



Electronic Information Environment (EIE)

Service Specification Document/Interface Control Document Master Data Navy Materiel Master Record Service – External

External – In the above context is intended to reflect that this content is for Industry partners who have been contracted to participate in an In-Service-Support phase of a Weapon System or Platform that the Department of National Defence has acquired.

EIE Project

document identification	identifiant du document
issue date	date de diffusion
23 October 2015	
version	version
Version 1.0	
OPI	BPR
EIE Solution Office	
designator	désignation
EIE Project	
group / division	groupe / division
ADM(IM) / DGEAS	

The information being provided is to illustrate the model that exists for business processes and information exchange within the Performance Based Contracting (PBC) solution for the Department of National Defence. The information is provided to facilitate an understanding of the business architecture and the solution architecture that exist for the PBC program. The content is not intended to reflect the end state specifications for all of the PBC EIE related services.



Table of Contents

1	Introduction.....	1
1.1	Intended Audience.....	1
1.2	References	1
2	Business Information.....	2
2.1	Business Processes.....	2
2.2	Business Triggers.....	3
2.3	Master Data Unit of Work	4
3	Business Constraints.....	5
4	Service Use Case.....	6
4.1	Service Context	6
4.2	Successful Request and Technical Response	7
4.3	Alternate Scenarios.....	10
5	Service Description – Materiel Master Record Service	13
5.1	Service Overview	13
5.2	Service Properties.....	13
5.3	‘SendMMR()’ Operation.....	14
5.4	‘SendMMRAck()’ Operation.....	14
5.5	‘SendMMRError()’ Operation	15
6	Information Model	16
6.1	MMR	16
6.2	Weight and Volume	18
6.3	As Designed Structure.....	18
7	Operation Message Model.....	20
7.1	MMR Input Body.....	20
7.2	MMR Output Body.....	21
7.3	MMR Fault Body	22
7.4	MMR Acknowledgement Input Body.....	23
7.5	MMR Error Input Body.....	24
7.6	Summary of Operation to input/output/fault body Mapping.....	25
8	Service Operation Details.....	27
8.1	Detailed Operation Characteristics – SendMMR().....	27
8.2	Detailed Operation Characteristics – SendMMRError()	28
8.3	Detailed Operation Characteristics – SendMMRAck().....	30
8.4	Service Bindings	32

The information being provided is to illustrate the model that exists for business processes and information exchange within the Performance Based Contracting (PBC) solution for the Department of National Defence. The information is provided to facilitate an understanding of the business architecture and the solution architecture that exist for the PBC program. The content is not intended to reflect the end state specifications for all of the PBC EIE related services.



9	Definitions, Acronyms, Abbreviations.....	33
10	Appendix A - Entity Relationship Model.....	34
11	Document History	35

List of Figures

Figure 4-1	MMR Service Context	6
Figure 4-2	MMR Message Flow.....	8
Figure 4-3	MMR message flow with Type 1 fault	10
Figure 6-1	Information Model – Materiel Master Record	18
Figure 6-2	Information Model – As Designed Structure	19
Figure 7-1	MMR Input Body.....	21
Figure 7-2	MMR Output Body.....	22
Figure 7-3	MMR Fault Body	23
Figure 7-4	MMR Acknowledgement Input Body.....	24
Figure 7-5	MMR Error Input Body.....	25
Figure 7-6	MMR Operations to Input/Output/Fault Mapping.....	26
Figure 10-1	MMR ERD.....	34

The information being provided is to illustrate the model that exists for business processes and information exchange within the Performance Based Contracting (PBC) solution for the Department of National Defence. The information is provided to facilitate an understanding of the business architecture and the solution architecture that exist for the PBC program. The content is not intended to reflect the end state specifications for all of the PBC EIE related services.

1 Introduction

This document establishes an interface between Canada Electronic Data Exchange (EDE) system and ISS Contractor responsible for maintenance of a ship class subject to Performance Based Contracting (PBC). This interface will be used by ISS Contractor to send Materiel Master Record (MMR) messages to Canada EDE. To support the MMR transfer between Canada EDE and ISS Contractor, both systems need to support specific Web Service operations as well as request and response Extensible Markup Language (XML) schemas as described in this document. The Materiel Master Record service includes an operation for Canada EDE to report acknowledgement messages back to Industry¹.

1.1 Intended Audience

- ISS Contractor Partner System Designers
- Canada EDE Designers
- ISS Contractor Testers
- Canada EDE Testers

1.2 References

All references are to the baseline version of the reference at the time of publication of this document.

- [Ref. 1] Business Process Catalogue Annex P: Navy Canada Maintenance Management System Data Initialization In Support of Performance Based Contracting (PBC);
- [Ref. 2] Electronic Information Exchange Service Interaction Model;
- [Ref. 3] DRMIS Master Data Business Guidelines Air Force ISSCF fleets;
- [Ref. 4] Data Package Service Specification;
- [Ref. 5] BUC 2.2 Exchange Master Data – Inbound.

¹ Within this document, Industry and ISS Contractor are synonymous and are used interchangeably

The information being provided is to illustrate the model that exists for business processes and information exchange within the Performance Based Contracting (PBC) solution for the Department of National Defence. The information is provided to facilitate an understanding of the business architecture and the solution architecture that exist for the PBC program. The content is not intended to reflect the end state specifications for all of the PBC EIE related services.

2 Business Information

Business Information is based on the EIE Business Process model for Data Initialization [Ref. 1], the Business Use Case [Ref. 5], and the DRMIS Master Data Business Guidelines Air Force PBC fleets [Ref. 3].

A Materiel Master Record (MMR) identifies all items required to support the weapon system maintenance and supply processes in DND. MMRs include all materiel (spares, consumables, serialized or not, tools, test equipment, etc.) subject to materiel management processes.

An MMR is required before the item or part can be referenced or transacted upon by Canada's systems. For PBC managed weapon systems, materiel is always identified by the combination of the manufacturer Commercial and Government Entity (CAGE) code and the Manufacturer Part Number (MPN). For example, all materiel related supply processes, e.g., demands and receipts are executed using the CAGE/MPN combination.

