



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

**Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions
- TPSGC**

11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT

MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS A SECURITY REQUIREMENT / DOCUMENT CONTIENT DES EXIGENCES RELATIVES À LA SÉCURITÉ

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Informatics Professional Services Division / Division des services professionnels en informatique

11 Laurier St., / 11, rue Laurier

3C2, Place du Portage

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

| | |
|---|---|
| Title - Sujet TBIPS Professional Services | |
| Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation W8485-163193/A | Amendment No. - N° modif. 008 |
| Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client W8485-163193 | Date 2016-04-29 |
| GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$ZM-619-29966 | |
| File No. - N° de dossier 619zm.W8485-163193 | CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME |
| Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2016-05-13 | |
| F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: St-Onge, Josée | Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 619zm |
| Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (873) 469-4944 () | FAX No. - N° de FAX () - |
| Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: | |

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

| | |
|--|--|
| Delivery Required - Livraison exigée | Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée |
| Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur | |
| Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur | |
| Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie) | |
| Signature | Date |

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| Solicitation No. – N° de l'invitation W8485-163193/A | Amd. No – N° de la modif. 008 | Buyer ID – Id de l'acheteur 619ZM |
| Client Ref. No. – N° de réf. De client W8485-163193 | File No. – N° du dossier 619ZM.W8486-163193 | CCC No./ N° CCC – FMS No/ N° VME |

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT 008

This solicitation amendment is raised to:

1. Provide answers to Bidders questions in relation to this Request for Proposal (RFP); and
2. Amend the Request for Proposal (RFP) as detailed in the Appendix 007 below.

Question #46:

We note that Stream 2, MT2 requires the Bidder's proposed resource to possess a minimum of 36 months of demonstrated experience within the last 60 months with at least six of the eleven applications listed below:

- a. SAPGUI Plant Maintenance (PM)
- b. SAPGUI Material Management (MM)
- c. SAP Production (backend)
- d. SAP Mobile Defence Solution (MDS)
- e. SAPGUI Portal 2.0
- f. SAP Training Clients (i.e. Gold, MAF)
- g. SAP Testing Environments (i.e. MBQ)
- h. DOORS
- i. SAP Solution Manager
- j. SAP Business Intelligence (BI)
- k. UPerform

- (a) However, item c is not an application as such. SAP can be accessed via a web enabled portal or using the SAP Graphical User Interface (GUI). We request that this item be removed from all of Stream 2, mandatory and point rated, as it is redundant.
- (b) Additionally, item f and item g are the databases behind the SAP application. As the positions under Stream 2 are simply knowledgeable users of SAP and NOT SAP technical professionals who would need to load, configure or maintain those databases, the database that the application is pointed at is an irrelevant requirement that only serves to narrow the list of qualified personnel to the incumbents. We request that the Crown remove these requirements from both mandatory and point rated requirements.
- (c) Concerning item e, does Portal 2.0 refer to the globally unique RCAF specific implementation of the web interface to the SAP ECC 6 ERP, or does it include any SAP implementation that takes advantage of portals built using the Netweaver 2.0 technical toolset and protocols? Please confirm.

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| Solicitation No. – N° de l'invitation W8485-163193/A | Amd. No – N° de la modif. 008 | Buyer ID – Id de l'acheteur 619ZM |
| Client Ref. No. – N° de réf. De client W8485-163193 | File No. – N° du dossier 619ZM.W8486-163193 | CCC No./ N° CCC – FMS No/ N° VME |

Answer #46:

- (a) DND concurs
- (b) DND concurs
- (c) Item e. (in MT2, MT10, MT18, MT26, MT34, MT42, MT50, MT58, MT69, RT1, RT8, RT15, RT22, RT29, RT36, RT43, RT50, and RT61), it does refer to the specifically built RCAF portal but DND will accept SAP implementations that take advantage of portals built using Netweaver 2.0 technology.

Canada has amended Stream 2, Attachment 4.1 – Mandatory Technical Criteria, MT2, MT10, MT18, MT26, MT34, MT42, MT50, MT58 and MT69 and Stream 2, Attachment 4.2 – Point-Rated Technical Criteria, RT1, RT8, RT15, RT22, RT29, RT36, RT43, RT50, and RT61. Please download the Attachment titled “*Stream 2, Attachment 4.1 – Mandatory Technical Criteria (Revised April 29, 2016)*” and “*Stream 2, Attachment 4.2 – Point-Rated Technical Criteria (Revised April 29, 2016)*” as indicated in the Appendix 007 below.

