



**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

Proposal to / Propositions aux:
macsbids@statcan.gc.ca

The referenced document is hereby revised;
unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and
conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par le présente révisé; sauf
indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation
demeurent les mêmes.

Amendment No. – N° modif. 04	Page 1 of/de 2
Date : 8 January 2016	
Address inquiries to – Adresser toute demande de renseignements à: macsbids@statcan.gc.ca	
Area code and Telephone No. Code régional et N° de téléphone (613) 882-2610	Facsimile No. N° de télécopieur
Destination macsbids@statcan.gc.ca	

Solicitation No – N° de l'invitation : 1920-0017719
Solicitation closes – L'invitation prend fin At – à : 14 :00 EST On – le : 12 January 2016

Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of vendor (type or print) – Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur (caractère d'impression).
Name – Nom :
Title – Titre :

Instructions :
See herein

Instructions:
Voir aux présentes

Delivery required – Livraison exigée	Delivery offered – Livraison proposé
Vendor Name and Address – Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur Facsimile No – N° de télécopieur : Telephone No – N° de téléphone :	
Signature	Date



The Purpose of this Amendment 04 to RFP 1920-0017719 is to:

- **Facilitate questions and answers**

Q1

To Q1 « Concerning your current manual practices, would it be possible to have a sample of the XLS sheet as completed today by your staff?» you responded: “The request is not necessary because we no longer use manual processes.” We understand that the objective of the Request for Proposal is to automate a manual process. By further understanding the way you perform the manual approach, we will be better positioned to offer the best automated approach. However, if the process is already automated, which is insinuated, could you please tell us how it was automated and how the bidder can enhance the actual process?

A1

Statistics Canada has a system in place to read and manipulate the data. The Request for Proposal seek for international flight data. Section 4.1.2 of the Statement of Work provides details on the database requirements.

Q2

To Q3 “Could we have the URL link to the internet website that contains the on-line information used to validate the data?” you answer “Flight schedules are no longer being verified online due to the accuracy and precision of the electronic data extraction.” Are you saying that you are currently performing the extraction of electronic data? If so, what is your process for performing the data extraction? If not, our question is simply to know where is current located the information considered valid. Once again, the idea here is to better understand your current process. If I understand correctly what you are currently experiencing, the problem isn't with the data accuracy but with the collection of data (which is long and tedious). If the data collection must be automated, we need to know where the flight data is currently obtained (official airline website? Airports sites? A unique dataset?)

A2

Statistics Canada is currently using an automated approach. The requirements on the origin of the flight data is described in section 4.1.2 of the Statement of Work.

Q3

To section 4.1 in the request for proposal, it is mentioned that the evaluation criteria will be technical and financial. We understand that the financial criteria refers to the lowest bit (CCUA A0069T). However, how do you evaluate the technical criteria? Do we have to produce an explanation of our offer or do we simply have to conform to the Statement of Work in the Request for proposal?

A3

See the amendment to section 4.1, made in RFP amendment 03.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED