Amendment #I – June 30, 2016

Remove Appendix B in original RFP and replace with:

APPENDIX B

7.2 Evaluation Table

		Α	В	С
EVAL	EVALUATION CRITERIA			
		WEIGHT 100 Total	POINTS	SCORE AxB
Proponent Qualification	S.	20		AAD
The proposal will be evaluated				
I) the full description of the				
a. minimal detail				
b. some detail pro				
c. reasonable deta				
d. good level of de				
	xtensive level of detail provided: 5pts			
2) résumés for all personne				
	n average, all experiences and studies combined:			
•	rage, all experiences and studies combined: 2,0 pts			
	age, all experiences and studies combined: 3 pts			
•	age, all experiences and studies combined: 4pts			
	erage, all experiences and studies combined: 5pts			
3) provision of references;				
a. one or less proj	ect in similar field with good reference: 1,0 pt			
b. 2 projects in sir	nilar field with good reference: 2,0 pts			
c. 3 projects in sir	nilar field with good reference: 3 pts			
d. 4 projects in sir	nilar field with good reference: 4pts			
e. 5+ projects in s	similar field with good reference: 5pts			
	perience of personnel on site.			
a. minimal office l þt	ocation and personnel on site details provided: 1,0			
b. some office local pts	ation and personnel on site details provided: 2,0			
c. reasonable offic 3 pts	ce location and personnel on site details provided:			
•	fice location and personnel on site details provided:			
•	extensive level of office location and personnel on rided: 5pts			

Response to Statement of Work

The proposal must demonstrate a clear understanding of project objectives and requirements. The Proponent must provide a detailed response to each item listed in section 3.0, demonstrating how they meet each requirement:

- 1) Proposed methodology:
 - a. minimal methodology details provided: 1,0 pt
 - b. some methodology details provided: 2,0 pts
 - c. reasonable methodology details provided: 3 pts
 - d. good level of methodology details provided: 4pts
 - e. complete and extensive level of methodology details provided: 5pts
- 2) Anticipated Resources required for each phase
 - a. minimal anticipated resources details provided: 1,0 pt
 - b. some anticipated resources details provided: 2,0 pts
 - c. reasonable anticipated resources details provided: 3 pts
 - d. good level of anticipated resources details provided: 4pts
 - e. complete and extensive level of anticipated resources details provided: 5pts
- 3) Ability to complete the project within the time frame
 - a. minimal demonstration of ability to complete the project within the time frame: 1,0 pt
 - b. some demonstration of ability to complete the project within the time frame: 2,0 pts
 - c. reasonable demonstration of ability to complete the project within the time frame: 3 pts
 - d. good demonstration of ability to complete the project within the time frame: 4pts
 - e. complete and extensive demonstration of ability to complete the project within the time frame: 5pts
- 4) Bilingual capacity (French and English)
 - a. capacity to conduct the survey in only one language, French or English: 1,0 pt
 - b. capacity to conduct the survey both in French and English: 3 pts
 - c. capacity to conduct the survey both in French and English and in one or more of these languages: Mandarin, Cantonese, Italian, Panjabi, Tagolog or Spanish: 5pts
- 5) Project objectives
 - a. minimal demonstration of objectives comprehension: 1,0 pt
 - b. some demonstration of objectives comprehension: 2,0 pts
 - c. reasonable demonstration of objectives comprehension: 3 pts
 - d. good demonstration of objectives comprehension: 4pts
 - e. complete and extensive demonstration of objectives comprehension: 5pts
- 6) Current and emerging issues and anticipated difficulties
 - a. minimal details provided on current and emerging issues and anticipated difficulties: 1,0 pt
 - b. some details provided on current and emerging issues and anticipated difficulties: 2,0 pts

EVALUATION CRITERIA	A	В	С
EVALUATION CRITERIA	WEIGHT 100 Total	POINTS I to 5	SCORE AxB
 c. reasonable details provided on current and emerging issues and anticipated difficulties: 3 pts d. good details provided on current and emerging issues and anticipated difficulties: 4pts e. complete and extensive details provided on current and emerging issues and anticipated difficulties: 5pts 			
Project Management Plan	35		
Project Management Plan 1) Project management approach a) Minimal project management plan details provided: 1,0 pt b) some project management plan details provided: 3 pts d) good level of project management plan details provided: 4pts e) complete and extensive level of detail provided: 5pts 2) Quality control a) minimal quality control details provided: 1,0 pt b) some quality control details provided: 2,0 pt c) reasonable quality control details provided: 3 pts d) good level of quality control details provided: 3 pts d) good level of quality control details provided: 4pts e) complete and extensive level of quality control details provided: 5pt 3) Status reporting: a) minimal status reporting details provided: 1,0 pt b) some status reporting details provided: 2,0 pts c) reasonable status reporting details provided: 4pts e) complete and extensive level of status reporting details provided: 5pts d) good level of status reporting details provided: 4pts e) complete and extensive level of status reporting details provided: 5pts 4) Work Schedule: a) minimal work schedule correspond to CMHC plan: 1,0 pt b) some parts of work schedule correspond to CMHC plan: 2,0 pts c) reasonable portion of work schedule correspond to CMHC plan: 3 pts d) majority of parts of work schedule correspond to CMHC plan: 3 pts d) majority of parts of work schedule correspond to CMHC plan: 3 pts d) majority of parts of work schedule correspond to CMHC plan: 5pts 5) Interface with CMHC a) minimal interface with CMHC details provided: 1,0 pt b) some interface with CMHC details provided: 3 pts d) good level of interface with CMHC details provided: 3 pts d) good level of interface with CMHC details provided: 3 pts e) complete and extensive level of interface with CMHC details provided: 4pts e) complete and extensive level of interface with CMHC details provided: 3 pts d) good level of interface with provided: 2,0 pts c) reasonable continuous improvement details provided: 3 pts d) good level of continuous improvement details provided: 4pts			

EVALUATION CRITERIA		В	С
	WEIGHT 100 Total	POINTS I to 5	SCORE AxB
Pricing Proposal CMHC will allot the highest points to the lowest priced proposal and pro-rate all other priced proposals by the percentage they are higher from the lowest priced proposal.	10		
TOTALS	100		