



**RETURN BIDS TO:**  
**RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:**  
**Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions**  
**- TPSGC**  
**11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier**  
**Place du Portage, Phase III**  
**Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2**  
**Gatineau**  
**Québec**  
**K1A 0S5**  
**Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776**

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT**  
**MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

**Comments - Commentaires**  
THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS A SECURITY  
REQUIREMENT / DOCUMENT CONTIENT DES  
EXIGENCES RELATIVES À LA SÉCURITÉ

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address**  
**Raison sociale et adresse du**  
**fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

**Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution**  
Informatics Professional Services Division / Division  
des services professionnels en informatique  
11 Laurier St., / 11, rue Laurier  
3C2, Place du Portage  
Gatineau  
Québec  
K1A 0S5

<b>Title - Sujet</b> TBIPS Professional Services	
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> W8485-163193/A	<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 005
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> W8485-163193	<b>Date</b> 2016-04-14
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$\$ZM-619-29966	
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> 619zm.W8485-163193	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> <b>on - le 2016-05-02</b>	
<b>F.O.B. - F.A.B.</b> <b>Plant-Usine:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Destination:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Other-Autre:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> St-Onge, Josée	<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> 619zm
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (873) 469-4944 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> ( ) -
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b>	

**Instructions: See Herein**

**Instructions: Voir aux présentes**

<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>	<b>Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée</b>
<b>Vendor/Firm Name and Address</b> <b>Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur</b>	
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> <b>Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur</b>	
<b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm</b> <b>(type or print)</b> <b>Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/</b> <b>de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b>	
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>

Solicitation No. – N° de l'invitation W8485-163193/A	Amd. No – N° de la modif. 005	Buyer ID – Id de l'acheteur 619ZM
Client Ref. No. – N° de réf. De client W8485-163193	File No. – N° du dossier 619ZM.W8486-163193	CCC No./ N° CCC – FMS No/ N° VME

## SOLICITATION AMENDMENT 005

This solicitation amendment is raised to:

1. Provide answers to Bidders questions in relation to this Request for Proposal (RFP).

---

### Question #33:

Stream 1 - requirements MT2, MT7, MT12, MT17, MT26, MT35, MT45, MT48, MT51, MT54, MT57:

- a) in order to demonstrate the resources' experience for a resource category will the Crown confirm that bidders can reference the activities from the SOW - section 6 in the resources' resumes (which also have the TBIPS tasks embedded into the SOW activities).
- b) Also please confirm that demonstrating at minimum 50% of the SOW tasks, collectively over all projects used, will meet the requirement.

### Answer #33:

- a) Part 3, Article 3.2 – Section I : Technical Bid, (a), (iii) Substantiation of Technical Compliance (Attachment 4.1 for Streams 1 and 2) clearly states:

*“The technical bid must substantiate the compliance with the specific articles of Attachment 4.1, which is the requested format for providing the substantiation. **The substantiation must not simply be a repetition of the requirement(s), but must explain and demonstrate how the Bidder will meet the requirements and carry out the required Work. Simply stating that the Bidder or its proposed solution or resources comply is not sufficient. Where Canada determines that the substantiation is not complete, the Bidder will be considered non-responsive and disqualified. The substantiation may refer to additional documentation submitted with the bid - this information can be referenced in the "Bidder's Response" column of Attachment 4.1, where Bidders are requested to indicate where in the bid the reference material can be found, including the title of the document, and the page and paragraph numbers; where the reference is not sufficiently precise, Canada may request that the Bidder direct Canada to the appropriate location in the documentation.**”*

- b) Demonstrating at minimum 50% of the SOW tasks is not stipulated in any of the mandatory technical criteria. The Bidder must respond to the evaluation criteria as it is written, i.e., that the proposed resource must possess the required experience and performed the activities relevant to that role for the required amount of time.

Please note that the header for each resources is simply for reference purposes.

<b>Solicitation No. – N° de l'invitation</b> W8485-163193/A	<b>Amd. No – N° de la modif.</b> 005	<b>Buyer ID – Id de l'acheteur</b> 619ZM
<b>Client Ref. No. – N° de réf. De client</b> W8485-163193	<b>File No. – N° du dossier</b> 619ZM.W8486-163193	<b>CCC No./ N° CCC – FMS No/ N° VME</b>

**Question #35:**

Due to the challenges in accessing resources earmarked for this project, the multiple facets and layers of the RFP, the time required to update/duplicate security clearances, and availability issues affected by factors such as Spring Break, it is requested that the submission deadline be extended to 6 May 2016.

**Answer #35:**

Please refer to answer #32 of the solicitation amendment 004.

**Question #36:**

In reference to MT24 and MT23 requirements for the I5 IM Architect, Level 2 resources. SAP Business Server Pages (BSP) language is an outdated protocol that was replaced by SAP's NetWeaver in 2005. In addition, accessibility of resources that have current and/or any experience in the BSP language is extremely limited. Given that MT21 and MT30 requests experience in ABAP and that NetWeaver is built primarily using the ABAP programming language, but also uses C, C++, and Java EE, would the Crown also accept equivalent NetWeaver experience?

**Answer #36:**

While DND recognizes that the information presented in question 36 is accurate, DND's systems are still based on the SAP BSP language. Therefore, no change will be made to the evaluation criteria and DND will not accept equivalent NetWeaver experience.