



TENDER AMENDMENT

RETURN BIDS TO:

Parks Canada Agency
635 – 8 Avenue S.W., Suite 1300
Calgary, AB T2P 3M3
Bid Fax: (403) 292-4475

The referenced document is hereby amended: unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the contract remain the same.

Issuing Office:

Parks Canada Agency
635 – 8 Avenue S.W., Suite 1300
Calgary, AB T2P 3M3

MODIFICATION D'APPEL D'OFFRES

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À :

Agence Parcs Canada
635 – 8 Avenue S.O., pièce 1300,
Calgary, AB T2P 3M3
N° de télécopieur pour soumissions : (403) 292-4475

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Bureau de distribution :

Agence Parcs Canada
635 – 8 Avenue S.O., pièce 1300
Calgary (AB) T2P 3M3

Title: Visitor Centre Design – Waterton Lakes National Parks		
Solicitation No.: / N° de l'invitation : 5P420-16-5027/A	Amendment No.: / N° de modification de l'invitation : 004	Date: June 1, 2016 Date : 1 juin 2016
GETS Reference No.: / N° de référence de SEAG : PW-16-00727337/A		
Solicitation Closes: / L'invitation prend fin :		
At: 02:00 PM	On: June 7, 2016	Time Zone: Mountain Daylight Time (MDT)
À : 14h00	Le : 7 juin 2016	Fuseau horaire : Heure avancée des Rocheuses (HAR)
Address Inquiries to: / Adresser toute demande de renseignements à : Nicole Levesque-Welch		
Telephone No.: / N° de téléphone : (403) 292-4691	Fax No.: / N° de télécopieur : (403) 292-4475	Email Address: / Courriel : nicole.levesque-welch@pc.gc.ca
TO BE COMPLETED BY THE BIDDER (type or print)		
Vendor/Firm Name – Nom du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur		
Address - Adresse		
Name of person authorized to sign on behalf of the Vendor/Firm Nom de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur		
Title - Titre		
Signature		Date



AMENDMENT 004

This amendment is being raised to answer questions submitted in response to solicitation 5P420-16-5027/A:

A. QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS:

Q52. Can you please clarify if 3.2.12 Additional Service Consultants are to be included in the proposal along with their fees?

Are you looking for bidders to include geotechnical engineering, surveying service, environmental engineering, Alberta safety codes officer consultants and their fees associated with these consultants?

A52. Note that 3.2.12 are the additional Service required and in the scope of work for this project. Geotechnical investigation report will be provided by PCA, therefore a geotechnical engineer is required to provide services, except producing the geotechnical investigation report. See A10. Surveying service is required as per RFP. Environmental engineering is required as per RFP. Safety code consultant services is not required as per A11, which PCA will contract separately. See also amendment #2, in particular Q10 and Q11.

Q53. In the rated requirement titled "Expertise and Experience of Key Personnel", it is requested to "describe the experience and performance of key personnel" alongside a resume for each key personnel.

In the rated requirement, "Management of Services", it is required to provide "detailed profiles of key positions and of key members" of the project team.

Please clarify whether "key positions and key team members" relates to the "key personnel" which have already been featured in the rated requirement "Expertise and Experience of Key Personnel."

A53. "Key personnel" is responsible for the overall services provided in that particular specialty field and area. Other than the "key personnel", there are "key positions" to provide and fulfill that services as well. Therefore "key positions and of the key team members for these positions" are also required.

Q54. Following the release of tender amendment no, 002; since a total of six projects will now be features (three exhibit/interpretive and three building projects), will the total page count allowable for the rated requirements remain at 30 pages?

A54. Total page count will remain at 30 pages.

Q55. Does Parks Canada have a preference for using either LEED V4 or 2009?

A55. LEED V4. See also previous amendment, in particular Q22.

Q56. Clarification RE: Energy modelling. The RFP asks for energy analysis at three stages of design and for three alternatives, but for a building of this size and complexity it may be more cost effective and equally productive to do energy modelling for the chosen design in design development.

A56. Clarification: Refer to RFP - Section 6 requires an energy analysis for each of the 3 schematic options. Section 7 requires an update energy analysis of the selected option.

Q57. In regards to LEED, is it the intent to require fundamental commissioning or enhanced?

A57. Enhanced commissioning is required.

Q58. For SRE 3.1.1 (RFP page 19 of 31), are proponents required to include ***proof*** of licensing for Prime Consultant and all Sub-Consultants in the proposal?



A58. See 3.1.2:
Information required - name of firm, key personnel to be assigned to the project. For the prime consultant, indicate current license and/or how you intend to meet the provincial or territorial licensing requirements.
Note that all the key personnel and firms must be able to provide professional practice in the Province of Alberta. Proof will be required after award notice is issued and must be provided prior to contract awarding. Delay in submitting proof of licensing will lead to awarding the contract to other proponent.

Q59. For SRE 3.2.2 (RFP page 21 of 31), how is “Key Personnel” defined? Is it the single role per discipline identified on RFP pages 19 and 20 of 31 (e.g., ***Senior Architect*** for the Proponent/ Prime Consultant), or is it each discipline’s core team of senior staff responsible for project deliverables (e.g., Principal, Designer, Project Manager, etc.)? **If the “key personnel” evaluation will be based on the former (i.e., single role), will our firm be disqualified if our Senior Designer is not an Alberta-registered Architect yet?** We raise this question because our Senior Designer was schooled in architecture; has practiced as an Architect in other jurisdictions; is nearing completion of his registration requirements in Alberta; has recent, highly relevant design experience with Parks Canada; and is one of the primary points of our interaction with Parks Canada. All of our drawings are, of course, stamped/ sealed by our firm’s Principal (who is a registered Architect in Alberta). Some of our potential sub-consultants are also similarly structured in regards to how they deliver their engineering services (i.e., primary Designer is an experienced professional but not a registered engineer, and drawing are stamped/ sealed by the Principal, who is a registered professional engineer).

A59. Key personnel requirements are listed in 3.1.2 a) and b). See also Q53.

Q60. In SRE 3.2.2 (RFP page 21 of 31), is there some information missing that are referenced in this section? In the third paragraph, there are references for resumes to include “the following” and “focus on experience outlined below,” but there is no content immediately below the paragraph. Can we get some direction as to where these references are specifically pointing to?

A60. SRE 3.2.2 revised to read:
Provide a resume / CV for each key personnel that includes the formal education, professional experience and affiliation, achievement/award, as well as the specific skills identified for each key personnel. It is requested that Proponents limit the resume to two (2) pages and focus on experience outlined below and relate it to the requirement specified under Annex “E”.

B. MODIFICATION TO Q41 UNDER AMENDMENT 003:

The answer to Q41 is being corrected as follows:

Q41. Is the Design Development Report Deliverable noted in Section 7.4.2 a separate deliverable than the 33%, 66%, and 99% Submission Deliverables indicated in Section 8.5? If so, is there a required milestone date for that Design Development deliverable?

A41. Design Development Report Deliverable noted in Section 7.4.2 is a separate deliverable. Milestone date for that design Development deliverable is Feb 17, 2017.

All other terms and conditions remain the same.