Version No.	RDIMS Ver.	Date	Comments	Author (s)
------------------------	-----------------------	-------------	-----------------	-------------------

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	GENERAL	1
1.2	PROPOSAL FORMAT	1
1.3	RESPONSE TO REQUIREMENTS.....	1
1.4	COMPLETING THE SUBMISSION AND EVALUATION TABLES.....	2
1.5	SUBMISSION EVALUATION TABLES	2

TABLES

TABLE 1 :	MANDATORY REQUIREMENTS TABLE	3
TABLE 2 :	PROJECT MANAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS TABLE	10
TABLE 3 :	FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS TABLE	20
TABLE 4 :	OPTIONAL REQUIREMENTS TABLE	21
TABLE 5 :	TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS TABLE	24
TABLE 6 :	IMPLEMENTATION REQUIREMENTS TABLE	28
TABLE 7 :	BENCHMARK PLAN REQUIREMENTS TABLE	29

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 GENERAL

1. This Attachment 2 to Annex H describes the format of the submission tables that are expected to be used when responding to the technical details of this AFIS Renewal RFP. The Bidder's submission tables will be critical for the effective evaluation of the Bidder's proposal; therefore, it is recommended that the Bidder ensure their proposal clearly articulates how their solution satisfies the requirement to achieve the best possible score.

1.2 PROPOSAL FORMAT

1. The Bidder should provide a Table of Contents listing all of the documents and material included in each Section of the proposal, and all material(s) specified as Proposal submission requirements or are otherwise used by the Bidder as reference materials in the Proposal.
2. The Bidder's Technical Proposal should be provided using the following format:
 - a. Section 1: Executive Summary and Corporate Profile - This Section must include a signed copy of page "1" of this RFP. This Section may also contain an executive format and/or letter of transmittal at the Bidder's discretion. This should include, at a minimum, the Name and Telephone Number of a single person that may be contacted by Canada concerning any issues relating to the RFP and this may also include a brief corporate profile of the Bidder and its major subcontractors;
 - b. Section 2: Project Management Requirements
 - c. Section 3: Functional Requirements
 - d. Section 4: Optional Requirements
 - e. Section 5: Technical Requirements
 - f. Section 6: Implementation Requirements
 - g. Section 7: Benchmark Requirements
 - h. Section 8: Attachments - This Section may include technical brochures etc., Corporate References, Proposed Personnel's References, Contract Deliverable Requirements List, Plans and any other bid submission deliverable not otherwise specified.

1.3 RESPONSE TO REQUIREMENTS

1. The Bidder is to provide a Technical Proposal that responds to the Mandatory and Rated requirements in the SOW and its accompanying documents in the format set out in the Submission and Evaluation Tables indicated herein. These tables are expected to be the primary portion of the Bidder's Requirements Traceability Matrix (RTM). Refer to the main AFIS renewal SOW for additional information concerning the RTM. It should be noted that the Bidder may expand the tables to accommodate its response.

- a. Mandatory Requirements
 - i. Mandatory Requirements will be evaluated in Stage 1 – Confirmation of Compliance to Mandatory Requirements of the Evaluation Process; and verified, as required, in Stage 3 – AFIS Renewal Benchmark Testing;
 - ii. Bidders are to respond to each Mandatory Requirement by completing the appropriate Submission and Evaluation Response Table for each section detailed in the SOW and its annexes; and
 - iii. Bidders that fail to meet the Mandatory Requirements will be disqualified.
- b. Rated Requirements
 - i. Rated Requirements will be evaluated in Stage 2 – Evaluation of Rated Requirements of the Evaluation Process and verified, as required, in Stage 3 – AFIS Renewal Benchmark Testing.
 - ii. Bidders are to respond to each Rated Requirement by completing the appropriate Submission and Evaluation Response Table for each section detailed in the SOW and its annexes.
 - iii. The rating tables contain a compilation of information extracted from the SOW documents and additional information required from Bidder's to identify the Bidder's ability to support the requirements of this RFP. The Bidder should note that if there is a discrepancy between information in the tables herein and the SOW that the SOW will take precedence.
- c. The rated requirements include the following:
 - i. Project Management;
 - ii. Functional Requirements
 - iii. Technical Requirements
 - iv. Optional Requirements
 - v. Implementation Requirements
 - vi. Benchmark Plan Requirements

1.4 COMPLETING THE SUBMISSION AND EVALUATION TABLES

1. Bidders are to complete a separate table for the main SOW and each Annex for this AFIS Renewal RFP for all requirements.
2. Bidders are to respond to each Mandatory and Rated item(s) using the format of the tables herein.
3. Bidders should note that their response to each rated requirements will be evaluated using the rating scales included in Annex H and the criteria contained on the same row in Column "Evaluation Criteria".