A materiel master record includes many pieces of information required to:

- identify the materiel, such as CAGE, part number, item name and description, and
- manage the materiel, such as: Source of supply, Unit of issue, Stock type, Repairability, Hazmat information, serialization, batch & shelf life management requirement

MMRs are considered part of "As-Designed" data and apply to the ship class as a whole, not just individual Weapon System (WS) instances.

The goal of the MMR service is to provide to Industry a means of sending to Canada the MMRs for a ship class and for Canada to acknowledge receipt of the MMR data or report errors in the data or transmission.

Within Canada, maintenance business processes are supported by two types of information systems, known generically as:

- Canada Maintenance Management System (CMMS)
- Canada Supply System (CSS)

Currently both functions are supported within Canada by the Defense Resource Management Information System (DRMIS).

2.1 Business Processes

The WS supplier is responsible to produce a complete set of MMR data for the ship class. The ISS Contractor and Canada engage in a Materiel Identification Process. This process results in the creation of MMRs which are fully indexed and identified by CAGE/MPN, as provided by industry. Where applicable, these MMR are also linked to their respective NATO Stock Numbers. Since MMR are technically a mandatory prerequisite to creating weapon system technical structures and maintenance planning data, it is essential that materiel identification and management data be supplied to DND prior to "as built" master data for any individual WS.

The information being provided is to illustrate the model that exists for business processes and information exchange within the Performance Based Contracting (PBC) solution for the Department of National Defence. The information is provided to facilitate an understanding of the business architecture and the solution architecture that exist for the PBC program. The content is not intended to reflect the end state specifications for all of the PBC EIE related services.

As the ISS Contractor assumes responsibility for the ship class under PBC, there will be a need for the ISS Contractor to send additional MMR data, as new source of supply or capability expands.

After the Identification Process several other steps are followed (each step has guidelines and validations, see [Ref. 3]):

- Extract the Master Data from Industry source systems;
- Assemble the Master Data into a complete and consistent data package;
- Transmit to Canada;
- Augment and transform the Master Data according to Canada's standards;
- Load the Master Data into CMMS;
- Final validation of the Master Data.

Ultimately Master Data must be approved by designated DND staff with the requisite qualifications prior to being used in Production.

2.2 Business Triggers

As per EIE Business Process model for Data Initialization [Ref. 1] the following business events, may result in MMR data being sent to Canada EDE.

- Prior to delivery of subsequent WS in the ship class;
- The result of an engineering change;
- Catalogue updates for newly available parts;
- Updates to Industry Maintenance Programs.

From time to time the ISS Contractor will identify new components which may be supplied to Canada DND as alternates for components identified in Maintenance Task Lists. In order to expedite the cataloguing process Canada DND will periodically request new MMR records from the ISS Contractor, in advance of the use of these new parts. A catalogue update will generally also involve updates to the FFFC structure.

In all other cases (not catalogue updates) a direct² communication will take place between the ISS Contractor and Canada DND to advise Canada DND of the availability of new Master Data. Canada's designated official will, through CMMS, initiate the request for transfer of the Master Data from the ISS Contractor.

² Phone, fax, email, but not through Canada EDE services.

2.3 Master Data Unit of Work

According to PBC, ISS Contractor assumes the responsibility for Configuration Management (CM) of every WS in a ship class. With this responsibility, the ISS Contractor must be certain of the consistency of Master Data between their source system and CMMS. This is particularly difficult for Master Data as Master Data packages may be very large (i.e., on new WS delivery) and there is a high degree of inter-dependence between Master Data business objects.

To achieve consistency, Master Data business objects are always sent from ISS Contractor to Canada EDE in a single **unit of work**. The unit of work is a logical “package” of Master Data business objects (possibly of more than one type) with a unique identifier. Every individual Master Data message has a reference to its containing unit of work. A unit of work will have a **manifest** which explicitly defines the exchange types and number of **business objects**³ per exchange type, in the unit of work.

For further discussion on units of work, including retry and error scenarios, please see the EIE Service Interaction Model [Ref. 2].

³ When multiple business objects are combined in a single message, the manifest count always applies to the number of business objects irrespective of the number of messages.

3 Business Constraints

Terminology

The input to the service is an **MMR message** which consists of one or more **MMR business objects** and metadata (e.g., message header) required for correct message processing between Canada and Industry.⁴

Constraints on Usage of the Service

- 1) Canada EDE shall ensure every Master Data message is only processed from an Industry which is properly authenticated and authorized to provide Master Data for that ship class.
- 2) Industry must define a unit of work with a unique identifier prior to sending Master Data; each Master Data message must reference its containing unit of work.
- 3) The unit of work for Master Data always contains an explicit manifest.
- 4) Master Data messages will be signed using digital certificates between Canada EDE and Industry. Please see Service Interaction Model [Ref. 2] for details.

Constraints on Behaviour of the Service

- 5) Canada EDE **does** expect that within a single message there can be more than one Master Data business object - all business objects must be of the same exchange type as defined by the interface and declared in the message header.
- 6) Canada EDE will report Master Data technical processing errors through the corresponding Error operation of the invoked Master Data service.
- 7) Canada EDE may attempt to re-send Master Data error messages (i.e., repeat operation invocations) in response to technical errors. This behaviour is controlled by parameters for each operation. Please see Service Interaction Model [Ref. 2] for details.

⁴ MMR messages are defined in section 7. MMR business objects are defined in section 6. The message / business subject distinction is used throughout the document.

4 Service Use Case

The requirements for the MMR service are defined by one use case with several scenarios.

4.1 Service Context

A high level view of the context of the service is shown in Figure 4-1 below. For simplicity this view omits error scenarios. These are discussed in Service Use Case Scenarios.




Figure 4-1 MMR Service Context

The following steps occur:

- Industry Technical Staff create a new Master Data Package including MMR business objects.
- Industry Technical Staff advises Class Program Manager (or designate) of availability of data package through direct communication.
- Class Program Manager initiates, through CMMS, transfer of the Master Data to Canada.
- Canada EDE forwards initiation request to Industry.
- Industry responds by providing a unit of work declaration message with a manifest that will specify the exchange type and the expected number of business objects for exchange type in the unit of work.
- Canada EDE acknowledges the unit of work message.
- Industry will have to wait for the acknowledgement message from Canada EDE, after which it can begin invoking the appropriate services to initiate the transfer of Master Data business objects.
- Industry begins to send package of Master Data as individual messages, each message contains one or more Master Data business objects (all of the same exchange type).
- For each message received, Canada EDE returns a technical response.
- Canada EDE collects complete package of Master Data.
- Canada EDE dispatches complete Master Data package to CMMS.
- After CMMS processing Class Program Manager accepts or rejects the Master Data package (in its entirety). The result is communicated to Industry.

