



TENDER AMENDMENT

RETURN BIDS TO:

Parks Canada Agency 635 – 8 Avenue S.W., Suite 1300 Calgary, AB T2P 3M3 Bid Fax: (403) 292-4475

The referenced document is hereby amended: unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the contract remain the same.

Issuing Office:

Parks Canada Agency 635 – 8 Avenue S.W., Suite 1300 Calgary, AB T2P 3M3

MODIFICATION D'APPEL D'OFFRES

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS Á:

Agence Parcs Canada 635 – 8 Avenue S.O., pièce 1300, Calgary, AB T2P 3M3

N° de télécopieur pour soumissions : (403) 292-4475

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Bureau de distribution :

Agence Parcs Canada 635 – 8 Avenue S.O., pièce 1300 Calgary (AB) T2P 3M3

Title: Visitor Centre Design – Waterton Lakes National Parks						
Solicitation de l'invitati 5P420-16-5	de modifi	Amendment No.: / N° de modification de l'invitation : 002		Date: May 4, 2016 Date: 4 mai 2016		
GETS Reference No.: / N° de référence de SEAG : PW-16-00727337/A						
Solicitation Closes: / L'invitation prend fin :						
At: 02:00 PM	On: June 7, 20	Time Zone: Mountain Daylight Time (MDT)				
Á: 14h00	Le : 7 juin 2016	Fuseau horaire : Heure avancée des Rocheuses (HAR)				
Address Inquiries to: / Adresser toute demande de renseignements à : Nicole Levesque-Welch						
de téléphone : te		télécopieur	ax No.: / N° de élécopieur : 403) 292-4475		Email Address: / Courriel : nicole.levesque-welch@pc.gc.ca	
TO BE COMPLETED BY THE BIDDER (type or print)						
Vendor/Firm Name – Nom du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur						
Address - Adresse						
Name of person authorized to sign on behalf of the Vendor/Firm Nom de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur						
Title - Titre						
Signature				Date		





AMENDMENT 002

This amendment is being raised to extend the bid closing date, post the bidders conference attendance list and answers to questions submitted during the bidder's conference on April 21, 2016:

A. BID CLOSING DATE:

The closing date for tender 5P420-16-5027/A, titled Visitor Centre Design - Waterton Lakes National Park, is extended from May 17, 2016 until June 7, 2016 at 2:00PM Mountain Daylight Time (MDT).

B. QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS:

- Q2. Under the component / the exhibit / evaluation, 3 projects to show exhibit/interpretive qualification and in addition the consultant to provide 3 building examples?
- A2. See below for changes to SRE 3.2.1.
- Q3. WCB Architect is not mandatory?
- A3. No, it is not required for the consultant.
- Q4. Can we use a sub-contractor/sub-consultant as a consultant team member?
- A4. Yes, as long they are not a proponent bidding on this requirement.
- Q5. Resident VS no-resident services?
- A5. Resident service is not required. Any reference made to resident service has been deleted from SRE 3.1.2 (page 19 of 31), 3.2.1 (page 21 of 31) and 3.2.4 (page22 of 31).
- Q6. Do contractor need a business license to work in the park?
- A6. Yes. A Waterton Business License is required for every consulting firm and vehicle pass is issued with the Business Licence. The business Licence is annul licence good for one government fiscal year. The price for this pass this year is \$99.10.
- Q7. Can contractor use a Banff pass?
- A7. Each Park issues its own business licence, a specific Waterton licence and pass are required to practice in this park.
- Q8. What is the difference between the work pass and the business license?
- A8. The Business licence is to be authorize to work in the park and the work pass is for the work vehicles to pass the gate without entrance fees.
- Q9. Is "Statutory declaration" required for each invoice?
- A9. Statutory declaration is required for each invoice to ensure that all the sub-consultants are paid.
- Q10. Geotechnical question / Do the contractor need to carry the geotechnical?
- A10. PCA can provide basic geo technical information, but the consultant will also need to carry a fee for geotechnical recommendations (foundation design, dewatering etc), and site verification prior to pouring footing.