1.5 SUBMISSION EVALUATION TABLES

1. The following identifies the tables to be used for the Bidder's proposal response.

Requirements – Mandatory

Submission and Evaluation Table						
Section Number	Section Name/ Evaluation Subject	Submission Requirements	Compliant Y/N	COTS Y/N	Bidder's Response	Bidder Reference d Info
A	B	C	D	E	F	G

Table 1 : Mandatory Requirements Table

Project Management Requirements – Rated**Submission and Evaluation Table**

Section Number	Section Name/ Evaluation Subject	Points	Submission Requirements	Evaluation Criteria	Bidders Response	Bidder Reference Info
A	B	C	D	E	F	G
N/A	Draft Project Documentation	25	<p>The Bidder should provide a draft version of the following plans in its proposal:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Systems Engineering Management Plan; • Quality Assurance Plan; • Requirements Management Plan; • Configuration Management Plan; • Risk Management Plan; • Document Management; and • Sub Contractor Management Plan. <p>Systems Engineering Management Plan</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approach, tools, and standards to be used in performing the System Design, Business Process Engineering, Application, Software Design and Development to support the AFIS Renewal RFP requirements; • Approach to configuring COTS to obtain the maximum benefits of each product; • Approach, tools and standards for incorporating changes to business rules as the project proceeds; • The major deliverables and objectives expected from the System Engineering (SE) process that ensures all the 	As a minimum, the Bidder is to address the requirements listed. Each plan will be rated in accordance with the general evaluation guidelines. The Bidder's score will be calculated by determining the average rating factor for all plans and applying it against the maximum points available.		

Project Management Requirements – Rated**Submission and Evaluation Table**

Section Number	Section Name/ Evaluation Subject	Points	Submission Requirements	Evaluation Criteria	Bidders Response	Bidder Reference Info
A	B	C	D	E	F	G
			<p>requirements in the AFIS Renewal RFP are satisfied;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A description of the configurable and non-configurable parameters that shall be used; • Approach to demonstrating how the system design will support the performance and volumetric requirements in the AFIS Renewal RFP; • An identification of other SE standards that shall be followed; and • A description of how the AFIS SE efforts (e.g. requirements analysis, system design, custom software development, testing, training, data conversion and implementation) will be integrated and coordinated with RCMP/SSC RTID related components. <p>Quality Assurance Plan</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Develop, recommend, document, implement, and maintain a policy and the necessary processes to ensure quality deliverables. • Establish, document and communicate the standards and assurance procedures for deliverables. • Liaise with project personnel to ensure 			

Project Management Requirements – Rated**Submission and Evaluation Table**

Section Number	Section Name/ Evaluation Subject	Points	Submission Requirements	Evaluation Criteria	Bidders Response	Bidder Reference Info
A	B	C	D	E	F	G
			<p>that quality assurance standards and procedures established for the project conform to RCMP/CIO standards.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Establish metrics from measuring quality and performance. • Monitor deliverables to verify that standards are being met, dealing with variances locally first, and then raising concerns to higher levels if problems are encountered. • Quality reviews. <p>Requirements Management Plan</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Develop and implement a Requirements Traceability Matrix (RTM) that initially reflects the Bidder's compliancy to the requirements, and then used to manage all functional and technical requirements throughout the SDLC of the project, using the contractual requirements as the starting point. • The RTM shall track each requirement through all project phases, capturing key status information as well as any significant business or technical decisions related to each requirement. • The RTM shall be developed in an iterative fashion, where details are added 			

Project Management Requirements – Rated**Submission and Evaluation Table**

Section Number	Section Name/ Evaluation Subject	Points	Submission Requirements	Evaluation Criteria	Bidders Response	Bidder Reference Info
A	B	C	D	E	F	G
			<p>as they become known through the project life cycle.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The RTM shall be provided to the RCMP on a regular basis, at key milestones throughout the project. • An RCMP document management tool (e.g. RDIMS) will be used to manage the RTM. • Note: the RTM is also a critical deliverable that must be included in the Bidder's proposal response. <p>Configuration Management Plan</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Manage the contractual baselines as well as design baselines established throughout the course of the project. • Establish baselines only after the formal reviews with RCMP or its representatives. • Update the Requirements Traceability Matrix with the designated development information down to the module level or COTS function. • Use a Configuration Management tool to record initial versions and manage changes to Business requirements; 			