The information being provided is to illustrate the model that exists for business processes and information exchange within the Performance Based Contracting (PBC) solution for the Department of National Defence. The information is provided to facilitate an understanding of the business architecture and the solution architecture that exist for the PBC program. The content is not intended to reflect the end state specifications for all of the PBC EIE related services.

Once the initiating Master Data request is received by Industry, Industry may send business objects in the Master Data package (MMR plus any others) in any order. This mode of interaction is termed *Business Asynchronous*.

The “technical response” referred to above either (i) confirms a party in the exchange has accepted a message for further processing, or (ii) contains a fault message. A technical acceptance does not preclude subsequent “business” errors. Error scenarios are described below.

4.2 Successful Request and Technical Response

This is the main or “Happy Day” scenario. This scenario describes the interaction between Canada EDE and Industry for the MMR Service. Some validation steps and technical responses are not shown in the following sequence diagram (Figure 4-2) – full details are in the Service Interaction Model [Ref. 2].

The information being provided is to illustrate the model that exists for business processes and information exchange within the Performance Based Contracting (PBC) solution for the Department of National Defence. The information is provided to facilitate an understanding of the business architecture and the solution architecture that exist for the PBC program. The content is not intended to reflect the end state specifications for all of the PBC EIE related services.




Figure 4-2 MMR Message Flow

The information being provided is to illustrate the model that exists for business processes and information exchange within the Performance Based Contracting (PBC) solution for the Department of National Defence. The information is provided to facilitate an understanding of the business architecture and the solution architecture that exist for the PBC program. The content is not intended to reflect the end state specifications for all of the PBC EIE related services.



Main Flow	
Scenario	“Happy Day:” Industry successfully sends MMR business objects to Canada.
Pre-Condition	<p>Industry has prepared a Master Data package containing one or more MMR business objects.</p> <p>Industry Technician has advised DND CMP of the availability of new Master Data. DND CMP has initiated request in CMMS.</p> <p>Industry has received initiation request and responded with creation of unit of work and manifest.</p> <p>Canada has replied to Industry that unit of work is successfully created.</p>
Post-Condition	MMR business objects successfully received by Canada EDE.
Steps	<p>Industry begins a loop to send MMR messages to Canada EDE⁵.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Industry invokes ‘SendMMR()’ operation passing UOW ID and one or more MMR business objects. (See Input Body definition.) Industry waits for technical response. 2) Canada EDE initiates “Type 1” validation. In this scenario there is no error. Canada EDE accepts “custody” of the MMR business objects in the message. 3) Canada EDE returns to Industry a “success” technical response for the SendMMR() operation. (See Output Body definition.) 4) Canada EDE begins internal processing of the message. In this scenario there is no error. 5) Canada EDE saves MMR business objects to send to CMMS once unit of work is complete. <p>Industry continues loop to send more MMR messages (if any) to Canada EDE.</p> <p>Once Canada EDE has received a complete Master Data package from Industry, Canada EDE will send to CMMS. The details of this process are out of scope of this specification.</p>

Please see Data Package Service Specification [Ref. 4] for the scenario of confirmation from CMMS Master Data has been deployed to operations.

⁵ In practice, MMR messages may be interleaved with other Master Data messages from the same unit of work, or other units of work.

The information being provided is to illustrate the model that exists for business processes and information exchange within the Performance Based Contracting (PBC) solution for the Department of National Defence. The information is provided to facilitate an understanding of the business architecture and the solution architecture that exist for the PBC program. The content is not intended to reflect the end state specifications for all of the PBC EIE related services.



4.3 Alternate Scenarios

The MMR message flow with Type 1 fault sequence diagram is shown in Figure 4-3. The following scenarios apply to all uses of the MMR service.

Alternate Scenarios distinguish between “**Type 1**” and “**Type 2**” errors. Type 1 errors are those errors detected prior to the service provider accepting custody of a message. Type 2 errors are those errors detected during internal processing prior to business validation by the target CMMS. Please see Service Interaction Model [Ref. 2] for details on these groupings.




Figure 4-3 MMR message flow with Type 1 fault

Alternate Flow 1	
Scenario	Type 1 Errors detected by Canada EDE prior to accepting custody of the message. Detailed specification of Type 1 errors are in Service Interaction Model [Ref. 2].

The information being provided is to illustrate the model that exists for business processes and information exchange within the Performance Based Contracting (PBC) solution for the Department of National Defence. The information is provided to facilitate an understanding of the business architecture and the solution architecture that exist for the PBC program. The content is not intended to reflect the end state specifications for all of the PBC EIE related services.



Navy Materiel Master Record Service Specification

Pre-Condition	Same as Main Flow.
Post-Condition	Canada EDE sends technical response containing a fault message (see Service Interaction Model [Ref. 2]) to Industry. Industry ceases sending Master Data (of any exchange type) for this unit of work.
Steps	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Industry invokes 'SendMMR()' operation containing one or more MMRs. 2) Canada EDE checks for Type 1 errors – an error is found; 3) Canada EDE constructs the fault message and sends the fault message to Industry in the technical response to the original SendMMR() operation invocation. (See Fault Body definition.) <p>In the same iteration through the loop where a MMR Message fault was found:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Canada EDE updates unit of work status to Invalid and deletes the Master Data received so far in the invalid unit of work.

Note that in this scenario (depending on timing factors) Industry may receive additional fault technical responses for other Master Data operations which were invoked for an invalid unit of work.

