



**RETURN BIDS TO:**  
**RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:**  
Public Works and Government Services Canada  
ATB Place North Tower  
10025 Jasper Avenue  
Edmonton  
Alberta  
T5J 1S6  
Bid Fax: (780) 497-3510

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT**  
**MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

**Comments - Commentaires**

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address**  
**Raison sociale et adresse du**  
**fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

**Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution**  
Public Works and Government Services Canada  
Northern Contaminated Site Program  
ATB Place North Tower  
10025 Jasper Avenue  
Edmonton  
Alberta  
T5J 1S6

<b>Title - Sujet</b> CAM E Site Supervision	
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> EW699-162239/A	<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 004
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> AANDC EW699-162239	<b>Date</b> 2016-04-18
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$NCS-013-10727	
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> NCS-5-38320 (013)	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> <b>on - le 2016-05-09</b>	<b>Time Zone</b> Fuseau horaire Mountain Daylight Saving Time MDT
<b>F.O.B. - F.A.B.</b> <b>Plant-Usine:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Destination:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Other-Autre:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Wiebe, Amanda	<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> ncs013
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (204) 510-9736 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> ( ) -
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b>	

**Instructions: See Herein**

**Instructions: Voir aux présentes**

<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>	<b>Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée</b>
<b>Vendor/Firm Name and Address</b> <b>Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur</b>	
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> <b>Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur</b>	
<b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm</b> <b>(type or print)</b> <b>Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/</b> <b>de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b>	
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>

Amendment No. 04 is raised to modify solicitation EW699-162239 and address questions from industry as follows:

## **EXTEND SOLICITATION CLOSING DATE TO:**

**05/09/2016**

**At 02:00PM MDT**

Questions and Answers:

**1. Question:**

**Page 27. Annex D under the Project Summaries heading of the table it indicates to submit project summaries for each task and it indicates that a minimum of 3 summaries should be included. Does PWGSC require a minimum of 3 project summaries per task or a minimum of 3 project summaries that addresses all tasks?**

Answer:

Please refer to the amended evaluation table. The project summaries requested under Section 1.2 Potential Additional Work should be specific to the task, but if applicable can be used for more than one task.

**2. Question:**

**Page 28, Section 2.2. Will the Resident Engineers be evaluated only on the criteria provided: recent (<10 years ago) and relevant (northern site (north of 60 resident engineering) experience? Please confirm the Resident Engineers do not need to be licensed engineers to achieve maximum points.**

Answer:

The resident engineer position does not need to be filled by a licensed engineer. This position will be evaluated based on the criteria identified and the relevance of the identified experience with respect to the CAM-E work.

**3. Question:**

**Pages 22-26, Section 5, Estimate Usage/Weight %s are given for resource categories – are these weightings fixed for the evaluation? (The example table on page 26 uses different weightings.)**

ANSWER:

Yes, they are fixed. The weightings in the sample table are for example only, and will not be used for evaluation purposes.

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation  
EW699-162239/A  
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client  
AANDC EW699-162239

Amd. No. - N° de la modif  
Amd 004  
File No. - N° du dossier  
NCS-5-38320

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur  
ncs013  
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

---

**1. Refer to ATTA 001 ANNEX “A” STATEMENT OF WORK (SOW)**

Modifications have been made to sections 5 and 6 of the SOW.  
See attached addendum at **ATTA003**

---

**2. Refer to page 21 to 33 ANNEX "C" Basis Of Pricing AND ANNEX "D" – Technical, Management and Inuit Benefits Criteria Evaluation**

**DELETE:**

IN THEIR ENTIRTY

**INSERT**

**ANNEX "C" BASIS OF PRICING**

**(WILL FORM THE BASIS OF PAYMENT)**

**1. Professional Fees**

1.1 The Consultant will be paid for actual hours worked/operated, as approved by the Project Authority, at the firm hourly rate, detailed in the Professional Fees Unit Price Table, GST extra. The rates will be firm for the full period of the Contract.

1.2 Firm, all-inclusive, hourly rates by classification must be inclusive of full compensation for payroll, burdens, WCB, Insurance, and general overheads related to the normal operation of the business.

1.3 Personnel substituted, with the prior approval of the Project Authority, are to be charged out at their standard rate which cannot exceed the hourly rate of the personnel that they are substituted for.