Project Management Requirements – Rated**Submission and Evaluation Table**

Section Number	Section Name/ Evaluation Subject	Points	Submission Requirements	Evaluation Criteria	Bidders Response	Bidder Reference Info
A	B	C	D	E	F	G
			<p>Designs; Workflows; Procedures; Documentation; Hardware; COTS software; Custom-developed software modules; Conversion software; Physical data base design; Application code; All supporting tools, etc.</p> <p>Problem Resolution Plan</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This must be the Bidder's internal plan coordinated / integrated with RCMP's Work Item (i.e. incident management) tool. <p>Risk Management Plan</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Review existing project schedules and system diagrams to determine key areas for potential development bottlenecks or failure points. Conduct workshop meetings with the management team to validate and quantify risk events in terms of time delay, or other negative effects. Develop a risk matrix mapping key events to their areas of impact with cell contents indicating likelihood of occurrence for use within the project. Risk evaluation/prioritization. 			

Project Management Requirements – Rated**Submission and Evaluation Table**

Section Number	Section Name/ Evaluation Subject	Points	Submission Requirements	Evaluation Criteria	Bidders Response	Bidder Reference Info
A	B	C	D	E	F	G
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mitigation plans; • Risk review meetings. <p>Document Management Plan</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Procedures for document management for project documents, including e-mail policies and procedures for managing e-mail documents are in place. Any RTID/RCMP related documents must be securely exchanged and it is the Contractor's responsibility to provide an approved document exchange mechanism if off-site (i.e. non-RCMP site) resources require access to RTID/RCMP related documents. All RTID/RCMP related documents are at least Protected A. • The Bidder's Document Management Plan should demonstrate how documents developed/modified by off-site resources will be securely managed, published and maintained. <p>Sub Contractor Management Plan</p>			

Project Management Requirements – Rated

Submission and Evaluation Table						
Section Number	Section Name/ Evaluation Subject	Points	Submission Requirements	Evaluation Criteria	Bidders Response	Bidder Reference Info
A	B	C	D	E	F	G
	Corporate Experience	100	<p>For each Referenced Project, Bidders should provide:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Name of the referenced client organization with project title; • Name, title, telephone number and fax number of one (1) senior client reference for each of the project(s); • A brief description of the project's major milestones, objectives, outcomes and narrative which demonstrates the similarity of scope, value, nature, complexity and relevance of the project(s); • Size of team provided by the Bidder, and contribution of the resources provided; • Project duration, including start and finish dates by month and year; • Current project status, e.g. completed, cancelled, in progress; and • Other information, which the Bidder deems appropriate, with a clear indication as to its pertinence. 	<p>Project references will be assessed in accordance with the general evaluation guidelines up to the following maximum points:</p> <p>Project Reference 1 (as designated by the Bidder): Up to 50 points</p> <p>Project Reference 2 (as designated by the Bidder): Up to 30 points</p> <p>Project Reference 3 (as designated by the Bidder): Up to 20 points</p>		
Total Points		125				

Table 2 : Project Management Requirements Table

Functional Requirements – Rated**Submission and Evaluation Table**

Section Number	Section Name/ Evaluation Subject	Points	Submission Requirements	Evaluation Criteria	Bidder's Response	Bidder Reference Info
A	B	C	D	E	F	G
SOW 1.2	COTS Compliancy	100	The proposed System should be a Commercial Off-the-Shelf Software (COTS) product to the greatest extent possible. A Bidder that can deliver more of the Entire AFIS Renewal solution via a COTS solution will score higher on the evaluation. Where configuration or customization is required the Bidder should provide a description of what this entails in the Bidder Response column.	Score based on the actual percentage of requirements that Bidder identifies in its proposal as being in its COTS AFIS product at bid closing date as follows: 100% of functionality in COTS 50 points 90 to 99% of functionality in COTS 45 points 80 to 89% of functionality in COTS 40 points 70 to 79% of functionality in COTS 35 points 60 to 99% of functionality in COTS 30 points 50 to 99% of functionality		