Alternate Flow 2 (Canada EDE Service unresponsive)	
Scenario	Industry does not receive technical response within ACK_TIME_INTERVAL.
Pre-Condition	Industry has invoked the operation but does not receive the technical response within the time specified for the MMR service.
Post-Condition	Industry marks the message as Dead Message.
Steps	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Industry does not receive any response from Canada EDE within the allowed ACK_TIME_INTERVAL. 2) Industry will retry sending the message up to the defined maximum retry count and/or Time to Live interval. 3) If there is no response, then Industry marks the request message as Dead and handles it via the Dead Message protocol (See Service Interaction Model [Ref. 2]).
Alternate Flow 3 (CMMS Business Error)	
Scenario	CMMS reports a business error when attempting to load one or more Master Data business objects.
Pre-Condition	All business objects have been received by CMMS, and CMMS starts its data load process. Canada CMMS sends TPMS message to Canada EDE.

The information being provided is to illustrate the model that exists for business processes and information exchange within the Performance Based Contracting (PBC) solution for the Department of National Defence. The information is provided to facilitate an understanding of the business architecture and the solution architecture that exist for the PBC program. The content is not intended to reflect the end state specifications for all of the PBC EIE related services.



Navy Materiel Master Record Service Specification

Post-Condition	Canada EDE sends technical problem through TPMS interface to Industry.
Steps	1) Canada EDE invokes Industry TPMS operation and receives a positive technical response.

The information being provided is to illustrate the model that exists for business processes and information exchange within the Performance Based Contracting (PBC) solution for the Department of National Defence. The information is provided to facilitate an understanding of the business architecture and the solution architecture that exist for the PBC program. The content is not intended to reflect the end state specifications for all of the PBC EIE related services.

5 Service Description – Materiel Master Record Service

5.1 Service Overview

Materiel Master Record service requires interacting web services exposed by Canada EDE System and Industry. Canada EDE will implement and expose a service and operation which Industry will use to send the MMR input message (see Section [7](#) for message definition). After receipt of the input message, Canada EDE will return a technical response back to Industry.

As part of the Materiel Master Record service Industry will implement and expose two operations:

- An error reporting operation optionally used by Canada EDE to report business errors⁶;
- An acknowledgement service optionally⁷ used by Canada EDE to report successful delivery of MMR business objects to CMMS.

Message interaction is further described in Service Interaction Model [Ref. 2].

5.2 Service Properties

Service Property	Description
Enterprise Service Name (Business)	Materiel Master Record Service
Enterprise Service Name (Technical)	MaterielMasterRecordService (Abbreviated in this document to MMR service.)
Purpose	This service supports the Canada EDE Master Data. On the occurrence of business triggers, Industry uses this service to send MMR messages to Canada EDE.
Business Response Time Interval	Will be determined between Canada and Industry on a per-ship class basis.
Service Domain	Master Data
Business Owner	ADM (IM)
Service Grouping	Master Data
Service Provider	SendMMR() - Canada EDE SendMMRAck() – Industry SendMMRError() - Industry

⁶ Use of business errors is determined between Canada and Industry on a per-class basis.

⁷ Use of this positive acknowledgement is determined between Canada and Industry on a per-ship class basis.

The information being provided is to illustrate the model that exists for business processes and information exchange within the Performance Based Contracting (PBC) solution for the Department of National Defence. The information is provided to facilitate an understanding of the business architecture and the solution architecture that exist for the PBC program. The content is not intended to reflect the end state specifications for all of the PBC EIE related services.



Service Property	Description
Target Service Consumers	SendMMR() - Industry SendMMRAck() – Canada EDE SendMMRError() – Canada EDE
Business Process Supported (now)	Master Data processes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Initial Data Load; • Engineering Change; • Catalogue update;
Business Process Supported (future)	None currently identified.
Business Objective Supported	See Section 2: Business Information .
Expected life time	The full lifecycle of the subject weapons system.

5.3 ‘SendMMR()’ Operation

This operation is used by Industry to send an MMR input message to Canada EDE. Canada EDE’s implementation of this operation will perform Type 1 validation on the MMR message. Canada EDE will return a status or fault information to Industry in a technical response. If the status is “success”, Canada EDE accepts custody of the message for further processing. Any returned fault implies Canada EDE does NOT accept the message and error processing (as per Section 4.3 Alternate Flow 1) is performed.

5.4 ‘SendMMRAck()’ Operation⁸

This operation is used by Canada EDE to report back to Industry that a set of MMR business objects have been accepted into CMMS. The specific MMR business objects which were accepted are identified by a list of business identifiers (see Section 7). Industry’s implementation of this operation will perform Type 1 validation on the acknowledgement message. Industry will return a technical response to Canada EDE.

This operation may be optionally used with an Industry which does not operate on an optimistic model as defined in the Service Interaction Model [Ref. 2].

⁸ Use of this positive acknowledgement is determined between Canada and Industry on a per-class basis.

The information being provided is to illustrate the model that exists for business processes and information exchange within the Performance Based Contracting (PBC) solution for the Department of National Defence. The information is provided to facilitate an understanding of the business architecture and the solution architecture that exist for the PBC program. The content is not intended to reflect the end state specifications for all of the PBC EIE related services.



5.5 'SendMMRError()' Operation⁹

This operation is used by Canada EDE to send an error message to Industry after internal message processing detects a Type 2 error condition (see Service Interaction Model [Ref. 2]). The specific MMR business objects which are in error are identified by a list of business identifiers (see Section 7). Industry's implementation of this operation will perform Type 1 validation on the error message. Industry will return a technical response to Canada EDE.

Further processing of MMR messages is terminated regardless of Industry's response to the 'SendMMRError()' invocation.

⁹ Use of business errors is determined between Canada and Industry on a per-class basis.

6 Information Model

This section describes the **business objects** which are used in the MMR service. The Unified Modeling Language (UML) notation is used. A functional view¹⁰ of the information model is provided in the Master Data Business Guidelines [Ref. 3] and the Business use Case [Ref. 5].

The purpose of this section is to provide a bridge between the functional view of the information model and the concrete details of the design as ultimately expressed in an XML Schema.

Note that all date or time values must be in **Coordinated Universal Time (UTC)**. If an explicit time zone offset is not provided it is assumed to be zero.

The XML Schema is the authoritative source for purpose of the information exchange.