1.4 Overtime will be charged at the firm hourly rate, no multiplier shall be allowed.

**2. Disbursements**

**2.1 Communications**

Communications (such as Information Technology, telecom, faxcom, cellcom, day to day printing, courier) will be recovered at a firm fixed percentage of the professional fees above. This fixed fee % will remain unchanged for the full period of the contract.

**2.2 Major Disbursements**

2.2.1 Major disbursements will be recovered at cost plus a firm fixed percentage. Major disbursements shall include: materials, supplies, testing & analysis, equipment rentals, freight, subcontracting, sub-consulting, one time large printing jobs (i.e.: final reports, tender documents with full sized plans). The amounts payable shall not exceed the amount negotiated for each resulting Task Authorization without prior authorization from the Project Authority.

2.2.2 Major disbursements must be project related and must not include expenses that are related to the normal operation of the Consultant's business. The following costs must be included in the fees required to deliver the consultant services and will not be reimbursed separately:

- \_ computers;
- \_ software;
- \_ cameras;
- \_ video cameras
- \_ hand held GPS units;
- \_ satellite phones.

**2.3 Travel and Living Expenses**

2.3.1 In case of pre-authorized travel and living expenses, the Consultant will be paid for reasonable and

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation  
EW699-162239/A  
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client  
AANDC EW699-162239

Amd. No. - N° de la modif  
Amd 004  
File No. - N° du dossier  
NCS-5-38320

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur  
ncs013  
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

proper travel and living expenses, supported by appropriate receipts, incurred by personnel directly engaged in the performance of the Work, calculated in accordance with the then-current National Joint Council Travel Directive travel and living allowances, at actual cost only without any allowance thereon for overhead or profit. Charges for air travel must not exceed that for economy class.

All travel must have prior authorization of the Project Authority

All payments are subject to government audit.

2.3.2 All information relating to the National Joint Council Travel Directive can be access through the following web site: <http://www.njc-cnm.gc.ca/directive/travel-voyage/index-eng.php>

### 3. Limitation of Expenditure

With the exception of the firm elements above, the amounts shown in the respective categories of the Basis of Pricing are estimates, and it is the intention that changes from item to item will be accepted for billing purposes as the work proceeds, provided that the total cost of the authorized Task Authorization does not exceed the limitation of expenditure specified in the authorized Task Authorization.

### 4. Prices are F.O.B: Destination

#### Proposed Basis Of Pricing Table (Will Form Basis of Payment)

### 5. Financial Evaluation

Financial Bids will be evaluated as follows:

**NOTE: All Hourly Rates for Professional Fees and percentages for Communications and Major Disbursements used for evaluation will be taken from the Financial Bid.**

- The consultant will be paid for actual hours worked/operated, as approved by the Project Authority, at the firm hourly rate including overhead and profit, detailed in the Unit Price Table, GST extra. The rates will be firm for the period of the Contract as detailed below.
- All-inclusive hourly fees shall be exclusive of disbursements and administration costs.
- Failure to insert an hourly rate for each position listed will render your bid non-responsive.
- Each Hourly Rate will be multiplied by the estimated usage/weighting to determine the Evaluated Rate for each resource category. The Evaluated Rates for all categories will be added together to total the Sub-Total Evaluated Rate.
- The Sub-Total Evaluated Rates for A, B, C, and D will be added to the calculations for Communications and Major Disbursements to equal the Total Evaluated Financial Bid Price (See G, Summary of Estimated Costs, below.)

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation  
 EW699-162239/A  
 Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client  
 AANDC EW699-162239

Amd. No. - N° de la modif  
 Amd 004  
 File No. - N° du dossier  
 NCS-5-38320

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur  
 ncs013  
 CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

**Professional Fees Unit Price Table**

**A. Period: Date of Award - March 31, 2017**

<b>Professional Fees</b>			
<b>Resource Category/Title</b>	<b>Estimated Usage (Annual Hours)</b>	<b>Hourly Rate</b>	<b>Evaluated Rate</b>
Senior Lead/Principal	70	\$	\$
Senior Professional/Project Manager	840	\$	\$
Intermediate Professional	140	\$	\$
Junior Professional	1400	\$	\$
Resident Engineer	2800	\$	\$
Senior Technologist	140	\$	\$
Intermediate Technologist	210	\$	\$
Junior Technologist	700	\$	\$
CADD/Draftperson	350	\$	\$
Administration	350	\$	\$
<b>Sub-Total Evaluated Rate</b>			\$