Functional Requirements – Rated**Submission and Evaluation Table**

Section Number	Section Name/ Evaluation Subject	Points	Submission Requirements	Evaluation Criteria	Bidder's Response	Bidder Reference Info
A	B	C	D	E	F	G
				in COTS 25 points Less than 50% of functionality in COTS 0 points		
Annex B, D, E 3.1	COTS Compliancy	50	The Bidder should describe in detail its proposed strategy for migrating RTID specific functionality as the AFIS/VSS/LCMC COTS baseline evolves over the life of the contract addressing the extent to which it will include custom features into its COTS product and to what extent that the Bidder's strategy will minimize disruption in terms of availability if RCMP chooses to implement an upgrade.	General Evaluation Guidelines		
Annex B 3.4.1 3.5	Workflow Management and Related Services	20	The System should minimize the possibility of a miss due to transactions in WIP or queued for processing. The Bidder should describe in detail its mechanisms for preventing misses as a result of two submissions (two ten print submissions or a ten print and a latent submission) for the same subject being	General Evaluation Guidelines		

Functional Requirements – Rated**Submission and Evaluation Table**

Section Number	Section Name/ Evaluation Subject	Points	Submission Requirements	Evaluation Criteria	Bidder's Response	Bidder Reference Info
A	B	C	D	E	F	G
			processed within a short time of one another (e.g., a civil submission arrives shortly after a criminal submission). The Bidder should describe how its solution manages Work In Progress and tools used to identify misses.			
Annex B 3.4.2	Workflow Management and Related Services	50	The AFIS renewal solution should be flexible enough to support different workflow instructions provided to the AFIS in the internal transactions. The NNS will set these parameters differently depending on the information received with the transaction (e.g., FPS Number) and the Type of External Transaction: Criminal with Add (CAR Ret=Y), Criminal Record Inquiry (CAR Ret=N), Immigration (IMM Ret=Y), Civil with Add (RCMP Employee), Civil without Add, Latent (Finger or Palm) Search. The internal parameters might indicate, for example, that a One to Many Search is to be performed, that a Certification is to be performed where a hit is found, that a Reverse Search is to be performed against	General Evaluation Guidelines		

Functional Requirements – Rated**Submission and Evaluation Table**

Section Number	Section Name/ Evaluation Subject	Points	Submission Requirements	Evaluation Criteria	Bidder's Response	Bidder Reference Info
A	B	C	D	E	F	G
			fingers and palms, that a reverse search is to be performed against fingers only and so on. Refer to the AFIS ICD for a detailed description of transactions between the AFIS renewal solution and NNS.			
Annex B 3.4.6	Workflow Management and Related Services	10	The System should support the operational control and monitoring of workloads.	General Evaluation Guidelines		
Annex B 3.6, 4.6	Workflow Management and Related Services	50	It is preferred that searching by filters is not required. The AFIS renewal solution should be able to search with the same accuracy to find matching candidates without requiring filters	General Evaluation Guidelines		
Annex B, C, D, E	Workflow Management and Related Services	20	The Bidder should describe in its proposal, the user group/roles where a work queue would be implemented and provide an explanation of why these particular choices have been made. The proposal should also indicate where this is consistent or not consistent with the COTS product.	General Evaluation Guidelines		

Functional Requirements – Rated**Submission and Evaluation Table**

Section Number	Section Name/ Evaluation Subject	Points	Submission Requirements	Evaluation Criteria	Bidder's Response	Bidder Reference Info
A	B	C	D	E	F	G
Annex B, C, D, E	Workflow Management and Related Services	80	The Bidder should identify how all of the rated User Interface (UI) features are supported by the proposed solution.	General Evaluation Guidelines Note: points will be reduced from the maximum based on the Bidder's ability to support the rated UI requirements defined throughout the SOW and its accompanying documents.		