6.1 MMR

A Materiel Master Record business object is used to describe parts, tools and test equipment which are part of the weapon system structure or are used during maintenance. An MMR is uniquely identified by its Part Type –a combination of a CAGE code and manufacturer part number. The Part Type is **mandatory** within every MMR. Any other Master Data record (e.g., EMR) which refers to an MMR uses CAGE/part number as the reference values.

Figure 6-1 shows the Information Model used in the Materiel Master Record service. This section primarily discusses the structure of the information model, details of attributes may be found in the EIE Business Process model for Data Initialization [Ref. 1].

The class MaterielMasterRecord has one or two Item_Name fields and different languages may be used¹¹ as indicated by the <<lang>> stereotype.

The class MaterielMasterRecord is further identified by a NATO Stock Code (which itself has three fields). Normally the NATO Stock Code **must** be included when an MaterielMasterRecord business object is sent by Industry to Canada EDE. In exceptional circumstances, when Canada demands a part and NATO classification is incomplete, the NATO Stock Code **may** be omitted. Therefore, NATO Stock Code business object is **optional** in the MaterielMasterRecord business object.

The class MaterielMasterRecord may include specification of the units used when the materiel is issued through the Supply system. The actual units are in a reference to a code table, the default is “Each”. The price per unit is **mandatory** within the MMR business object. The UOIConversionFactor indicates a conversion factor between different base units of issue.

An MMR business object describes a real physical artifact. As such the physical artifact has properties of volume and weight, both of the artifact as an individual item and as packed for shipping. Physical properties are provided when available and are **optional** within an MMR business object. When a Volume or Weight is provided all of its fields are mandatory.

¹⁰ The Functional View details the collection of fields which make up MMR business objects.

¹¹ The language(s) to be used are determined between DND and Industry. Language tags are based on www.w3.org standards.



The class MaterielMasterRecord has references to three additional code tables:

- A **mandatory** repairability code
- An **optional** ItemCategory code
- An **optional** CatalogueProfile code

The following “Indicators” in the MMR business object may have a value of “Y” (Yes) or “N” (No).

- EMR
- Hazmat
- ITAR
- PRT
- GovernmentFurnished

The information being provided is to illustrate the model that exists for business processes and information exchange within the Performance Based Contracting (PBC) solution for the Department of National Defence. The information is provided to facilitate an understanding of the business architecture and the solution architecture that exist for the PBC program. The content is not intended to reflect the end state specifications for all of the PBC EIE related services.




Figure 6-1 Information Model – Materiel Master Record

6.2 Weight and Volume

Volume business objects contain:

- Numeric fields for ‘Length,’ ‘Width’ and ‘Height’ and a ‘UOL’ field which indicates unit of measure for length.
- Numeric field for ‘Volume’ and a ‘UOV’ field which indicates unit of measure for volume.
- Weight contains numeric fields for ‘Weight’ and a ‘UOW’ field which indicates unit of measure for weight.

6.3 As Designed Structure

The Materiel Master Record is part of the WS “As Designed” structure. An overview of “As Designed” structure is shown in Figure 6-2 below; each business object is described in one of the Service Specification documents.

The information being provided is to illustrate the model that exists for business processes and information exchange within the Performance Based Contracting (PBC) solution for the Department of National Defence. The information is provided to facilitate an understanding of the business architecture and the solution architecture that exist for the PBC program. The content is not intended to reflect the end state specifications for all of the PBC EIE related services.




Figure 6-2 Information Model – As Designed Structure

The information being provided is to illustrate the model that exists for business processes and information exchange within the Performance Based Contracting (PBC) solution for the Department of National Defence. The information is provided to facilitate an understanding of the business architecture and the solution architecture that exist for the PBC program. The content is not intended to reflect the end state specifications for all of the PBC EIE related services.

7 Operation Message Model

This section describes how the business objects described above (Section 6 Information Model) are aggregated for the purpose of PBC information exchange.

All Master Data services follow the request/response model and each operation definition includes a distinct input, output and fault message. See Service Interaction Model [Ref. 2] for definition of the common MessageHeader and SecurityClassification elements. BusinessContext allows Industry to include contextual information with an input message. The 'Purpose' field is a value agreed between Canada EDE and Industry and may affect handling of the message. The remaining fields are populated by Industry and may be replayed in error messages¹².

Several message constructs (i.e., Fault Body, Acknowledgement Input Body and Error Input Body) include one or more **Business Identifiers** (BizIDs). The BizID consists of a set of key fields in the MMR Input Body sufficient to uniquely identify a business object and its context. The fields which make up the BizID are explicitly identified in the XML Schema file MaterielMasterRecord.xsd.

Note that all date or time values must be in **Coordinated Universal Time (UTC)**. If an explicit time zone offset is not provided, it is assumed to be zero.

7.1 MMR Input Body

As shown in Figure 7-1, an MMR input body consists of:

- A Message Header;
- A Security Block;
- A Business Context;
- One or more MMR business objects.

¹² Business Context is further discussed in the Unit of Work service specification.




Figure 7-1 MMR Input Body

The MessageHeader UnitOfWorkID must equal the UnitOfWorkID value of an existing valid unit of work¹³. The MessageHeader CorrelationID must equal the MessageId of the UnitOfWork message. Purpose and ContextDescription fields must match the corresponding fields in the Unit of Work which envelopes this input message.

The MMR InputBody also contains an attribute 'Release' which designates the release of the MMR service. The 'Release' attribute uses an "X.Y" numbering convention and the value is hard-coded in the XML schema for every service. The value will be incremented when a new version of the service is released¹⁴.

The 'Release' attribute is mandatory in every instance of the InputBody to allow any input body instance to be traced back to the appropriate release. A 'Release' attribute appears in all message bodies.

Within each MMR business objects there is an attribute named 'Action' which is set by the service consumer as a directive to CMMS on handling the business object. See Service Interaction Model [Ref. 2] for definition of valid values of 'Action'.

7.2 MMR Output Body

The output of the SendMMR() operation is the MMR OutputBody. As shown in Figure 7-2, the output body consists of:

- A Message Header;
- A Custody object.

¹³ Type 1 validation will check if the unit of work is in a non-error state.

¹⁴ The rules for incrementing the 'Release' attribute for a service will be in a separate document.

The MMR OutputBody has no security block. The MMR OutputBody must not contain any sensitive or protected information.




