



**RETURN BIDS TO:**  
**RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:**  
Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions  
- TPSGC  
11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier  
Place du Portage, Phase III  
Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2  
Gatineau  
Québec  
K1A 0S5  
Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT**  
**MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address  
Raison sociale et adresse du  
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution  
Informatics Professional Services Division / Division  
des services professionnels en informatique  
11 Laurier St., / 11, rue Laurier  
3C2, Place du Portage  
Gatineau  
Québec  
K1A 0S5

<b>Title - Sujet</b> TBIPS CONSULTANT TEAM	
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> 21120-158459/A	<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 003
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> 21120-15-2088459	<b>Date</b> 2015-11-18
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$\$ZM-615-29564	
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> 615zm.21120-158459	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> <b>on - le 2015-11-30</b>	
<b>F.O.B. - F.A.B.</b> <b>Plant-Usine:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Destination:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Other-Autre:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Barbu, Ana-Maria	<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> 615zm
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (819) 956-6282 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> ( ) -
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b>	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>	<b>Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée</b>
<b>Vendor/Firm Name and Address</b> <b>Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur</b>	
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> <b>Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur</b>	
<b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm</b> <b>(type or print)</b> <b>Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/</b> <b>de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b>	
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>

**AMENDMENT NO. 003**

**This amendment is raised to modify the Request for Proposal (RFP) and answer Bidders' questions:**

**RFP MODIFICATIONS:**

1. At Page 1 of the RFP, Solicitation Closes:

**DELETE:** 2015-11-23

**INSERT:** 2015-11-30

2. At Attachment 4.1 – Bid Evaluation Criteria, Corporate Rated Criteria R2

**DELETE:** In its entirety.

**INSERT:**

<b>Corporate Rated Criteria</b>			
<b>Item</b>	<b>Rated Requirements</b>	<b>Pts</b>	<b>Reference</b>
<b>R2</b>	<p>Designate a Client Representative and demonstrate that he/she has experience providing contract management services and managed teams of resources within the last 10 years for Federal, Provincial or Territorial Departments or Agencies, on contracts for which the Bidder was the Prime Contractor, as follows:</p> <p>a) Number of months/years of experience providing contract management services and managing teams of resources for Federal, Provincial or Territorial Department or Agency clients (maximum 10 points).</p> <p>and</p> <p>b) Number of resources simultaneously managed, on 1 or more contracts, for a single Federal, Provincial or Territorial Department or Agency client (maximum 10 points),</p> <p>By providing the following information for each contract referenced:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Name of the client organization(s);</li> <li>2. The total number of years of experience of the Bidder's Client Representative in performing the above mentioned tasks;</li> <li>3. The start and end dates of the referenced assignment(s) of the Client Representative;</li> <li>4. A list of the resource categories simultaneously managed by the Bidder's Client Representative;</li> <li>5. Details about the work performed by the Client Representative on the assignment(s);</li> <li>6. A customer reference that can attest to the Client</li> </ol>	<b>/20</b>	<p>a)</p> <p>Over 7 years - 10 points            Over 5 up to 7 years - 8 points            Over 3 up to 5 years - 6 points            Over 2 up to 3 years - 4 points            6 months to 2 years - 2 points            Less than 6 months – 0 points</p> <p>and</p> <p>b)</p> <p>Over 40 resources - 10 points            Over 30 up to 40 resources - 8 points            Over 20 up to 30 resources - 6 points            Over 10 up to 20 resources - 4 points            1 to 10 resources - 2 points</p>

Corporate Rated Criteria			
Item	Rated Requirements	Pts	Reference
	Representative's experience.		

3. At Attachment 4.1 – Bid Evaluation Criteria, 2. Resource requirement, 2.8 - I.11 Technology Architect, 2.8.1 - I.11 Technology Architect, Level 3 (Core Category) Mandatory Criteria M2

**DELETE:** In its entirety.

**INSERT:**

Mandatory Criteria I.11 Technology Architect, Levels 3 (Core)		Statement of Compliance and Cross Reference to Written Proposal /CV
<b>M2</b>	<p>The Bidder's proposed resource must have completed a minimum of 3 projects as a Technology Architect within the last 15 years in which they delivered training and transferred technical knowledge to clients.</p> <p>Note: The projects must each have a minimum duration of 6 months.</p> <p>The Bidder must provide the following information for each project referenced to demonstrate the experience of the proposed resource:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Name of the client;</li> <li>2. The start and end dates of the project(s);</li> <li>3. The roles and tasks (including relevant technologies) that were transferred to the client, and the processes and methodologies that were utilized to perform the knowledge transfer;</li> <li>4. A customer reference that can attest to the proposed resource's experience.</li> </ol>	

4. At Attachment 4.1 – Bid Evaluation Criteria, 2. Resource requirement, 2.8 - I.11 Technology Architect, 2.8.2 - I.11 Technology Architect, Level 2 Mandatory Criteria M2