Functional Requirements – Rated**Submission and Evaluation Table**

Section Number	Section Name/ Evaluation Subject	Points	Submission Requirements	Evaluation Criteria	Bidder's Response	Bidder Reference Info
A	B	C	D	E	F	G
Main SOW 4.5	Workflow Management and Related Services	20	It is preferred that the implementation to support EFS is completed as soon as possible after stage two (2); however, EFS must be implemented within two (2) years following contract award. This implementation of EFS must ensure backward compatibility to all existing data at the time of implementation, or conversion to EFS in manner acceptable to the RCMP. As part of the EFS implementation, the Contractor must define a strategy to have EFS supersede the existing use of IAFIS Type-9 and ANSI INCITS 378-2004. This strategy must be included with the Contractor's proposal which will be considered part of the evaluation assessing support for EFS.	General Evaluation Guidelines		
Annex B 4.11	Workflow Management and Related Services	10	The AFIS renewal solution should ensure that purges do not disrupt the workflow.	General Evaluation Guidelines		

Functional Requirements – Rated**Submission and Evaluation Table**

Section Number	Section Name/ Evaluation Subject	Points	Submission Requirements	Evaluation Criteria	Bidder's Response	Bidder Reference Info
A	B	C	D	E	F	G
Annex B 4.14	Workflow Management and Related Services	15	If the quality of the plain impressions exceeds the rolled impressions by the value of this "Plain Quality Indicator" configurable parameter then the plain impressions should be used in the composite instead of the rolled impression.	Score based on whether this feature is evident or not.		
Annex B 5.4	Workflow Management and Related Services	20	The Tenprint and latent UI should allow personalized settings to be configured by each user and have those personalized settings saved and used every time the user logs in to use the latent UI. These personalized should include as many UI features as possible. Additionally, the tenprint/latent technician should be able to temporarily toggle between these personal setting and the default setting through a single click mouse method (e.g. button).	Score based on whether this feature is evident or not. Note: This is a UI feature rated separately because it is deemed more important than general UI preferred features.		

Functional Requirements – Rated**Submission and Evaluation Table**

Section Number	Section Name/ Evaluation Subject	Points	Submission Requirements	Evaluation Criteria	Bidder's Response	Bidder Reference Info
A	B	C	D	E	F	G
Annex B 5.4	Workflow Management and Related Services	5	The AFIS renewal solution should provide the ability to automatically encode, translate or both (as required) to create a US EBTS EFS compliant transaction (TOT US LFFS) with as little additional effort over and above the encoding done for the Canadian search as possible on the part of the latent technician (e.g. adding text for fields required for the FBI search).	General Evaluation Guidelines		
Annex B 5.14	Workflow Management and Related Services	5	Management of remote sites should be effective and efficient.	General Evaluation Guidelines		
Annex B 8.3	Workflow Management and Related Services	5	Additionally, for young offenders an additional set of composite fingerprints and palms for criminal and refugee file types should be created with a growth factor of fifteen percent (15%). This requirement is to improve the hit percentage when the same individual's prints are searched as an adult.	Score based on whether this feature is evident or not.		

Functional Requirements – Rated**Submission and Evaluation Table**

Section Number	Section Name/ Evaluation Subject	Points	Submission Requirements	Evaluation Criteria	Bidder's Response	Bidder Reference Info
A	B	C	D	E	F	G
Annex B 8.4	Workflow Management and Related Services	15	When a subject has many sets of prints (e.g. six (6)) under a specific file type and a TP search request requires all files to be searched, the AFIS renewal solution should only search the top best sets of prints (e.g. six (6)) for the specific file type based on a configurable parameter. The precise number will be determined in consultation with the RCMP prior to implementation.	Score based on whether this feature is evident or not.		

Functional Requirements – Rated

Submission and Evaluation Table						
Section Number	Section Name/ Evaluation Subject	Points	Submission Requirements	Evaluation Criteria	Bidder's Response	Bidder Reference Info
A	B	C	D	E	F	G
Annex E 1.2	Workflow Management and Related Services	45	The preferred LCMC solution is an integrated capability within the AFIS renewal solution. This would provide a consistent UI for the LCMC/AFIS users and ensure there is no duplication of capabilities available in the LCMC and AFIS. That is, this integrated LCMC capability would be part of the AFIS renewal solution UI, where additional button or UI icons on the AFIS renewal solution UI would be clicked by the user to perform case management activities.	Score based on whether this feature is evident or not.		
Total Points		400				

Table 3 : Functional Requirements Table

Optional Requirements – Rated						
Submission and Evaluation Table						
Section Number	Section Name/ Evaluation Subject	Points	Submission Requirements	Evaluation Criteria	Bidder's Response	Bidder Reference Info
A	B	C	D	E	F	G
Main SOW 3.2.7, 12	Facial Recognition Capability (FRC)	20	The Bidder should identify how many of the FRC requirements are already met at the time of bid submission and identify when the mandatory requirements will be met based on an known future development plan.	General Evaluation Guidelines Note: points will be reduced from the maximum based on the Bidder's ability to support the requirements.		
Total Points		20				