Question #47:

Concerning Stream 2, MT 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 all require 36 months of experience within the last 60 months. Given that SAP in Trenton has only been in use since March 1, 2014 the pool of resources is too small to allow for a competitive bid and unfairly tips the scales to the incumbent. Please consider accepting resources who have 24 months of experience in the last 60 months for MT 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, as this is all that would be available to anyone in Trenton, except for the incumbents.

Answer #47:

Canada has amended MT2, MT5, MT6, MT7, MT8, MT9, MT10, MT13, MT14, MT15, MT16, MT17, MT18, MT21, MT22, MT23, MT24, MT25, MT26, MT29, MT30, MT31, MT32, MT33, MT34, MT37, MT38, MT39, MT40, MT41, MT42, MT45, MT46, MT47, MT48, MT49, MT50, MT53, MT54, MT55, MT56, MT57, MT58, MT59, MT61, MT69, MT71, MT72, MT73, MT74 of Stream 2, Attachment 4.1 – Mandatory Technical Criteria and RT3, RT4, RT5, RT6, RT7, RT10, RT11, RT12, RT13, RT14, RT17, RT18, RT19, RT20, RT21, RT24, RT25, RT26, RT27, RT28, RT31, RT32, RT33, RT34, RT35, RT38, RT39, RT40, RT41, RT42, RT45, RT46, RT47, RT48, RT49, RT51, RT53, RT63, RT64, RT65, and RT66 of Stream 2, Attachment 4.2 – Point-Rated Technical Criteria.

Canada will not amend MT4, MT12, MT20, MT28, MT36, MT44, MT52, MT60, MT 67 and MT70 of Stream 2, Attachment 4.1 – Mandatory Technical Criteria and RT2, RT9, RT16, RT 23, RT30, RT37, RT44, RT52, RT59 and RT62 of the Stream 2, Attachment 4.2 – Point-Rated Technical Criteria.

Please refer to the Appendix 007 below.

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| Solicitation No. – N° de l'invitation W8485-163193/A | Amd. No – N° de la modif. 008 | Buyer ID – Id de l'acheteur 619ZM |
| Client Ref. No. – N° de réf. De client W8485-163193 | File No. – N° du dossier 619ZM.W8486-163193 | CCC No./ N° CCC – FMS No/ N° VME |

Question #50:

We have a question regarding the abovementioned RFP. Part 3 – Bid Preparation Instructions of the RFP states that “Canada requests that bidders provide in separately bound sections as follows:

- (i) Section I – Technical Bid (4 hard copies) and 4 soft copies on USB key
- (ii) Section II: Financial Bid (1 hard copy) and 1 soft copy on USB key
- (iii) Section III: Certifications not included in the Technical Bid (4 hard copies).”

In Amendment 7, you clarify that this means bidders must place the technical bid for each Work Package submitted on 4 separate USB keys. To do so seems unnecessarily wasteful, and would require bidders who are responding to all 5 Work Packages (i.e., all of Streams 1 and 2) to submit 20 flash drives for their technical bids alone (in addition to the 4 separate hard copies per Work Package that the Crown has also requested for each technical bid).

We ask that the Crown consider reducing the requirement to the following:

- (i) Section I – Technical Bid (4 hard copies) and 1 soft copy on USB key
- (ii) Section II: Financial Bid (1 hard copy) and 1 soft copy on USB key
- (iii) Section III: Certifications not included in the Technical Bid (4 hard copies).

Answer #50:

No, Bidders are to provide as requested in Part 3 of the RFP.

Question #51:

Does the bidder need to include a client reference for MT1 (Contract reference)?

Answer #51:

Please refer to Article 3.2 Section 1 - Technical Bid, (v) Customer Reference Contact Information of the RFP.

Question #52:

Does the bidder need to include references for every project used to meet the mandatory and rated requirements for each resource?

Answer #52:

Bidders are required to provide Customer Reference Contact Information in their bid for MT1 only.

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| Solicitation No. – N° de l'invitation W8485-163193/A | Amd. No – N° de la modif. 008 | Buyer ID – Id de l'acheteur 619ZM |
| Client Ref. No. – N° de réf. De client W8485-163193 | File No. – N° du dossier 619ZM.W8486-163193 | CCC No./ N° CCC – FMS No/ N° VME |

APPENDIX 007

At Stream 2, Attachment 4.1 – Mandatory Technical Criteria is amended as follows:

DELETE Stream 2, Attachment 4.1 – Mandatory Technical Criteria

INSERT *Stream 2, Attachment 4.1 – Mandatory Technical Criteria (Revised April 29th, 2016).*

At Stream 2, Attachment 4.2 – Point Rated Technical Criteria is amended as follows:

DELETE Stream 2, Attachment 4.2 – Point Rated Technical Criteria

INSERT *Stream 2, Attachment 4.2 – Point Rated Technical Criteria (Revised April 29th, 2016).*