Figure 7-2 MMR Output Body

For an MMR OutputBody:

- The MessageHeader MessageId is a **new** unique value;
- The MessageHeader GenerationTime is the time the **output** message is generated;
- The MessageHeader CorrelationID is set to the MessageId of the MMR Input Body;
- The MessageHeader ExchangeType must be set to the ExchangeType of the MMR InputBody;
- The value of the Custody status field is “success”¹⁵.

7.3 MMR Fault Body

A fault returned by the SendMMR() operation uses the MMR FaultBody element. As shown in Figure 7-3, the MMR FaultBody consists of:

- A Message Header;
- A Security Block;
- One or more FaultBlocks.

Each fault block pertains to zero to many business objects, to the level of granularity which the Service Provider can provide. If the system cannot determine a Business Identifier then this is omitted. To report differing faults on more than one business object, extra fault blocks can be included in the fault message.

¹⁵ As stated in Section 5.3, the main significance of the output is that, by its presence, there is no fault.



Navy Materiel Master Record Service Specification




Figure 7-3 MMR Fault Body

MessageHeader is mandatory, but only MessageId and GenerationTime are mandatory within the header (both are new values, as for the Output Body). This is for the scenario where the input message is so damaged that the necessary attributes cannot be found.

Correlation ID is set to the Message Id of the input message – whenever it is available.

SecurityClassification is optional for the scenario where the input message is so damaged that the necessary attributes cannot be determined.

7.4 MMR Acknowledgement Input Body

The input to the SendMMRAck() operation consists of a Message Header, a list of business identifiers, and a “success” status indicating the business objects were accepted in CMMS.

The information being provided is to illustrate the model that exists for business processes and information exchange within the Performance Based Contracting (PBC) solution for the Department of National Defence. The information is provided to facilitate an understanding of the business architecture and the solution architecture that exist for the PBC program. The content is not intended to reflect the end state specifications for all of the PBC EIE related services.




Figure 7-4 MMR Acknowledgement Input Body

The Message Header has a new unique Message Id and the Correlation ID is set to the Message Id of the MMR Input Body which is being acknowledged.

7.5 MMR Error Input Body

The input to the SendMMRError() operation consists of a Message Header, a Security Block and a list of Error Blocks, as shown in Figure 7-5. Each Error Block references business objects (by business identifier) and a list of one or more errors pertaining to the business object.

The information being provided is to illustrate the model that exists for business processes and information exchange within the Performance Based Contracting (PBC) solution for the Department of National Defence. The information is provided to facilitate an understanding of the business architecture and the solution architecture that exist for the PBC program. The content is not intended to reflect the end state specifications for all of the PBC EIE related services.




Figure 7-5 MMR Error Input Body

MessageHeader and SecurityClassification are mandatory as in this scenario (after Type 1 validation has passed) the input message is well-formed.

The Message Header has a new unique Message ID.

If the error is a Type 2 error detected by Canada EDE, then the Correlation ID and UnitOfWorkID are set based on the Message Header of the MMR Input Body for which the errors are being reported.

If the error is a Business error from CMMS, then the Correlation ID and UnitOfWorkID are omitted.

7.6 Summary of Operation to input/output/fault body Mapping

The following diagram in Figure 7-6 shows the mapping for each of the three operations in the MMR service - SendMMR(), SendMMRack() and SendMMRError() - to their respective input, output and fault bodies as further defined in the MMR Web Service Definition Language (WSDL) file.

The information being provided is to illustrate the model that exists for business processes and information exchange within the Performance Based Contracting (PBC) solution for the Department of National Defence. The information is provided to facilitate an understanding of the business architecture and the solution architecture that exist for the PBC program. The content is not intended to reflect the end state specifications for all of the PBC EIE related services.




Figure 7-6 MMR Operations to Input/Output/Fault Mapping

The information being provided is to illustrate the model that exists for business processes and information exchange within the Performance Based Contracting (PBC) solution for the Department of National Defence. The information is provided to facilitate an understanding of the business architecture and the solution architecture that exist for the PBC program. The content is not intended to reflect the end state specifications for all of the PBC EIE related services.

8 Service Operation Details

8.1 Detailed Operation Characteristics – SendMMR()

Industry will invoke the exposed Canada EDE MMR service through this operation. The input will consist of a MMR InputBody (as above).

Please refer to Service Interaction Model [Ref. 2] for definitions of the terminology used in the non-functional requirements section.

Please refer to MaterielMasterRecord WSDL files for implementation details.

Detailed Operation Characteristics

Interface Definition	Description
Operation Name	Send MMR
Operation Technical Name	SendMMR()
Operation Description	This operation is invoked by Industry to send one or more MMR business objects to Canada EDE.
Target Operation Provider	Canada EDE
Target Operation Consumer	Industry
Properties	Request/Response message exchange pattern.
Input Message Definition	Please refer to Operation Message Model Section 7.1 MMR Input Body for details.
Output Message Definition	Please refer to Operation Message Model Section 7.2 MMR Output Body for details.
Fault Definition	Please refer to Section 7.3 MMR Fault Body for details. Please refer to Service Interaction Model [Ref. 2] for Type 1 faults.

Non-Functional Requirements

Non-Functional Requirements/Technical Details	
Frequency	May be periodic (catalogue updates) or a-periodic according to business triggers (Section 2.2). Will be determined between Canada and Industry on a per-ship class basis.
Peak Throughput Time	Based on Service Level Agreements (SLA) to be determined between Canada and Industry on a per-ship class basis.

The information being provided is to illustrate the model that exists for business processes and information exchange within the Performance Based Contracting (PBC) solution for the Department of National Defence. The information is provided to facilitate an understanding of the business architecture and the solution architecture that exist for the PBC program. The content is not intended to reflect the end state specifications for all of the PBC EIE related services.