Table 4 : Optional Requirements Table

Table T4, Technical Requirements – Rated

Submission and Evaluation Table						
Section Number	Section Name/ Evaluation Subject	Points	Submission Requirements	Evaluation Criteria	Bidder's Response	Bidder Referenced Info
A	B	C	D	E	F	G
Annex B 2.1.5, 8.7 & other sections in other Annexes	NPSNet architectural constraints	50	<p>The Successful Bidder's Entire AFIS renewal solution should conform to the NPSNet architecture constraints.</p> <p>All AFIS and VSS servers can communicate to each other within the VLAN. It is preferred that the AFIS renewal solution limits access to within the VLAN to only communications that are required. For example, a common security practice is to create non-routable segments which further secure a specific set of servers within a VLAN. The Contractor must explain how the proposed AFIS renewal solution supports security that limits access within the VLAN.</p>	<p>General Evaluation Guidelines</p> <p>Note: points will be reduced from the maximum based on the ability of the Bidder's solution to effectively and efficiently fit in the network and security architecture of NPSNet as it related to the Entire AFIS renewal solution.</p>		
Annex B 8.10.1	Rack mounted Hardware	25	Entire AFIS renewal solution hardware to be installed at the RCMP Data Centre or DR site should be compatible with the 19" rack standard (EIA 310-D). If the Bidder provides equipment compatible with the 19" rack standard, then the Bidder is not required to supply racks. RCMP-supplied racks or cabinets will be used. If the	<p>Full points – use of standard 19" racks.</p> <p>At least 50% of servers use standard 19" racks - 25</p> <p>0 points – does not meet 19" standard rack</p>		

Table T4, Technical Requirements – Rated

Submission and Evaluation Table						
Section Number	Section Name/ Evaluation Subject	Points	Submission Requirements	Evaluation Criteria	Bidder's Response	Bidder Referenced Info
A	B	C	D	E	F	G
			Successful Bidder is not proposing standard 19" racks, the Successful Bidder must provide racks.	requirement.		
Annex B 8.1 & other sections in other Annexes	Configurable Parameters	10	The Successful Bidder's Entire AFIS renewal solution should provide maximum flexibility with configurable parameters. These application configuration changes should not include modifying existing or adding new, programming code, or changing the application architecture or data structure.	General Evaluation Guidelines Note: points will be added to a maximum of 10 for configurable parameters capabilities above the mandatory configurable parameter requirements		
Annex B 3.4	Work Queue performance & Capabilities	5	The work queue should be designed so that a large number of transactions in the queue can be processed in a timely manner. An authorized user, such as a program analyst who has a broad set of responsibilities, should be able to view all transactions in all regions/queues at the same time.	General Evaluation Guidelines		
Annex C	Work Queue performance &	30	The Transcoder renewal solution should be a Commercial Off-the-Shelf (COTS) software product to the greatest extent possible and based	General Evaluation Guidelines		

Table T4, Technical Requirements – Rated

Submission and Evaluation Table						
Section Number	Section Name/ Evaluation Subject	Points	Submission Requirements	Evaluation Criteria	Bidder's Response	Bidder Referenced Info
A	B	C	D	E	F	G
3.1	Capabilities		on the AFIS renewal workstation UI and functionality.			
Annex C 4.4	Work Queue performance & Capabilities	10	The TP/Latent UI should allow personalized settings to be configured by each user and have those personalized settings saved and used every time the user logs in to use the Contractor's Transcoder. These personalized settings should include as many UI features as possible from AFIS renewal solution workstation UI. Additionally, the remote operator should be able to temporarily toggle between these personal setting and the default setting through a single click mouse method (e.g. button).	General Evaluation Guidelines		
Total Points		130				