**B. Period: April 1, 2017 - March 31, 2018**

<b>Professional Fees</b>			
<b>Resource Category/Title</b>	<b>Estimated Usage(Annual Hours)</b>	<b>Hourly Rate</b>	<b>Evaluated Rate</b>
Senior Lead/Principal	70	\$	\$
Senior Professional /Project Manager	840	\$	\$
Intermediate Professional	140	\$	\$
Junior Professional	1400	\$	\$
Resident Engineer	2800	\$	\$
Senior Technologist	140	\$	\$
Intermediate Technologist	210	\$	\$
Junior Technologist	700	\$	\$
CADD/Draftperson	350	\$	\$
Administration	350	\$	\$
<b>Sub-Total Evaluated Rate</b>			\$

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation  
 EW699-162239/A  
 Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client  
 AANDC EW699-162239

Amd. No. - N° de la modif  
 Amd 004  
 File No. - N° du dossier  
 NCS-5-38320

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur  
 ncs013  
 CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

**C. Period: April 1, 2018 - March 31, 2019**

<b>Professional Fees</b>			
<b>Resource Category/Title</b>	<b>Estimated Usage(Annual Hours)</b>	<b>Hourly Rate</b>	<b>Evaluated Rate</b>
Senior Lead/Principal	70	\$	\$
Senior Professional /Project Manager	840	\$	\$
Intermediate Professional	140	\$	\$
Junior Professional	1400	\$	\$
Resident Engineer	2800	\$	\$
Senior Technologist	140	\$	\$
Intermediate Technologist	210	\$	\$
Junior Technologist	700	\$	\$
CADD/Draftperson	350	\$	\$
Administration	350	\$	\$
<b>Sub-Total Evaluated Rate</b>			\$

**D. Period: April 1, 2019 - March 31, 2020**

<b>Professional Fees</b>			
<b>Resource Category/Title</b>	<b>Estimated Usage(Annual Hours)</b>	<b>Hourly Rate</b>	<b>Evaluated Rate</b>
Senior Lead/Principal	70	\$	\$
Senior Professional /Project Manager	840	\$	\$
Intermediate Professional	140	\$	\$
Junior Professional	1400	\$	\$
Resident Engineer	2800	\$	\$
Senior Technologist	140	\$	\$
Intermediate Technologist	210	\$	\$
Junior Technologist	700	\$	\$
CADD/Draftperson	350	\$	\$
Administration	350	\$	\$
<b>Sub-Total Evaluated Rate</b>			\$

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation  
 EW699-162239/A  
 Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client  
 AANDC EW699-162239

Amd. No. - N° de la modif  
 Amd 004  
 File No. - N° du dossier  
 NCS-5-38320

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur  
 ncs013  
 CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

Others - Please provide any miscellaneous Category/Title that cannot be classified above. They will NOT form part of the financial evaluation. Note: The Hourly Rate for any miscellaneous Category/Title provided below, must not exceed the highest Hourly Rate offered for items 1-10 above.		
ITEM	Resource Category/Title	Hourly Rate (Cnd \$)

**E. Communications**

**Firm Fixed Fee \_\_\_%**

For evaluation purposes, the firm fixed fee percentage provided will be applied to the Total Extended Price to calculate the Total Communications Fee Estimate and will be included in the Total Evaluated Price. If no percentage fee is inserted a 0% fee will apply for evaluation and to the contract for all TA's.

**Sub-Total Evaluated Rates (A+B+C+D) x Firm Fixed Fee at \_\_\_\_\_% = Total \$ \_\_\_\_\_**

**F. Major Disbursements**

**Firm Fixed Fee \_\_\_%**

**Estimated at \$600,000.00 (for evaluation purposes only) x (1 + Firm Fixed %) =**

**Total \$ \_\_\_\_\_**

**G. Summary of Estimated Costs**

Sub-Total Evaluated Rates for Professional Fees (A+B+C+D)	\$
Communications (E)	\$
Major Disbursements (F)	\$
Total Evaluated Financial Bid Price (GST Extra)	\$

