

**PASSWORD MANAGEMENT TOOL SOFTWARE SOLUTION
Request for Proposal (RFP)**

AMENDMENT NO. 2

This RFP amendment No. 2 is raised to;

- 1- Amend Page 1 of the RFP document to extend the RFP closing date;

1. At Page 1 of the RFP document;

At 'RFP End Date';

DELETE: the previous 'RFP End Date' in its entirety and;

INSERT: February 8, 2016

2. Publish Canada's responses to Bidder questions received during the question period.

Question	Answer
#37. One final question, for our submission I understand that you want 1 hard and 1 soft copy of the submission. I reference four vendor installation/implementation manuals in my responses which is over 1900 pages of material. May I submit those supplemental references on a CD separate from the soft copy's or would you prefer those also in hard copy.	Please use CDs for reference supplemental software documentation.
#38. In the revised pricing table document ITEM1 of TABLE2A & TABLE2B specify " <i>Same as TABLE1</i> " but if perpetual licensing is provided in ITEM1 of TABEL1A & TABLE1B then can you confirm that ITEM1 of the optional tables should be NA, and <u>not</u> the re-purchase of perpetual software year after year.	Confirmed. If there is no cost to Canada for a particular option year, please insert \$0.
#39. For our solution the Application Password management is a separately licensed module based on the number of target servers and offered in two variations. (DataSource Application Servers & Standard Application Servers) How many Datasource Application Servers (Weblogic, Websphere, Jboss, J2EE, etc) require a connection to the password repository? How many Standard Application Servers (Linux, Windows, .NET, COM, java, zOS, etc) require a connection to the password repository?	This question will be answered in RFP amendment 003 next week.
#40. The requirements presented in the RFP implies that the CROWN is looking for a "PURE" software only solution for password management that has capabilities in also managing privileged accounts. Can the CROWN please confirm that an appliance based solution which is sold in an	This question will be answered in RFP amendment 003 next week.

all-inclusive model is acceptable (solution includes of all components i.e. User Console, software, hardened appliance O/S, database and management tools).	
#41. Can the CROWN confirm that all password managed accounts require the capabilities as listed in the RATED requirements R1 to R9.	This question will be answered in RFP amendment 003 next week.
#42. Mandatory requirement M9 specifies “Look and Feel”, Custom Logo, and multiple languages. This can refer to wide and very broad capabilities that the CROWN currently has or is planning to do. Can the CROWN please provide details on “Look and Feel” and “Multiple languages” meaning technical capabilities or remove them from the M9 requirement.	This question will be answered in RFP amendment 003 next week.
#43. Mandatory requirement M9 specifies “Look and Feel”, Custom Logo, and multiple languages. This can refer to wide and very broad capabilities that the CROWN currently has or is planning to do. However, our solution has a wide range of current and “planned” capabilities. Can the CROWN consider putting this requirement into RATED and request that bidder provide their capabilities in each of these areas. This allows the CROWN a better position to evaluate the solution fit.	This question will be answered in RFP amendment 003 next week.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THIS RFP
REMAIN UNCHANGED.

=====
Following is a summary of Amendments issued to date to this Request for Proposal (RFP):

Document Tracking	Date	Description
Amendment No. 001	January 21, 2016	Make RFP updates and Answer Questions Submitted by Bidders