Non-Functional Requirements/Technical Details	
Peak Throughput Volume	Based on Service Level Agreements (SLA) to be determined between Canada and Industry on a per-ship class basis.
Payload Size	<2Kb per business object
Attachments	None
Attachment Size	N/A
ACK Time Interval	Nominal value is 2 minutes – to be confirmed between Canada and Industry on a per-ship class basis.
Retry Time Interval	Nominal value is 10 minutes – to be confirmed between Canada and Industry on a per-ship class basis.
Number of Retries	Nominal value is 3 retries – to be confirmed between Canada and Industry on a per-ship class basis.
Biz. Response Time Interval	N/A
Time to Live Span	24 hours from the creation of the unit of work.
Service Op Availability	During core processing hours. The specific period will be defined during later phases of service realization 95% available uptime is the goal of the service
Downtime Requirements	The service cannot be used during established maintenance windows, which is currently expected to be for about 2 hours per week. The unavailability window may be accumulated and invoked during major maintenance periods, but ensuring that the overall availability of the service is still maintained.
Dead Message Handling	Alternative communication channel applies to report that this operation is not available when Industry cannot successfully send MMR business objects to Canada EDE. See Service Interaction Model [Ref. 2].

8.2 Detailed Operation Characteristics – SendMMRError()

Canada EDE *may* use this operation to inform Industry of errors detected in internal processing and faults returned from delivery to CMMS.¹⁶

Please refer to MaterielMasterRecord WSDL files for implementation details.

Detailed Operation Characteristics

¹⁶ Use of business errors is determined between Canada and Industry on a per-class basis.

The information being provided is to illustrate the model that exists for business processes and information exchange within the Performance Based Contracting (PBC) solution for the Department of National Defence. The information is provided to facilitate an understanding of the business architecture and the solution architecture that exist for the PBC program. The content is not intended to reflect the end state specifications for all of the PBC EIE related services.



Navy Materiel Master Record Service Specification

Interface Definition	Description
Operation Name	Send MMR Error
Operation Technical Name	SendMMRError()
Operation Description	This operation is invoked by Canada EDE to send one or more MMR errors to Industry.
Target Operation Provider	Industry
Target Operation Consumer	Canada EDE
Properties	<i>Request/Response</i> message exchange pattern.
Input Message Definition	Please refer to Operation Message Model Section 7.5 MMR Error Input Body for details. Please refer to Service Interaction Model [Ref. 2] for Type 2 faults for the error inputs which may be sent in this operation.
Output Message Definition	Please refer to Operation Message Model Section 7.2 MMR Output Body for details.
Fault Definition	Please refer to Section 7.3 MMR Fault Body for details. Please see Service Interaction Model [Ref. 2] for faults which may be returned by this operation.

Non-Functional Requirements

Non-Functional Requirements/Technical Details	
Frequency	Same as SendMMR() operation. Worst case is one error per MMR business object.
Peak Throughput Time	Same as SendMMR() operation.
Peak Throughput Volume	Same as SendMMR() operation.
Payload Size	5KB – estimated for one ErrorBlock with one BizID and two ErrorMessage's
Attachments	None
Attachment Size	N/A
ACK Time Interval	Nominal value is 2 minutes – to be confirmed between Canada and Industry on a per-ship class basis.
Retry Time Interval	Nominal value is 10 minutes – to be confirmed between Canada and Industry on a per-ship class basis.

The information being provided is to illustrate the model that exists for business processes and information exchange within the Performance Based Contracting (PBC) solution for the Department of National Defence. The information is provided to facilitate an understanding of the business architecture and the solution architecture that exist for the PBC program. The content is not intended to reflect the end state specifications for all of the PBC EIE related services.

Non-Functional Requirements/Technical Details	
Number of Retries	Nominal value is 3 retries – to be confirmed between Canada and Industry on a per-ship class basis.
Biz. Response Time Interval	N/A
Time to Live Span	60 minutes.
Service Op Availability	During core processing hours. The specific period will be defined during later phases of service realization 95% available uptime is the goal of the service
Downtime Requirements	The service cannot be used during established maintenance windows, which is currently expected to be for about 2 hours per week. The unavailability window may be accumulated and invoked during major maintenance periods, but ensuring that the overall availability of the service is still maintained.
Dead Message Handling	Alternative communication channel applies to report that this operation is not available when Industry cannot successfully send MMR business objects to Canada EDE. See Service Interaction Model [Ref. 2].

8.3 Detailed Operation Characteristics – SendMMRAck()¹⁷

Canada EDE *may* use this operation to inform Industry of successful delivery of business objects to CMMS. Usage of this operation is to be confirmed between Canada and Industry on a per-ship class basis.

Please refer to MaterielMasterRecord WSDL files for implementation details.

Detailed Operation Characteristics

Interface Definition	Description
Operation Name	Send MMR Acknowledgement
Operation Technical Name	SendMMRAck()
Operation Description	This operation is invoked by Canada EDE to send one or more MMR acknowledgement objects to Industry.
Target Operation Provider	Industry
Target Operation Consumer	Canada EDE
Properties	<i>Request/Response</i> message exchange pattern.

¹⁷ Use of this positive acknowledgement is determined between Canada and Industry on a per-class basis.

The information being provided is to illustrate the model that exists for business processes and information exchange within the Performance Based Contracting (PBC) solution for the Department of National Defence. The information is provided to facilitate an understanding of the business architecture and the solution architecture that exist for the PBC program. The content is not intended to reflect the end state specifications for all of the PBC EIE related services.



Navy Materiel Master Record Service Specification

Interface Definition	Description
Input Message Definition	Please refer to Operation Message Model Section 7.4 MMR Ack Input Body for details.
Output Message Definition	Please refer to Operation Message Model Section 7.2 MMR Output Body for details.
Fault Definition	Please refer to Section 7.3 MMR Fault Body for details. Please see Service Interaction Model [Ref. 2] for faults which may be returned by this operation.