**EXAMPLE** – below is an example of how financial proposals will be evaluated

**A. Date of Award - March 31, 2017**

<b>Professional Fees</b>			
<i>Resource Category/Title</i>	<i>Estimated Usage(Annual Hours)</i>	<i>Hourly Rate</i>	<i>Evaluated Rate</i>
<i>Senior Lead/Principal</i>	70	\$105.00	\$ 7,350
<i>Senior Professional/ Project Manager</i>	840	\$105.00	\$ 88,200
<i>Intermediate Professional</i>	140	\$95.00	\$ 13,300
<i>Junior Professional</i>	1400	\$75.00	\$ 105,000
<i>Resident Engineer</i>	2800	\$90.00	\$ 252,000
<i>Senior Technologist</i>	140	\$95.00	\$ 13,300
<i>Intermediate Technologist</i>	210	\$70.00	\$ 14,700
<i>Junior Technologist</i>	700	\$65.00	\$ 45,500
<i>CADD/Draftperson</i>	350	\$60.00	\$ 21,000
<i>Administration</i>	350	\$60.00	\$ 21,000
<b>Sub-Total Evaluated Rate</b>			<b>\$ 581,350.00</b>

**B. Communications**

Firm Fixed Fee 2%

Sub-Total Evaluated Rates (\$581,350.00) x Firm Fixed Fee at 2% = Total \$ 11,627.00

**C. Major Disbursements**

Firm Fixed Fee 5%

Estimated at \$600,000.00 (for evaluation purposes only) x (1 + Firm Fixed 5%)  
 = Total \$ 630,000.00

**D. Summary of Estimated Costs**

<i>Sub-Total Evaluated Rates for Professional Fees (A)</i>	<i>\$ 581,350.00 x 4 years = \$2,325,400.00</i>
<i>Communications (B)</i>	<i>\$ 11,627.00 x 4 years = \$46,508.00</i>
<i>Major Disbursements (C)</i>	<i>\$ 630,000.00</i>
<i>Total Evaluated Financial Bid Price (GST Extra)</i>	<i>\$ 3,001,908.00</i>

**ANNEX “D” – Technical, Management and Inuit Benefits Criteria Evaluation**

<b>1.0 Technical – Mandatory and Point Rated Criteria</b>			
<p>The bidders will be evaluated on their understanding of the Scope of Work, as outlined in Section 5 of the Statement of Work and how that scope will be implemented for the CAM-E project. Bidders are encouraged to refer to remediation construction specifications for further project specific information.</p> <p>As indicated under Part 3, Section 3.1 on the RFP, Technical Bid, “The technical bid should address clearly and in sufficient depth the points that are subject to the evaluation criteria against which the bid will be evaluated. Simply repeating the statement contained in the bid solicitation is not sufficient.”</p>			
<b>Task</b>	<b>Criteria</b>	<b>Total Points Available</b>	<b>Weight Factor</b>
<b>1.1 Construction Contract Supervision (refer to section 5 of the SOW)</b>			
1.1.1 Mobilization/De mobilization	1) knowledge of each task and associated sub-tasks; 2) a methodology in approaching each task; 3) typical challenges when dealing with each discipline, along with related mitigative measures. 4) proposed approaches to logistical planning for the tasks as appropriate, including those related to northern remote locations.	10	1.5
1.1.2 Landfill construction, operation and closure (non-hazardous and Tier II)	1) knowledge of each task and associated sub-tasks; 2) a methodology in approaching each task; 3) typical challenges when dealing with each discipline, along with related mitigative measures. 4) proposed approaches to logistical planning for the tasks as appropriate, including those related to northern remote locations.	10	1.5
1.1.3 Building Demolition	1) knowledge of each task and associated sub-tasks; 2) a methodology in approaching each task; 3) typical challenges when dealing with each discipline, along with related mitigative measures. 4) proposed approaches to logistical planning for the tasks as appropriate, including those related to northern remote locations.	10	1.5
1.1.4 Barrel/Tank Remediation	1) knowledge of each task and associated sub-tasks; 2) a methodology in approaching each task; 3) typical challenges when dealing with each discipline, along with related mitigative measures. 4) proposed approaches to logistical planning for the tasks as appropriate, including those related to northern remote locations.	10	1.5