**DELETE:** In its entirety.

**INSERT:**

Mandatory Criteria I.11 Technology Architect, Levels 2		Statement of Compliance and Cross Reference to Written Proposal /CV
<b>M2</b>	<p>The Bidder's proposed resource must have completed a minimum of 2 projects as a Technology Architect within the last 15 years in which they delivered training and transferred technical knowledge to clients.</p> <p>Note: The projects must each have a minimum duration of 6</p>	

	<p>months.</p> <p>The Bidder must provide the following information for each project referenced to demonstrate the experience of the proposed resource:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Name of the client;</li> <li>2. The start and end dates of the project(s);</li> <li>3. The roles and tasks (including relevant technologies) that were transferred to the client, and the processes and methodologies that were utilized to perform the knowledge transfer and training;</li> <li>4. A customer reference that can attest to the proposed resource's experience.</li> </ol>	
--	---	--

5. At Attachment 4.1 – Bid Evaluation Criteria, 2. Resource requirement, 2.8 - I.11 Technology Architect, 2.8.3 - I.11 Technology Architect, Level 1 Mandatory Criteria M2

**DELETE:** In its entirety.

**INSERT:**

<b>Mandatory Criteria I.11 Technology Architect, Levels 1</b>		<b>Statement of Compliance and Cross Reference to Written Proposal /CV</b>
<b>M2</b>	<p>The Bidder's proposed resource must have completed a minimum of 1 project as a Technology Architect within the last 15 years in which they delivered training and transferred technical knowledge to clients.</p> <p>Note: The project must have a minimum duration of 6 months.</p> <p>The Bidder must provide the following details for each project referenced to demonstrate the experience of the proposed resource:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Name of the client;</li> <li>2. The start and end dates of the project(s);</li> <li>3. The roles and tasks (including relevant technologies) that were transferred to the client, and the processes and methodologies that were utilized to perform the knowledge transfer and training;</li> <li>4. A customer reference that can attest to the proposed resource's experience.</li> </ol>	

**QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS**

Q1. With Respect to the education requirement for the DBA, a Degree in Economics requires a heavy mathematics component for completion, and has equal bearing on the current requirement with respect to the Statement of Work. As such, would the Crown please confirm that a candidate with a Bachelor's Degree in Economics from the American University in Cairo (acknowledged by the CICIC <http://cicic.ca/853/Assessor/index.canada>) would satisfy this requirement in place of a degree in

Informatics, Science, Mathematics, Computer Programming or Engineering. This would ensure a competitive process by providing a broad pool of resources with substantial Oracle experience.

A1. No, a proposed resource with a Bachelor's Degree in Economics does not satisfy the education requirement requested in the Evaluation Criteria because a Degree in Economics alone is not confirming that the proposed resource possesses the technical expertise level acquired with Degrees in Informatics, Science, Mathematics, Computer Programming or Engineering.

Q2. In regards to corporate rated requirement R2 (pg. 68/111), given that the resource is going back 10 years to demonstrate relevant experience it will be extremely difficult to get contract reference #s, as well the resource may no longer be working for the firm they were working with at the time of the references contract. Considering the firm is submitting a number of details including a Client contact who can attest to the reference and work completed, we kindly request that the Crown remove #5 in it's entirety.

If the Crown will not remove #5, we ask that they allow the firm to indicate "unavailable" should they not be able to locate the contract #, as long as the details are provided for #1, 2, 3, 4, 6 & 7.

A2. Canada has removed #5 from rated criterion R2. Please see amendment 003 to solicitation # 21120-158459/A.

Q3. For the technology Architect category, for levels 3 (page 97), level 2 (page 102) and level 1 (104) - M2 refers to completing projects as a technical Architect. Can the crown please confirm this should be replaced with Technology Architect.

A3. Canada has replaced Technical Architect with Technology Architect in M2 criteria of the Technology Architect category levels 3, 2 and 1. Please see amendment 003 to solicitation # 21120-158459/A

Q4. On p.75 (R5) for the Database Administrator, Level 3, can the Crown please confirm that only 1 of the following products / technologies are required to have been used by the resource:

- HP Tru64
- OEM 12c Grid Control
- MS Windows Server0
- OpenVMS

A4. The resource is required to have used all of the listed products in R5.

Q5. We recently learned that we are able to respond to this RFP Solicitation #: 21120-158459/A, due to the recent changes made in Amendment 002 (Mandatory M1). With other government bids due around the same time and a lot of work and being a Tier 2, we would like to request an extension until November 30th, 2015.

With regards to TBIPS Professional Services (21120-158459/A), we are kindly asking the Crown for a 1-week extension to Monday November 30th.

A5. Please see amendment 003 to solicitation # 21120-158459/A. The closing date has been extended; the new closing date is November 30, 2015 @ 2:00 PM EST.

**ALL OTHERS TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED.**