

Questions and Clarifications on HPF2 Tender Document

1. QUESTION: It is clear from the TOR that HPF-2 support to the Hospitals is in some specific areas only. We are also aware that HPF team has recently conducted assessment of the Hospitals using HPF/ MOH checklist. Please would you kindly share with us the detailed assessment reports for the following Hospitals (Kajo-Keji, Morobo, Yei, Lainya and Lui).

ANSWER: Hospital assessments were undertaken as part of HPF's own planning process, but these reports are internal documents. Potential bidders are expected to use their own assessment to inform their plans in the submitted proposal.

2. QUESTION: Ibba County is among Yambio group in the Lot you shared in RFP document. As you know Ibba is only one hour drive Maridi; but about three hours drive to Yambio. Could Ibba county be grouped to Lot 21 (Maridi group) because of the following reasons:

- Ibba county proximity to Maridi than Yambio.

- Ibba county has less than 10 functioning Health facilities.

-In the proposed new states of South Sudan; Ibba county is under Maridi state. So it could be easy for the authorities in Maridi State to work with the same partner than two different partners.

ANSWER: The existing lots as outlined in the proposal document cannot be changed.

3. QUESTION: I bring to your attention some problems concerning one of the appendix constituting the HPF2 RFP, namely Appendix 6, the budget. These problems are concentrated in the Budget Summary Sheet and they imply the final total of the budget to be not equal to the sum of what budgeted in each detailed sheet. In the "Budget Summary" Sheet:

a. there are some cells that are not capturing the related total amount from the dedicated sheet. This is the case of cells D43, D44, D45 and D46, all those related to "Hospital Management and Governance" Chapter.

ANSWER: This has been added to the updated version of the budget template now available on the HPF web site as Appendix 6 Updated.

b. there is not any row for the sheet "CHD Facilities Ops Costs", whose total is consequently not included in the calculation of the total budget

ANSWER: This has been added to the updated version of the budget template now available on the HPF web site as Appendix 6 Updated.

c. one of the cells for the chapter Nutrition-Drugs is not the right one (it should be J17 instead of J15, as J15 is the total not multiplied for the number of facilities)

ANSWER: This has been added to the updated version of the budget template now available on the HPF web site as Appendix 6 Updated.

d. Finally, in the sheet Drugs and Drugs Nutrition and Food Supplies there is not a dedicated table for Hospital

ANSWER: Please include the Drugs for Nutrition and Food Supplies on the same sheet – whether for primary health facility or hospital.

4. QUESTION: Regarding some mistakes found in the Appendix 6-Budget, there are discrepancies between the budget file and request for proposal scope of work document. In this latest it is stated that "The contracting period for the services will be from 01 October 2016 to 28 February 2018",

which means 17 months. However, in the Appendix A6-Budget Template, Sheet "Budgeting Instructions", point 12 "Budget Period", it is written that "The total budget is for 18 months".

In the Appendix A3-Technical Proposal Workplan, Sheet Target Table 2016-2018, the duration mentioned in the two main titles differ (HPF Program results by Key Health Indicators (1 October 2016 - 31 March 2018) VS Program results by key health indicators: 1 October 2016 - 28 February 2018). Which period should we consider?

ANSWER: The time period for delivery of HPF2 for Implementing Partners will be 17 months, from 1 October 2016 to 28 February 2018. All relevant documents (Appendix 3 and Appendix 6) have been corrected to confirm this, and the new versions of these documents are published on the HPF web site.