<p>1.1.5 Contaminated Soil Remediation</p>	<p>1) knowledge of each task and associated sub-tasks;          2) a methodology in approaching each task;          3) typical challenges when dealing with each discipline, along with related mitigative measures.          4) proposed approaches to logistical planning for the tasks as appropriate, including those related to northern remote locations.</p>	<p>10</p>	<p>1.5</p>
<p>1.1.6 Hazardous Waste Abatement and Disposal</p>	<p>1) knowledge of each task and associated sub-tasks;          2) a methodology in approaching each task;          3) typical challenges when dealing with each discipline, along with related mitigative measures.          4) proposed approaches to logistical planning for the tasks as appropriate, including those related to northern remote locations.</p>	<p>10</p>	<p>1.5</p>
<p>1.1.7 Borrow Source Development/ Closure</p>	<p>1) knowledge of each task and associated sub-tasks;          2) a methodology in approaching each task;          3) typical challenges when dealing with each discipline, along with related mitigative measures.          4) proposed approaches to logistical planning for the tasks as appropriate, including those related to northern remote locations.</p>	<p>10</p>	<p>1.5</p>
<p>1.1.8 Health and Safety</p>	<p>1) knowledge of each task and associated sub-tasks;          2) a methodology in approaching each task;          3) typical challenges when dealing with each discipline, along with related mitigative measures.          4) proposed approaches to logistical planning for the tasks as appropriate, including those related to northern remote locations.</p>	<p>10</p>	<p>1.5</p>
<p>1.1.9 Quality Assurance</p>	<p>1) knowledge of each task and associated sub-tasks;          2) a methodology in approaching each task;          3) typical challenges when dealing with each discipline, along with related mitigative measures.          4) proposed approaches to logistical planning for the tasks as appropriate, including those related to northern remote locations.</p>	<p>10</p>	<p>1.5</p>
<p>1.1.10 On- site/Off-site Reporting</p>	<p>1) knowledge of each task and associated sub-tasks;          2) a methodology in approaching each task;          3) typical challenges when dealing with each discipline, along with related mitigative measures.          4) proposed approaches to logistical planning for the tasks as appropriate, including those related to northern remote locations.</p>	<p>10</p>	<p>1.5</p>

1.1.11 Cost and Schedule Tracking and Verification	1) knowledge of each task and associated sub-tasks; 2) a methodology in approaching each task; 3) typical challenges when dealing with each discipline, along with related mitigative measures. 4) proposed approaches to logistical planning for the tasks as appropriate, including those related to northern remote locations.	10	3		
Total Points Available for Construction Contract Supervision		180 Pass Mark: (50%) or 90 Points			
<b>1.2 Potential Additional Work (refer to section 8 of the SOW)</b>					
	<b>Experience of proposed individuals:</b>  The bidder must demonstrate, for the individuals proposed for the following positions:  <b>Senior Lead/Principal Senior Professional / Project Manager</b>  1) overall recent (< 7 years) and relevant corporate experience in each task and associated sub-tasks as identified in the SOW;  2) the firm's specific depth and breadth of relevant experience in completing the tasks including associated: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• experience in working with First Nations;</li> <li>• experience in working with various levels of government;</li> <li>• experience in working in northern remote locations;</li> </ul>	<b>Knowledge/Methodology:</b> The bidder must demonstrate:  1) knowledge of each task and associated sub-tasks;  2) a methodology in approaching each task;  3) typical challenges when dealing with each discipline, along with related mitigating measures;  4) proposed approaches to logistical planning for the tasks as appropriate, including those related to northern remote locations.	<b>Project Summaries:</b>  The bidder must provide summaries of the requested number of recent (< 7 years), relevant projects for each task. Summaries shall include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• project team members;</li> <li>• project location;</li> <li>• problems/obstacles that were encountered during the project and how they were addressed;</li> <li>• logistical details surrounding the project.</li> </ul> Note: each summary should be a maximum 3 pages.  Bidders should include a minimum of 3 summaries.		

1.2.1 Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment (refer to section 8.1 of the SOW)	10 (a)	10 (b)	10 (c)	30	0.5 (a) 0.5 (b) 1 (c)
1.2.2 Geotechnical Assessments (refer to section 8.2 of the SOW)	10 (a)	10 (b)	10 (c)	30	0.5 (a) 0.5 (b) 1 (c)
1.2.3 Geophysical Assessments (refer to section 8.3 of the SOW)	10 (a)	10 (b)	10 (c)	30	0.5 (a) 0.5 (b) 1 (c)
<b>1.3 Clear Concise and Complete Proposal</b>					
1.3.1 Proposal is well organized, respondent to all portions of RFP and well written.				10	0.5
<b>2.0 MANAGEMENT – POINT RATED</b>					
<b>2.1 Company/Joint Venture/Consortium Qualifications and Experience</b>					
Provide details on the qualifications on the company/joint venture/consortium, historical background demonstrating experience specifically related to similar scope of work activities at remote northern locations. Discussion should include:					
1) overall recent (< 7 years) and relevant corporate experience in the provision of Site Supervision Services for remediation project as described in the SOW; 2) the firm’s specific depth and breadth of relevant experience in completing the Site Supervision tasks including associated: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• experience in working with the Inuit;</li> <li>• experience in working with various levels of government;</li> <li>• experience in working in northern remote locations;</li> <li>• experience working in locations north of 60.</li> </ul> 3) Methodology in approaching project management activities associated with the Site Supervision contract including but not limited to the management of schedules, budget, scope, resources and quality control.				10	1.5

