



**RETURN BIDS TO:**

**RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:**

**Bid Receiving Public Works and Government  
Services Canada/Réception des soumissions Travaux  
publics et Services gouvernementaux Canada**  
800 Burrard Street, Room 219  
800, rue Burrard, pièce 219  
Vancouver, B.C.  
Vancouver  
British Columbia  
V6Z 0B9  
Bid Fax: (604) 775-9381

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT  
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise  
indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation  
remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire,  
les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

**Comments - Commentaires**

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address**  
**Raison sociale et adresse du**  
**fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

**Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution**  
Public Works and Government Services Canada -  
Pacific Region  
800 Burrard Street, Room 219  
800, rue Burrard, pièce 219  
Vancouver, B.C.  
V6Z 0B9  
British C

<b>Title - Sujet</b> Tech. Consultant - WWTP Upgrade	
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> EZ899-161301/A	<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 004
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b>	<b>Date</b> 2015-12-29
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$TPV-028-7664	
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> TPV-5-38268 (028)	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> <b>on - le 2016-01-21</b>	<b>Time Zone</b> <b>Fuseau horaire</b> Pacific Standard Time PST
<b>F.O.B. - F.A.B.</b> <b>Plant-Usine:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Destination:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Other-Autre:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Lam(TPV), Tian	<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> tpv028
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (604) 775-9382 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> (604) 775-6633
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b> CSC - Mountain and Kent Institutions - Various, BC	

**Instructions: See Herein**

**Instructions: Voir aux présentes**

<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>	<b>Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée</b>
<b>Vendor/Firm Name and Address</b> <b>Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur</b>	
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> <b>Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur</b>	
<b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm</b> <b>(type or print)</b> <b>Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/</b> <b>de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b>	
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>

Please find Addendum #001 herein.  
All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.

The following changes in the Tender Documents are effective immediately. This addendum will form part of the Contract Documents.

## **Addendum No. 1 – Questions and Answers**

1. Question - The successful OTC will prepare the Preliminary Design and Statement of Requirements for the RFP.

Will PWGSC prepare the accompanying front end procurement documents?

ANSWER – The OTC will prepare the technical portion of the RFP for the DBO Contract. PWGSC will provide all tendering and contractual components of the RFP.

Question - If not, will PWGSC be providing a PWGSC template for the OTC to use?

ANSWER – No.

2. Question - There are a few references to “Cost estimates” that would be prepared by the successful DBO contractor (Section RS4.1 under Scope and Activities, In Section RS4 4.1 under Intent). The DBO’s submission to the RFP is normally a fixed-price proposal.

After PWGSC has entered into a fixed price contract with the preferred DBO Contractor, why would the Contractor be submitting Class C cost estimates for review by the OTC?

ANSWER – Addendum will be issued to delete the requirement to have the DBO Contractor provide Class A, B or C construction cost estimates.

3. Question - Must the 3 projects referred to in the Experience and Resource of Proponents section (SRE 3, 3.2.2) have to the same three projects in the Experience, Qualification, and Availability of Team Members section SRE 3, 3.2.3), or can they be different projects?

ANSWER – No, the projects do not have to be the same.

4. Question – “All price proposals which are greater than twenty-five (25%) above the average price will be set aside and receive no further consideration.” ...In summary, we would like to make sure that PWGSC will not exclude bids solely on price components if technical scope and associated construction risk management and price control of the proposal may differ which as a result will create potentially considerable differences in prices.”

ANSWER – See amendment following Q&A section.

5. Question – [The OTC] would like to engage our own geotechnical resources for purposes of conducting a site geotechnical investigation and report.

ANSWER – Yes, the OTC can retain the geotechnical consultant.

6. Question – [The OTC] would like to add Environmental Site Assessment activity which would eliminate potential risk related to site development.

ANSWER – The OTC may retain environmental consultants as deemed appropriate.

7. Question – Please confirm if (4) bound copies per SRE 2, Subsection 2.1 are required as opposed to three (3) bound copies requested in Section SRE 6 (Submission Requirements – Checklist)

ANSWER – Provide four (4) bound copies.

### **Addendum No. 1 – Amendments to the Request for Proposal**

#### **At Appendix E - SRE 4 Price of Services under the Submission Requirements and Evaluation Section**

DELETE:

All price proposal envelopes corresponding to responsive proposals which have achieved the pass mark of fifty (75) points will be opened upon completion of the technical evaluation. An average price is determined by adding all the price proposals together and dividing the total by the number of price proposals being opened.

All price proposals which are greater than twenty-five percent (25%) above the average price will be set aside and receive no further consideration.

The remaining price proposals are rated as follows:

- A. The lowest price proposal receives a Price Rating of 100
- B. The second, third, fourth and fifth lowest prices receive Price Ratings of 80, 60, 40, and 20 respectively. All other price proposals receive a Price Rating of 0.
- C. On the rare occasions where two (or more) price proposals are identical, the matching price proposals receive the same rating and the corresponding number of following ratings are skipped.

The Price Rating is multiplied by the applicable percentage to establish the Price Score.

INSERT:

All price proposal envelopes corresponding to responsive proposals which have achieved the pass mark of **seventy-five** (75) points will be opened upon completion of the technical evaluation.

The price proposals are rated as follows:

- A. The lowest price proposal receives a Price Rating of 100
- B. The second, third, fourth and fifth lowest prices receive Price Ratings of 80, 60, 40, and 20 respectively. All other price proposals receive a Price Rating of 0.
- C. On the rare occasions where two (or more) price proposals are identical, the matching price proposals receive the same rating and the corresponding number of following ratings are skipped.

The Price Rating is multiplied by the applicable percentage to establish the Price Score.