



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

**Bid Receiving Public Works and Government
Services Canada/Réception des soumissions Travaux
publics et Services gouvernementaux Canada**
800 Burrard Street, Room 219
800, rue Burrard, pièce 219
Vancouver, B.C.
Vancouver
British Columbia
V6Z 0B9
Bid Fax: (604) 775-9381

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise
indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation
remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire,
les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Public Works and Government Services Canada -
Pacific Region
800 Burrard Street, Room 219
800, rue Burrard, pièce 219
Vancouver, B.C.
V6Z 0B9
British C

Title - Sujet Tech. Consultant - WWTP Upgrade	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EZ899-161301/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 004
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client	Date 2015-12-29
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$TPV-028-7664	
File No. - N° de dossier TPV-5-38268 (028)	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2016-01-21	Time Zone Fuseau horaire Pacific Standard Time PST
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Lam(TPV), Tian	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur tpv028
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (604) 775-9382 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (604) 775-6633
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: CSC - Mountain and Kent Institutions - Various, BC	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

Les documents français seront disponibles sur demande.
Vous trouverez l'addenda n° 1 ci-joint.
Les autres conditions ne changent pas.

The following changes in the Tender Documents are effective immediately. This addendum will form part of the Contract Documents.

Addendum No. 1 – Questions and Answers

1. Question - The successful OTC will prepare the Preliminary Design and Statement of Requirements for the RFP.

Will PWGSC prepare the accompanying front end procurement documents?

ANSWER – The OTC will prepare the technical portion of the RFP for the DBO Contract. PWGSC will provide all tendering and contractual components of the RFP.

Question - If not, will PWGSC be providing a PWGSC template for the OTC to use?

ANSWER – No.

2. Question - There are a few references to “Cost estimates” that would be prepared by the successful DBO contractor (Section RS4.1 under Scope and Activities, In Section RS4 4.1 under Intent). The DBO’s submission to the RFP is normally a fixed-price proposal.

After PWGSC has entered into a fixed price contract with the preferred DBO Contractor, why would the Contractor be submitting Class C cost estimates for review by the OTC?

ANSWER – Addendum will be issued to delete the requirement to have the DBO Contractor provide Class A, B or C construction cost estimates.

3. Question - Must the 3 projects referred to in the Experience and Resource of Proponents section (SRE 3, 3.2.2) have to the same three projects in the Experience, Qualification, and Availability of Team Members section SRE 3, 3.2.3), or can they be different projects?

ANSWER – No, the projects do not have to be the same.

4. Question – “All price proposals which are greater than twenty-five (25%) above the average price will be set aside and receive no further consideration.” ...In summary, we would like to make sure that PWGSC will not exclude bids solely on price components if technical scope and associated construction risk management and price control of the proposal may differ which as a result will create potentially considerable differences in prices.”

ANSWER – See amendment following Q&A section.

5. Question – [The OTC] would like to engage our own geotechnical resources for purposes of conducting a site geotechnical investigation and report.

ANSWER – Yes, the OTC can retain the geotechnical consultant.

6. Question – [The OTC] would like to add Environmental Site Assessment activity which would eliminate potential risk related to site development.

ANSWER – The OTC may retain environmental consultants as deemed appropriate.

7. Question – Please confirm if (4) bound copies per SRE 2, Subsection 2.1 are required as opposed to three (3) bound copies requested in Section SRE 6 (Submission Requirements – Checklist)

ANSWER – Provide four (4) bound copies.

Addendum No. 1 – Amendments to the Request for Proposal

At Appendix E - SRE 4 Price of Services under the Submission Requirements and Evaluation Section

DELETE:

All price proposal envelopes corresponding to responsive proposals which have achieved the pass mark of fifty (75) points will be opened upon completion of the technical evaluation. An average price is determined by adding all the price proposals together and dividing the total by the number of price proposals being opened.

All price proposals which are greater than twenty-five percent (25%) above the average price will be set aside and receive no further consideration.

The remaining price proposals are rated as follows:

- A. The lowest price proposal receives a Price Rating of 100
- B. The second, third, fourth and fifth lowest prices receive Price Ratings of 80, 60, 40, and 20 respectively. All other price proposals receive a Price Rating of 0.
- C. On the rare occasions where two (or more) price proposals are identical, the matching price proposals receive the same rating and the corresponding number of following ratings are skipped.

The Price Rating is multiplied by the applicable percentage to establish the Price Score.

INSERT:

All price proposal envelopes corresponding to responsive proposals which have achieved the pass mark of **seventy-five** (75) points will be opened upon completion of the technical evaluation.

The price proposals are rated as follows:

- A. The lowest price proposal receives a Price Rating of 100
- B. The second, third, fourth and fifth lowest prices receive Price Ratings of 80, 60, 40, and 20 respectively. All other price proposals receive a Price Rating of 0.
- C. On the rare occasions where two (or more) price proposals are identical, the matching price proposals receive the same rating and the corresponding number of following ratings are skipped.

The Price Rating is multiplied by the applicable percentage to establish the Price Score.