Non-Functional Requirements

Non-Functional Requirements/Technical Details	
Frequency	To be confirmed between Canada and Industry on a per-ship class basis. Depends on pace of back-end processing.
Peak Throughput Time	To be confirmed between Canada and Industry on a per-ship class basis. Depends on pace of back-end processing.
Peak Throughput Volume	To be confirmed between Canada and Industry on a per-ship class basis. Depends on pace of back-end processing.
Payload Size	~ 2KB per acknowledgement
Attachments	None
Attachment Size	N / A
ACK Time Interval	Nominal value is 2 minutes – to be confirmed between Canada and Industry on a per-ship class basis.
Retry Time Interval	Nominal value is 10 minutes – to be confirmed between Canada and Industry on a per-ship class basis.
Number of Retries	Nominal value is 3 retries – to be confirmed between Canada and Industry on a per-ship class basis.
Biz. Response Time Interval	N/A
Time to Live Span	60 minutes.
Service Op Availability	During core processing hours. The specific period will be defined during later phases of service realization 95% available uptime is the goal of the service

The information being provided is to illustrate the model that exists for business processes and information exchange within the Performance Based Contracting (PBC) solution for the Department of National Defence. The information is provided to facilitate an understanding of the business architecture and the solution architecture that exist for the PBC program. The content is not intended to reflect the end state specifications for all of the PBC EIE related services.



Non-Functional Requirements/Technical Details	
Downtime Requirements	The service cannot be used during established maintenance windows, which is currently expected to be for about 2 hours per week. The unavailability window may be accumulated and invoked during major maintenance periods, but ensuring that the overall availability of the service is still maintained.
Dead Message Handling	Alternative communication channel applies to report that this operation is not available when Industry cannot successfully send MMR business objects to Canada EDE. See Service Interaction Model [Ref. 2].

8.4 Service Bindings

8.4.1 SOAP Over http

The implementation of this service will use a Simple Object Access Protocol (SOAP) binding with document style messages and http transport.

The business objects (Section 6) are bound to the SOAP Body element. The SOAP Header is used for EIE adopted WS-* standards-based elements (e.g., WS_Security assertions) and, typically, MessageHeader and SecurityMarkings elements.

In this binding the http response is used for each operation's technical response (i.e., output or fault messages).

See the Materiel Master Record Service WSDL file for the precise binding.

8.4.2 SOAP Over JMS

Not currently supported.

The information being provided is to illustrate the model that exists for business processes and information exchange within the Performance Based Contracting (PBC) solution for the Department of National Defence. The information is provided to facilitate an understanding of the business architecture and the solution architecture that exist for the PBC program. The content is not intended to reflect the end state specifications for all of the PBC EIE related services.



9 Definitions, Acronyms, Abbreviations

Term	Description
CAGE	Commercial And Government Entity
CM	Configuration Management
CMMS	Canada Maintenance Management System
CMP	Class Program Manager
CSS	Canada Supply System
DND	Department of National Defence
DRMIS	Defense Resource Management Information System
EDE	Electronic Data Exchange
EIE	Electronic Information Environment
EMR	Equipment Master Record
FLOC	Functional LOcation
ISS	In Service Support
ISSC	In Service Support Contractor
ISSCF	In Service Support Contracting Framework
MER	Master Equipment Record
MPN	Manufacturer Part Number
MMR	Materiel Master Record
PBC	Performance Based Contracting
NATO	North Atlantic Treaty Organization
NSN	NATO Stock Number
SOAP	Simple Object Access Protocol
UML	Unified Modeling Language
UTC	Coordinated Universal Time
WS	Weapon System
WSDL	Web Service Definition Language
XML	Extensible Markup Language

The information being provided is to illustrate the model that exists for business processes and information exchange within the Performance Based Contracting (PBC) solution for the Department of National Defence. The information is provided to facilitate an understanding of the business architecture and the solution architecture that exist for the PBC program. The content is not intended to reflect the end state specifications for all of the PBC EIE related services.



10 Appendix A - Entity Relationship Model

Information Model – Entity-Relationship View

MMR	
P *	Cage VARCHAR (5)
P *	MPN VARCHAR (34)
P *	Serial_No VARCHAR (30)
*	Description_Eng VARCHAR (40)
	Description_Fr VARCHAR (40)
*	Base_UOM VARCHAR (3)
*	Batch_Ind VARCHAR (1)
*	EMR_Indicator VARCHAR (1)
	Reparability_Code VARCHAR (1)
	PRT_Indicator VARCHAR (1)
	HAZMAT_Indicator VARCHAR (1)
	ITAR_Indicator VARCHAR (1)
	Min_Stock_Level FLOAT (10,3)
	Max_Stock_Level FLOAT (10,3)
	ERN1 VARCHAR (8)
	QPE1 Integer
	ERN2 VARCHAR (8)
	QPE2 Integer
	ERN3 VARCHAR (8)
	QPE3 Integer
	Proposed_NSC VARCHAR (4)
	Proposed_NCC VARCHAR (2)
	Proposed_IIN VARCHAR (7)
	ERN_Location VARCHAR (3)
	UOI_Price FLOAT (9,2)
	UOI VARCHAR (3)
	UOI_Conversion_Factor Integer
	Supply_Manager_Code VARCHAR (3)
	SMR_Code VARCHAR (6)
	Max_Repair_Cost FLOAT (9,2)
	GSM_Indicator VARCHAR (1)
	Gross_Weight FLOAT (10,3)
	Net_Weight FLOAT (10,3)
	UOW VARCHAR (3)
	Item_Length FLOAT (10,3)
	Item_Depth FLOAT (10,3)
	Item_Width FLOAT (10,3)
	UOL VARCHAR (3)
	Volume FLOAT (10,3)
	UOV VARCHAR (3)
	Packed_Length FLOAT (10,3)
	Packed_Depth FLOAT (10,3)
	Packed_Width FLOAT (10,3)
	Packed_Volume FLOAT (10,3)
	Shelf_Life Integer
	Material_Lead_Time Integer
*	Action Integer
	MER_INSTALL_PK (Cage, MPN, Serial_No)

Figure 10-1 MMR ERD

The information being provided is to illustrate the model that exists for business processes and information exchange within the Performance Based Contracting (PBC) solution for the Department of National Defence. The information is provided to facilitate an understanding of the business architecture and the solution architecture that exist for the PBC program. The content is not intended to reflect the end state specifications for all of the PBC EIE related services.



11 Document History

Revision Number	Description	Date
1.0	Ready for Navy RFP	23 October 2015

The information being provided is to illustrate the model that exists for business processes and information exchange within the Performance Based Contracting (PBC) solution for the Department of National Defence. The information is provided to facilitate an understanding of the business architecture and the solution architecture that exist for the PBC program. The content is not intended to reflect the end state specifications for all of the PBC EIE related services.