<b>2.2 Project Summaries and Client References</b>		
<p>Provide evidence of up to three (3) similar remediation projects where similar Consulting Services to those required within this SOW were provided. Clearly identify the project team, their roles, the project objectives, scope of services, budget, completion date and deliverables. Clearly identify key members of the proposed project team in these projects.</p> <p>As well, provide client letters of reference for each of the projects identified that are signed and dated by the Client.</p> <p>*Note that all references may be contacted to confirm the information provided in the proposal.</p>	10	1.5
<b>2.3 Qualifications of Key Individuals</b> <i>(Provided resumes, up to 2 pages in length, for the following positions. Resumes included experience on similar projects, experience working in remote Northern environments and experience in the proposed project role.)</i>		
<p><b>2.3.1 Project Manager</b> Demonstrated experience managing consulting services outlined within this SOW on remediation projects similar in scale and scope to the proposed project. - Include experience related to financial and schedule control, liaison with client.</p>	10	1.5
<p><b>2.3.2 Back-up Project Manager</b> Demonstrated experience managing consulting services outlined within this SOW on remediation projects similar in scale and scope to the proposed project. - Include experience related to financial and schedule control, liaison with client.</p>	10	0.5
<p><b>2.3.3 Resident Engineer</b> Identified Resident Engineer with recent (&lt;10 years ago) and relevant (northern site [north of 60] resident engineering) experience</p>	10	1.5
<p><b>2.3.4 Resident Engineer Cross-shift</b> Identified Resident Engineer with recent (&lt;10 years ago) and relevant (northern site [north of 60] resident engineering) experience</p>	10	1.5
<b>Organization Chart</b>		
<p><b>2.3.5</b> Submit a detailed Organization Chart of the Project Team illustrating PWGSC Project Authority, Consultant Project Manager, Resident Engineer, Consultant's Staff and sub-contractors, relationships of each and integration of the various components.</p>	10	1

<b>3.0 INUIT BENEFITS CRITERIA – Point Rated</b>		
<p>The requirements of the <b><u>Nunavut Land Claims Agreement (NLCA)</u></b> apply to this procurement. Canada reserves the right to confirm validity of all declarations / guarantees.</p>		
<p><b>3.1 HEAD OFFICE:</b> Bidders are requested to demonstrate the existence of head offices, staffed administrative offices or other staffed facilities in the Nunavut settlement Area</p>	5	1
<p><b>3.2 Training:</b> Bidders will be evaluated on their undertaking of a commitment with respect to delivery of on-the-job training and apprenticeship programs for Inuit people from the area of the contract at no additional cost under this project. “Training and Apprenticeship” is considered delivered when the receiving individuals have acquired certifiable work skills. This is typically achieved through an independent third party certification process.</p>	15	1
<p><b>3.3 Inuit Labour:</b> Bidders will be evaluated on their firm guarantee to use Inuit employment from the area of the contract in carrying out the work. The percentages identified below relate specifically to on-site labour hours regardless of whether they are Consultant staff and/or Consultant’s Sub-contractor staff. Percentages should be supported by list of specific positions that may or will be staffed by Inuit personnel. Inuit employment will be confirmed during activities based on supporting documentation provided by the Consultant and review of statistics records on Inuit labour on site</p> <p>0 - 25% - of total labour hours      0 - 5 points            26 - 50% - of total labour hours      6- 10 points            51 - 75% - of total labour hours      11 – 15 points            76 - 100% - of total labour hours      16 – 20 points</p> <p><b><u>Guarantee of Inuit Employee Content:</u></b>  <b>Bidders complete this section if a guarantee is being provided.</b></p> <p><b>Total Estimated Inuit Person Hours For This Project: _____(A)</b>  <b>Total Estimated Person Hours For This Project: _____(B)</b></p> <p>A/B = _____%</p> <p>*** Penalties and Incentives Conditions will apply to this criterion.</p>	20	1

