



**Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5**

## Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5

**Instructions: Voir aux présentes**

Page 1 of - de 1

This amendment is raised to answer questions submitted by potential bidders.

.....

**Question 2**

**Question 2.1 a**

With respect to the 3.2 (a) Project Letters of Reference – Annex A; should a Joint Venture be responding to this prequalification, must each party (or parties) to the Joint Venture provide two (2) letters of reference (as is contemplated in this section with respect to a Contractor) or can one party to the Joint Venture provide the two (2) letters of reference or must the Joint Venture have completed two (2) previous construction projects meeting the requirements of this Prequalification? Please advise.

**Response:**

The required information must be submitted in accordance with Amendment 001.

**Question 2.1 b**

Does the same structure apply to Project Managers and Superintendents? Please advise.

**Response:**

The required information must be submitted in accordance with Amendment 001.

**Question 2.2**

With respect to 3.2 (b) Letter of Reference – Project Manager(s) – Annex B; it does not appear to be a requirement that the project manager completed construction projects while under the employment of the Contractor responding to this prequalification. Please advise.

**Response:**

The required information must be submitted in accordance with Amendment 001.

**Question 2.3**

With respect to 3.2 (c) Letter of Reference – Superintendent(s) – Annex C; it does not appear to be a requirement that the superintendent completed construction projects while under the employment of the Contractor responding to this prequalification. Please advise.

**Response:**

NO, no need to be under the employment of the Contractor responding to this prequalification.

**Question 2.4**

With respect to Item No. 2 & 3 above, it suggests that more than one (1) Project Manager / Superintendent may be listed. Is there a maximum number of Project Managers / Superintendents that may be listed? How does the number of Project Managers / Superintendents listed impact points earned in the scoring? Please advise

**Response:**

For 3.2 (b) and 3.2 (c) no maximum as long as it complies with the Letter of Interest.

**Question 2.5**

With respect to 3.3 List of Resources; under the column, "Full name of proposed Resource", should the name of an organization be listed or the name of an individual. Subject to the answer of this question, how does this impact the relevance of the other columns? Please advise.

**Response:**

Please refer to item 3.3.1.1 – List of Resources.

**Question 2.6**

With respect to item No.5 above, Metals and Masonry Conservator is listed in the first column. Although the word Conservator is not defined in the Prequalification, it has a very distinct meaning and very distinct qualifications in the Heritage field, and a Metal Conservator and a Masonry Conservator are two (2) entirely separate entities. What is the intention of this contemplation? Please advise

**Response:**

The scope of work for Phase II involves bronze restoration and masonry restoration which are two distinct disciplines.

**Question 2.7**

With respect to 3.6 Basis of Selection; is there a minimum or maximum number of Contractors that will be accepted as part of Phase One (I) – Prequalification or will all Contractors who achieve the minimal scoring requirements be selected to participate in Phase Two (II) – Invitation to Tender (ITT)? Please advise.

**Response:**

There is no minimum or maximum for this requirement.

\*\*\*\*\*

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation  
EP076-161910/A

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.  
003

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur  
fg356

Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client  
20161910

File No. - N° du dossier  
fg356EP076-161910

CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

---

### **Question 3**

#### **Question 3.1**

As per Section 3.1.2.2 it is stated that all submissions will be evaluated and assigned a score based on the evaluated requirements 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4. Can you tell me how section 3.2 is evaluated as it does not note any point values.

#### **Response:**

Section 3.2 does not carry a point system. Instead all the Mandatory Requirements indicated in section 3.2 have to be fulfilled before being able to advance in the evaluation. The required information must be submitted in accordance with Amendment 001.

#### **Question 3.2**

Also in Section 3.2 please let me know if heritage projects are older than five years will they still be evaluated or will we not meet the mandatory requirements and therefore not be given any further consideration.

#### **Response:**

If the project is older than 5 years, but has a Heritage component, this will be acceptable providing that the other project has been carried out within the last five years.

\*\*\*\*\*

End of Amendment