



## RETURN BIDS TO:

## RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions  
- TPSGC

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

11 Laurier St., 11, rue Laurier

Gatineau

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

## SOLICITATION AMENDMENT MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

### Comments - Commentaires

### Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du  
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

### Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Business Transformation and Systems Integration  
Service/Division de transformation des opérations et  
d'intégrat

Special Procurement Initiative Dir

Dir. des initiatives spéciales

d'approvisionnement

11 Laurier, Place du Portage III

12C1

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

<b>Title - Sujet</b> MPMCT Systems Integrator	
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> W8474-11MP01/M	<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 008
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> W8474-11MP01	<b>Date</b> 2016-03-10
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$\$XE-670-29788	
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> 670xe.W8474-11MP01	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> <b>on - le 2016-03-30</b>	
<b>Time Zone</b> Fuseau horaire Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT	
<b>F.O.B. - F.A.B.</b> Specified Herein - Précisé dans les présentes <b>Plant-Usine:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Destination:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Other-Autre:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Chapple(XE Div.), Jeremy	<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> 670xe
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (819) 956-1004 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> (819) 956-8303
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b>	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>	<b>Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée</b>
<b>Vendor/Firm Name and Address</b> Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> <b>Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur</b>	
<b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm</b> <b>(type or print)</b> <b>Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/</b> <b>de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b>	
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation  
W8474-11MP01/M  
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client  
W8474-11MP01

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.  
008  
File No. - N° du dossier  
670xe.W8474-11MP01

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur  
670xe  
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

---

**Invitation to Qualify (ITQ) #W8474-11MP01/M**

**Military Personnel Management Capability Transformation (MPMCT) Project  
Systems Integrator (SI) Requirement**

**For the Department of National Defence (DND) and the Canadian Armed Forces (CAF)**

**Amendment No. 008**

The following is a summary of the amendments issued to date for ITQ #W8474-11MP01/M:

<b>Amendment No.</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Comments</b>
001	February 5, 2016	Clarification Question #1.
002	February 11, 2016	Clarification Question #2.
003	February 16, 2016	Clarification Question #3.
004	February 19, 2016	Clarification Questions #4 and 5.
005	February 25, 2016	Clarification Question #6 and ITQ revision #1.
006	February 26, 2016	Clarification Questions #7 and 8, and ITQ revision #2 to extend the ITQ closing date.
007	March 2, 2016	Clarification Question #9 and ITQ revisions #3 and 4.
008	March 10, 2016	Clarification Questions #10 and 11.

**Clarification Questions:**

Note, clarification questions are numerically sequenced upon arrival at PWGSC. A question and its answer will be provided via the Government of Canada's tendering web site BuyandSell.gc.ca as responses become available. Potential Respondents are therefore advised that questions and answers may be issued via BuyandSell.gc.ca out of sequence.

The following clarification questions were received from potential Respondents. In accordance with Article 13 under the 2003 (2015-07-03) Standard Instructions - Goods or Services - Competitive Requirements, which has been incorporated into the ITQ, in accordance with Article 2.1.3 of the ITQ, the clarification questions and corresponding answers are being provided to all potential Respondents as set out below:

**Question #10:**

In Amendment 007 Answer #9, Canada indicated that it does not intend to make any revisions to the M3 criterion. However, Canada then proceeded to change the wording of Criteria M3 to replace the term 'Documented Methodology' with the term 'Documented Software Delivery Methodology'. Could Canada please explain its intent in changing the terminology used in criterion M3.

**Answer #10:**

An administrative change was issued to M3 in order to correct an error made with respect to the defined terminology used for the ITQ. As per Annex 2 of the ITQ, "Documented Software Delivery Methodology" is the correct term, and as a result, the M3 criterion was revised for consistency and to reflect the intent of the criterion.

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation  
W8474-11MP01/M  
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client  
W8474-11MP01

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.  
008  
File No. - N° du dossier  
670xe.W8474-11MP01

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur  
670xe  
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

---

**Question #11:**

As a result of Amendment 005, Canada states that joint venture members can pool their individual experience with the individual experience of other joint venture members to satisfy a single technical criterion of this ITQ. Our interpretation of this change is that the M1 criteria has not been modified, in that the C\$20M project must have been delivered under a single contract, either by Company A, Company B or A and B acting in a contractual joint venture. In other words, the M1 criteria is not met if, for example, company M having delivered a C\$12M contract and company N having delivered a C\$8M contract (in joint venture or not). However, our interpretation of this change is that a situation where company M delivered a C\$20M contract and company N delivered 2 other unrelated contracts would meet the criteria, provided that all 3 projects followed the same Documented Software Delivery Methodology. Can Canada please confirm that our interpretation is correct?

**Answer #11:**

Yes, Canada confirms that the interpretation described in Question #11 is correct.

**ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE ITQ REMAIN UNCHANGED.**