3.4	<p><b>Sub-contractors/Suppliers:</b> Bidder will be evaluated on their firm guarantee to use Inuit Sub-Contractors for services or the procurement of supplies and equipment from the area of the contract associated with the project. Ranges are based on expenditures for equipment associated, supplies and/or services as a <b>percentage of the total estimated cost for the contract</b> not the number of businesses used.</p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">0 - 25% - of total cost</td> <td style="width: 50%;">0 - 5 points</td> </tr> <tr> <td>26 - 50% - of total cost</td> <td>6- 10 points</td> </tr> <tr> <td>51 - 75% - of total cost</td> <td>11 – 15 points</td> </tr> <tr> <td>76 - 100% - of total cost</td> <td>16 – 20 points</td> </tr> </table> <p>Note: if the Consultant is an Inuit owned business, the total dollar value of the Inuit contracting shall also include the consultant’s share of the contract</p> <p><b><u>Guarantee of Inuit Sub-Contracting / Supplier Costs:</u></b>  <b>Bidders complete this section if a guarantee is being provided.</b></p> <p><b>Total Est. Cost for Supplies/Materials/Equipment/Services from Inuit companies for this project: _____(A)</b></p> <p><b>Total Est. Cost for Supplies/Materials/Equipment/Services procured for this project: _____(B)</b></p> <p><b>A/B = _____%</b></p> <p>*** Penalties and Incentives Conditions will apply to this criterion.</p>	0 - 25% - of total cost	0 - 5 points	26 - 50% - of total cost	6- 10 points	51 - 75% - of total cost	11 – 15 points	76 - 100% - of total cost	16 – 20 points	20	1
0 - 25% - of total cost	0 - 5 points										
26 - 50% - of total cost	6- 10 points										
51 - 75% - of total cost	11 – 15 points										
76 - 100% - of total cost	16 – 20 points										

## TECHNICAL EVALUATION SUMMARY

### Technical and Management Evaluation (1&2)

1. Technical Point Rated			
Criterion	Weight Factor	Rating	Weighting Factor
<b>1.1 Construction Contract Supervision</b>			
1.1.1 Mobilization / Demobilization	1.5	0-10	0-15
1.1.2 Landfill construction, operation and closure (nonhazardous and Tier II)	1.5	0-10	0-15
1.1.3 Building Demolition	1.5	0-10	0-15
1.1.4 Barrel/Tank Remediation	1.5	0-10	0-15
1.1.5 Contaminated Soil Remediation	1.5	0-10	0-15
1.1.6 Hazardous Waste Abatement and Disposal	1.5	0-10	0-15
1.1.7 Borrow Source Development/ Closure	1.5	0-10	0-15
1.1.8 Health and Safety	1.5	0-10	0-15
1.1.9 Quality Assurance	1.5	0-10	0-15
1.1.10 On-site/Off-site Reporting	1.5	0-10	0-15
1.1.11 Cost and Schedule Tracking and Verification	3	0-10	0-30
<b>Total Points Available under Section 1.1: Minimum Pass Mark for this Section (1.1): 50% or 90 points</b>			<b>180</b>
<b>1.2 Potential Additional Work</b>			
1.2.1 Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment	(a) 0.5	0-10	0-5
	(b)0.5	0-10	0-5
	(c)1	0-10	0-10
1.2.2 Geotechnical Assessments	(a) 0.5	0-10	0-5
	(b)0.5	0-10	0-5
	(c)1	0-10	0-10
1.2.3 Geophysical Assessments	(a) 0.5	0-10	0-5
	(b)0.5	0-10	0-5
	(c)1	0-10	0-10

<b>1.3 Clear Concise and Complete Proposal</b>			
1.3.1 Proposal is well organized, respondent to all portions of RFP and well written.	0.5	0-10	0-5
<b>Total Points Available under the Technical Proposal Evaluation</b>			<b>245</b>
<b>2. Management Point Rated</b>			
2.1 Company/Joint Venture/Consortium Qualifications and Experience	1.5	0-10	0-15
2.2 Project Summaries and Client References	1.5	0-10	0-15
<b>2.3 Qualifications of Key Individuals</b>			
2.3.1 Project Manager	1.5	0-10	0-15
2.3.2 Back-up Project Manager	.05	0-10	0-5
2.3.3 Resident Engineer	1.5	0-10	0-15
2.3.4 Resident Engineer Cross-shift	1.5	0-10	0-15
2.3.5 Organization Chart	1	0-10	0-10
<b>Total Points Available under Management Proposal Evaluation:</b>			<b>90</b>
<b>Minimum Acceptable Points: Technical and Management Proposal (70% Pass Mark or 234.5 points)</b>			<b>335</b>

To be considered further, bidders must achieve a minimum pass mark under section 1.1 and an overall Rating of 234.5 (70%) of 335 points available as specified above under sections 1 and 2. No further consideration will be given to bidders not achieving the pass marks identified.