Table 5 : Technical Requirements Table

Implementation Requirements – Rated**Submission and Evaluation Table**

Section Number	Section Name/ Evaluation Subject	Points	Submission Requirements	Evaluation Criteria	Bidder's Response	Bidder Referenced Info
A	B	C	D	E	F	G
Main SOW 4.2 & other sections & other Annexes	Migration / Transition Strategy	70	The AFIS Renewal Implementation Plan (ARIP) (DID AR-01) is the deliverable that establishes the foundation for the execution of all aspects of this SOW. This deliverable must be completed and approved by the RCMP before work can start on any of the key areas to ensure the most cost effective and efficient implementation strategy can be developed and agreed to by the RCMP. This deliverable establishes the approach and an overall strategy and plan that explains how each key area will be implemented. This deliverable is the Contractor's opportunity to identify how the Contractor's Entire AFIS renewal solution will be implemented within the RCMP/SSC security architecture.	General evaluation guidelines.		
Main SOW 4.2	Migration / Transition Strategy Reuse of GFE	30	To ensure maximum flexibility for the Contractor to use the GFE, system testing and QCS testing can be completed in the Contractor's parallel production environment configuration. This allows the DEVTEST and QCS environments to remain as is until after final acceptance. The Contractor can use GFE from the test environments to configure the parallel	General evaluation guidelines. Note: points will be reduced from the maximum based on the ability of the Bidder's solution to effectively and efficiently reuse GFE.		

Implementation Requirements – Rated**Submission and Evaluation Table**

Section Number	Section Name/ Evaluation Subject	Points	Submission Requirements	Evaluation Criteria	Bidder's Response	Bidder Referenced Info
A	B	C	D	E	F	G
			production environment as long as the RCMP has an environment to support existing production until the cut-over to the AFIS/Transcoder/VSS renewal solution has been completed. Additionally, the Contractor can configure an initial production environment that partially supports the full production requirements, with at least fifty percent (50%) production capacity for 2019 volumes, and then reuse existing production servers after the cut-over to achieve one hundred percent (100%) capacity.			
Main SOW 4.2 & other sections & other Annexes	Testing Strategy	25	The SATP is based on the strategy and plan defined in the ARIP. The SATP provides the detailed installation activities, implementation steps and testing that must be completed in each site/environment that ensures the replacements / upgrades / reuse are effectively implemented according to the ARIP strategy and plan.	General evaluation guidelines.		
Main SOW	Data Conversion Strategy	50	For the Entire AFIS renewal solution, the Contractor must develop a	General evaluation guidelines.		

Implementation Requirements – Rated

Submission and Evaluation Table						
Section Number	Section Name/Evaluation Subject	Points	Submission Requirements	Evaluation Criteria	Bidder's Response	Bidder Referenced Info
A	B	C	D	E	F	G
13 & other sections & other Annexes			<p>comprehensive data migration plan for all data to be converted. An initial version of this Data Conversion Plan must be provided with the Contractor's proposal. The Data Conversion Plan must be included as part of the ARIP, where the Contractor must provide the strategy and plan for all activities required to satisfy the entire scope of requirements included in this SOW and its accompanying documents. Refer to main SOW section 13 for additional information.</p> <p>At a minimum, the Bidder should provide a Data Conversion Strategy as part of its proposal that describes the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Bidder's approach and mechanisms for loading the AFIS/Transcoder/VSS database; (10 pts.) • The Bidder's approach and mechanisms for the electronic Master Card Conversion; (10 pts.) 			

Implementation Requirements – Rated

Submission and Evaluation Table						
Section Number	Section Name/ Evaluation Subject	Points	Submission Requirements	Evaluation Criteria	Bidder's Response	Bidder Referenced Info
A	B	C	D	E	F	G
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Bidder's approach and mechanisms for ensuring data integrity during the conversion; (10 pts.) • The Bidder's approach to the transition to the renewed AFIS/ Transcoder /VSS, including approach to minimizing downtime; (10 pts.) • The Bidder's approach to Quality Assurance (QA); (10 pts.) • The role of RCMP personnel, both CIO Sector and I & IS, during the conversion; and (10 pts.) • The Bidder's risk mitigation strategy (ies) (10 pts.) for the conversion. 			
Total Points		175				

Table 6 : Implementation Requirements Table

Table T6, Benchmark – Rated						
Submission and Evaluation Table						
Section Number	Section Name/ Evaluation Subject	Points	Submission Requirements	Evaluation Criteria	Bidder's Response	Bidder Reference d Info
A	B	C	D	E	F	G
Annex H 3.4	Benchmark Plan	30	The Bidder's detailed Benchmark Plan provided with its proposal should be clear and logical and include the following. Refer to Annex H section 3.4 for additional details.	General Evaluation Guidelines		
Total Points		30				

Table 7 : Benchmark Plan Requirements Table