ENTERPRISE MONITORING SOLUTION INVITATION TO QUALIFY (WCS-ITQ)

AMENDMENT NO. 1

This ITQ amendment No. 1 is issued to publish Canada's responses to Industry questions received during Period 1.

- 1. Please **DELETE** the previous version of the ITQ document and **INSERT** the new version attached hereto this amendment.
- 2. Publish Canada's responses to Respondent questions received during the question period.

Question	Answer	
#Q1. Can SSC please provide additional clarity on what	Canada is looking for an Enterprise Monitoring	
defines an "Enterprise Command Centre Monitoring	Solution as described in sections 1.2 and 1.4	
Solution". A detailed description of the envisioned	of the ITQ. Canada has removed references to	
capabilities required in the command centre would	the 'Enterprise Command Centre Monitoring	
assist us in identifying the appropriate solution.	Solution' in the new version of the ITQ	
	document provided in this ITQ amendment.	
#Q2. The requirement outlined in Annex B, question 3	This requirement has been revised in the new	
requires that the respondent has provided the support in	version of the ITQ document provided in this	
both French and English over the noted period of time.	ITQ amendment.	
It is our belief that requiring this over past periods of		
time eliminates many world leading vendors and forces		
the crown to consider only a small set of incumbent		
vendors. Can this requirement be removed or revised to		
require that the service is ultimately delivered in both		
official languages.		
#Q3. The requirements outlined in Annex B talks to the	For this requirement, The Crown is not looking	
experience of the respondent. In this case it is our	to expand beyond an enterprise class solution.	
belief that the crown would be best served by		
considering options outside of the incumbent vendor	Should any Respondent need to partner up in	
base as the scope of the ECC expands beyond	order to meet Canada's mandatory experience	
enterprise class solutions. Many of the top vendors in	requirements in Annex B of the ITQ, they must	
this space rely on working with local partners, if this	complete Attachment 1 to Annex B of the ITQ	
experience section is restricted to the experience of the	'Team Certification' which can be found in the	
local partner, i.e. lead respondent, many of the top	new version of the ITQ document provided in	
vendors in this space will be eliminated unnecessarily.	this ITQ amendment.	
Can the experience of the respondent be clarified to be		
that of the combined bid partners?		
#Q4. For the above ITQ in section 6.3.2 it indicates the	Canada confirms this is acceptable. It is not	
ITQ form is to be signed. However Annex B has no	mandatory that signatures be hand written. A	
place for a signature. Will Canada allow respondents to	Primary signature is sufficient.	
alter Annex B to allow for signature and please clarify		
whether both primary and back-up signatures are		
required?		

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THIS INVITATION TO QUALIFY REMAIN UNCHANGED.

Following is a summary of Amendments issued to date to this Invitation to Qualify (ITQ):

Document Tracking	Date	Description
Amendment No. 001	February 04, 2016	Revised Version of the ITQ as well as Answers to Respondent Questions.