- Q11. Do you think it's possible that there's some conflict of interest if the contractor need to pay the people who certify that the design complies with code?
- A11. This question was about 3rd party code review. PCA will undertake and pay for an independent 3rd party for code review, inspections and recommendation for occupancy.
- Q12. What level of environmental assessment is required?
- A12. PCA anticipate a Basic impact Assessment (BIA).
- Q13. Regarding the basement, is wood frame acceptable?
- A13. Wood frame above ground only. Wood foundation is unacceptable.
- Q14. Who is the person in charge for this project?
- A14. During tender period, the only contact is Nicole Levesque-Welch, and her contact information is listed on the first page of the RFP. Stephen Suen is the Project Manager, all communications must go through him, and no other PCA employee after the contract is awarded.
- Q15. Is FHBRO review required on this Project?
- A15. No.
- Q16. Interpretive aspect 3.2.3.10.12 Last item. What is refereeing to?
- A16. It means that the Exhibit contractor must create all elements (the programming/software/animations) for any multimedia and web/electronic components that are proposed for the exhibit/interpretive elements.
- Q17. What is the IT expectation or a mix thereof regarding the interpretative center?
- A17. IT is good but we except a combination of high and low tech. IT needs to be reliable, easy for us to maintain and combined with innovative low tech interpretive media. The goal of the center is to provide high level of interactivity but within the "entire site" not just in the building, or buildings. The Center should invite people in for information and orientation, but then go out and enjoy the park rather than stay inside for any extended period to play with the displays.
- Q18. Page 11. Cost expectation- what does this mean?
- A18. Expect the consultant to do cost planning and work within budget.
- Q19. Requirement Schedule Management for consultant, what is the expectation?
- A19. This is to ensure the proponent clearly understands the key design milestone dates that are non-negotiable, and to ensure PCA understands how the proponent proposes to undertake the work. For example if key milestone dates is missed, PCA submission seeking construction approval will be delayed by a year. This is not acceptable.
- Q20. Does the Park have an approved Interpretation Plan?
- A20. We do not have a specific interpretation plan for this project. However, we have an old Interpretation plan which still guides some of our topics and themes. However, we are guided in our interpretation program delivery by our current management plan and current management issues. We have some excellent written resources and resource persons to help the designers in their search for and selection of material.





- Q21. Any connection between first nation and this center?
- A21. Yes. As part of the development of the specific interpretation plan for this site there will be a requirement for dialogue with local First Nations. While PCA will lead these discussion, the consultant, as a key member of the design team will be fully engaged.
- Q22. Is the building being design to the PCA green Building Directive?
- A22. The building will strive to achieve a LEED Silver certification, as a minimum. In the submission, add the LEED coordinator as key personnel by identifying that this person will lead the LEED process. Qualification: minimum 5 years of LEED coordination, registered LEED professional for this type of building and also submit detail involvements of minimum 5 LEED certified projects in his resume.
- Q23. What are the site limits?
- A23. As identified in the Project Brief.
- Q24. Do you want any sign on/in the center?
- A24. This will be addressed when the consultant is selected.
- Q25. What about the utility lines for the site?
- A25. There will be site service connections which will part of the design. This information will be provided when a consultant is selected.
- Q26. Any expectation regarding the parking?
- A26. PCA is expecting the design to meet the building usage, and have about 60 parking spaces.

C. CLARIFICATIONS:

Other clarifications to form part of the addendum:

1. It is expected that the building will be between 750-800 sq m.

D. CHANGES TO SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS AND EVALUATION:

1. Under page 21 of 31, SRE 3.2.1 Achievements of Proponent on Projects:

DELETE:

Select a **maximum** of three (3) projects undertaken since Jan 1, 2006 similar to the scope of this project wherein both architectural and exhibit elements were required to be developed in concert. Specifically, provide examples where the indoor/outdoor exhibit included interpretive elements that facilitated the movement of visitors through the reception building and on to destinations located elsewhere in the site at large. Joint venture submissions are not to exceed the maximum number of projects. Only the first three (3) projects listed in sequence will receive consideration and any others will receive none as though not included. If the Consultant team is a partnership or joint venture, a project for each partner in the team must be included in the project summaries. If the Consultant team is a partnership or joint venture, a project involving the team working together should be provided.

REPLACE WITH:

PCA requires 3 exhibit/interpretive projects to be submitted as past project experience by the exhibit/interpretive consultant. Specifically, provide two projects where the indoor/outdoor exhibit included interpretive elements that





facilitated the movement of visitors through the reception building and on to destinations located elsewhere in the site at large. In addition, 3 building projects with exhibit/interpretive elements to be submitted by the Proponent (architect).

All other terms and conditions remain the same.