### Inuit Benefits Plan (3)

Points assigned to bidders Inuit Benefits Plan provided will be added to the bidders overall technical score. Points assigned will not be used to calculate the bidders' minimum technical and management scores above.

<b>3. INUIT BENEFITS PLAN</b>	
<b>Criterion</b>	<b>Rating</b>
3.1 Offices	0-5
3.2 Training	0-15
3.3 Inuit Labour	0-20
3.4 Sub-contracting/Suppliers	0-20
<b>Inuit Benefits Criterion Rating (No minimum Pass mark)</b>	<b>0-60</b>

**Total Available Technical Score**

Rating	Possible Range
Technical / Management Rating (1&2)	0-335
Inuit Benefits Plan Rating (3)	0-60
<b>Total Technical Points Available</b>	<b>395</b>

**Generic Evaluation Table (To be used in the evaluation of bidders Technical and Management proposals only)**

PWGSC Evaluation Board members will individually evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of the Bidder's response to the evaluation criteria and will rate each criterion with even numbers (0, 2, 4, 6, 8 or 10) using the generic evaluation table below. At the time of evaluating proposals, the PWGSC Evaluation Board may award an odd number for evaluation criterion once consensus has been reached.

	INADEQUATE	WEAK	ADEQUATE	FULLY SATISFACTORY	STRONG
0 point	2 points	4 points	6 points	8 points	10 points
Did not submit information which could be evaluated	Lacks complete or almost complete understanding of the requirements.	Has some understanding of the requirements but lacks adequate understanding in some areas of the requirements.	Demonstrates a good understanding of the requirements.	Demonstrates a very good understanding of the requirements.	Demonstrates an excellent understanding of the requirements.
	Weaknesses cannot be corrected	Generally doubtful that weaknesses can be corrected	Weaknesses can be corrected	No significant weaknesses	No apparent weaknesses
	Proponent do not possess qualifications and experience	Proponent lacks qualifications and experience	Proponent has an acceptable level of qualifications and experience	Proponent is qualified and experienced	Proponent is highly qualified and experienced
	Team proposed is not likely able to meet requirements	Team does not cover all components or overall experience is weak	Team covers most components and will likely meet requirements	Team covers all components - some members have worked successfully together	Strong team - has worked successfully together on comparable projects
	Sample projects not related to this requirement	Sample projects generally not related to this requirement	Sample projects generally related to this requirement	Sample projects directly related to this requirement	Leads in sample projects directly related to this requirement

	Extremely poor, insufficient to meet performance requirements	Little capability to meet performance requirements	Acceptable capability, should ensure adequate results	Satisfactory capability, should ensure effective results	Superior capability, should ensure very effective results
--	---	--	---	--	---

**CONTRACTOR SELECTION: TECHNICAL AND FINANCIAL SCORING TABLE**

<b>Contractor Selection - Assessed Best Value = Merit + Cost</b>		
<b>Merit:</b> Bidder's Overall Total Points Achieved/Total Points Available X 60%	___ of 60	
<b>Cost:</b> Lowest Total Evaluated Financial Bid Price/Bidder's Total Evaluated Financial Bid Price X 40%	___ of 40	
<b>Assessed Best Value Total Score</b>	<b>___ of 100</b>	

<b>Overall Technical Points (Merit)</b>	<b>395</b>
<b>1) Technical Criteria</b>	<b>245</b>
<b>2) Management Criteria</b>	<b>90</b>
<b>3) Inuit Benefits Criteria</b>	<b>60</b>

**3. Refer to page 41 of 48 at ANNEX "I" – INUIT BENEFITS PLAN (IPB) REPORTING**

**DELETE:**

- The tables below must be used by bidders to submit their proposals.

**INSERT:**

- The tables below may be used by bidders to submit their proposals.

**ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN THE SAME.**