



**RETURN BIDS TO :**

**RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:**

Bid Receiving Shared Services Canada |  
 Services partagés Canada  
 180 Kent Street  
 Ottawa, Ontario  
 K1G 4A8

**REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL**

**AMENDMENT**

**DEMANDE DE PROPOSITION**

**Proposal To: Shared Services Canada**

We hereby offer to sell to Her Majesty the Queen in right of Canada, in accordance with the terms and conditions set out herein, referred to herein or attached hereto, the goods, services, and construction listed herein and on any attached sheets at the price(s) set out thereof.

**Proposition aux: Services partagés Canada**

Nous offrons par la présente de vendre à Sa Majesté la Reine du chef du Canada, aux conditions énoncées **Instructions : See Herein** ou incluses par référence dans la présente et aux annexes ci-jointes, les biens, services et construction énumérés ici sur toute feuille ci-annexées, au(x) prix indiqué(s)

*Comments - Commentaires*

**This document contains a Security Requirement**

**Vendor/Firm Name and address**  
 Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

**Issuing Office – Bureau de distribution**

*Shared Services Canada – SA Authority*  
 Procurement Operations  
 180 Kent Street  
 Ottawa, Ontario  
 K1G 4A8

<b>Title – Sujet</b> Professional Services	
<b>Solicitation No. – N° de l'invitation</b> 1528944-A4	<b>Date</b> February 15, 2016
<b>Client Reference No. – N° référence du client</b> R15-28944	
<b>GETS Reference No. – N° de reference de SEAG</b> 1528944-A4	
<b>File No. – N° de dossier</b> 1528944-A4	<b>TBIPS Supply Arrangement</b>
<b>Solicitation Closes – L'invitation prend fin at – à 2 :00 PM on – le 25- February, 2016</b>	
<b>Time Zone</b> Fuseau horaire Daylight Saving Time DST	
<b>F.O.B. - F.A.B.</b> Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Address Inquiries to : - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Anne-Marie Ouellette	<b>Buyer Id – Id de l'acheteur</b> C11
<b>Telephone No. – N° de téléphone :</b> 613-286-0218	<b>FAX No. – N° de FAX</b> 613-948-0990
<b>Destination – of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination – des biens, services et construction :</b> See Herein	

**Instructions: Voir aux présentes**

<b>Delivery required - Livraison exigée</b> See Herein	<b>Delivered Offered – Livraison proposée</b>
<b>Vendor/firm Name and address</b> Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
<b>Facsimile No. – N° de télécopieur</b> <b>Telephone No. – N° de téléphone</b>	
<b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/firm (type or print)-</b> <b>Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b>	
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>



This amendment #04 to this solicitation is to add questions 6 & 7

**Questions & Answers**

**Q1. Is there currently, or has there been within the last 6 months, a contractor performing these functions? If so, what company is performing these services, how long has it been in place, and what is the contract value?**

*A1. Yes there is a contract in place. The contract is with TPG @\$6,941,686.00 from July 2014 to present.*

**Q2. For the level 3 role, R3 scoring currently states 5 points for 5 years, 7 points for 5-7 years and 10 points for over 7 years. The scoring rubric for all other rated requirements allows for maximum points for 4+ years of experience. It's unclear as to why the scoring is changed and increased to over 7 years of experience for R3. We respectfully request that the Crown amend the R3 scoring to 5 points for 5 years, 7 points for 5-6 years and 10 points for over 6 years of experience to increase the pool of qualified candidates.**

*A2. The level of scoring remains the same.*

**Q3. For both the level 2 and level 3 positions, the scoring for R6 allows for 1 point per project up to a maximum of 10 points or 10 projects. Many highly skilled consultants have worked on large, multi-year, complex projects that integrate with other systems. We request that multi-year projects be assigned a project equivalency e.g. each 12 month project period would be equal to 1 project to account for Consultants who have worked on long-term development projects, from inception to delivery (full lifecycle). Several competitive RFP's have made this provision and it would be excessively restrictive and not in the interest of the Crown to disqualify otherwise highly skilled resources. Please confirm that for any additional increments of 12 months, the Crown will consider this another 'project' for the purposes of evaluation, similar to other recent and relevant TBIPS RFPs with this type of project duration definition. Also to more fairly evaluate resources who have longer duration spans of experience.**

*A3. Points rating will be changed as below: Please see Revision-01 of the RFP for details*

- A project cannot be less than a 12 months period.
- Multiple projects in a 12 month period will equal 1 point

**Q4. R2/Lv2 & 3 states "The proposed resource should clearly demonstrate minimum of 2 years' experience installing, configuring and supporting Oracle Weblogic and/or Ariba's Synergy and/or Atlassian's Confluence Wiki and/or others." Can you please confirm what alternatives would also be considered.**

*A4. All other Middleware software components*

**Q5. Due to the complexity of the requirements within this RFP, we respectfully request a 2 week extension.**

*A5. An extension will not be granted*



**Q6. Can you please confirm that when you say 1 point per 12 months that means that a project that is 24 months will count as two points.**

A6. 1 point is 12 months so yes, 24 months is 2 points.

**Q7. We respectfully request a 1 week extension as a result of outstanding questions as well as the increased complexity of the grids after the release of amendments.**

**A7. EXTENSION DENIED**