



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

**Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions
- TPSGC**
11 Laurier St./ 11 rue, Laurier
Place du Portage, Phase III
Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2
Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5
Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
Scientific, Medical and Photographic Division /
Division de l'équipement scientifique, des produits
photographiques et pharmaceutiques
11 Laurier St./ 11 rue, Laurier
6B1, Place du Portage
Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet JOINT CBRN GEN. SERVICE RESPIRATOR	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation W8476-155141/C	Amendment No. - N° modif. 003
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client W8476-155141	Date 2016-07-15
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$PV-867-71135	
File No. - N° de dossier pv867.W8476-155141	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2016-08-12	Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Lalonde, Martin	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur pv867
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 462-1009 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

PWGSC

Joint CBRN GSR – RFP, Amendment 003

This amendment is raised to update the JOINT CBRN GEN. SERVICE RESPIRATOR, Solicitation No. W8476-155141/C, dated 23 June 2016

QUESTION 24:

Anx F

3.1.1 a i)

Please specify a firm quantity for each of ISS CLIN's 1 and 2, otherwise Bidders cannot determine a total price.

Is the value of acquisition CLIN 22 not to be included (please note our recommendation to remove CLIN 22 above).

ANSWER:

Annex H, Appendix HA – Total Evaluated Price, Tables 3 to 6 provides the details for the summation of the ISS deliverables and support services to be used for the calculation of the ISS total price to be used for the evaluation by Canada.

Canada will not remove CLIN 22. The purpose on CLIN 22 is to ensure all deliverables under the contract are delivered.

Annex H – Total Evaluated Price Summary will be modified.

The line “Acquisition deliverables - CLIN-1 to CLIN-22 (as per Annex B - Table1)” will read “Acquisition deliverables - CLIN-1 to CLIN-21 (as per Annex B - Table1).

QUESTION 28:

Appx CA

4.1.2.1

Canada should seriously consider removing CLIN 22 since this amounts to a holdback of 5% of contract value. Bidders will add cost of money to finance this holdback

ANSWER:

A holdback of contract value is standard for Canada and will remain at 5%

QUESTION 30 :

T&C,

p. 10/36

section 7.6

and

p. 20/36

section 8.12

In RFP, page 10/36 section 7.6 (Acquisition Terms of contract) and 20/36 section 8.12 (ISS Term of contract), could you please confirm Industry does not have to fill the blank (Insert Date) as it will be determined at contract award?

ANSWER:

Correct, the Bidders do not have to fill the blank as it will be determined at contract award

QUESTION 33:

Anx A,
App AB,
p. A-AB-117/272,
block 12

In CDRL PM-001 p. A-AB-117/272, block 12: Should we add the word 'DRAFT' in the sentence to read 'The contractor must submit a DRAFT PMP at RFP bid closing date with Bidder proposal? Same comment applies to CDRL PM-003; PM-004 and PM-009?

ANSWER:

Canada will insert the word "proposed". Therefore, the sentence at Block 12 of CDRL:

PM-001 will read:

The Contractor must submit a proposed PMP at RFP Closing date with Bidder proposal.

PM-003 will read:

The Contractor must submit a proposed PMS at RFP Closing date with Bidder proposal.

PM-004 will read:

The Contractor must submit a proposed WBS at RFP Closing date with Bidder proposal.

PM-009 will read:

The Contractor must submit a proposed QP at RFP Closing date with Bidder proposal.

ILS-201 will read:

The Contractor must submit a proposed ILS Plan at RFP Closing date with Bidder proposal.

ILS-205 will read:

The Contractor must submit a proposed PPB / RSPL at RFP Closing date with Bidder proposal.

ILS-206 will read:

The Contractor must submit a proposed Logistical EBS at RFP Closing date with Bidder proposal.

QUESTION 34:

Anx A,
App AA,

In Annex A - appendix AA, when verification method is Test and verification Phase is FCA, it means a test report has to be submitted after contract award only.

In Annex F - Appendix FD - Phases 2A and 2B - Technical Paper Evaluation Compliance Matrix when verification method is Test and there is no special comment indicating that only a statement of compliance is required at time of bid closing date, a test report is needed at time of bid closing date.

SRS #172-4825-199-1340-1341-1381-4829-1380-4830 and 3349 are part of both categories explained herein (Appendix AA and Appendix FD), which are self-contradictory.

We presume the Crown wishes these reports prior contract award. Consequently, can you indicate at which phases the reports for the above mentioned SRS must be submitted?

ANSWER:

For the first part of your question, you are correct; the reports are to be provided only after contract award for FCA.

However, the bidder is to provide evidence of compliance for the first part of the Bid Evaluation process. He has to indicate how, why and when the proposed solution will meet the requirements even though final testing and reports for those identified FCA SRS are not due prior to FCA.

This hold true even for the Phases following Phase 2A, 2B. Please note that some of the requirements are asking for Test Plan to be delivered at Bid Closure.

Canada will evaluate all requirements for each proposition before going forward with any other phases except Phase 2C.

For part two, you are correct as well; in Annex F - Appendix FD - Phases 2A and 2B - Technical Paper Evaluation Compliance Matrix when verification method is Test and there is no special comment indicating that only a statement of compliance is required at time of bid closing date, a test report is needed at time of bid closing date.

Thank you to bring this to our attention. In fact this is true for JGC – SRS – 511, 4804, 4810, 3348, 4814, 4815, 1312, 1315, 172, 4825, 1441, 4826, 199, 1340, 1379, 1341, 1381, 4829, 1380, 4830, 2288, 3349, 318 and 4834. The main reason was for not creating another Compliance Matrix. The initial Bid Evaluation Phases (Phases 2A and 2B) was found suitable to integrate the FCA Tests and Demonstrations in the context of the bidders providing evidence to support their claim of compliance. Note that we will amend the SRS for JGC – SRS – 511, 4804, the Verification Phase should have read FCA.

For all other instances in Annex F - Appendix FD - Phases 2A and 2B - Technical Paper Evaluation Compliance Matrix, the RVM stays the main reference document. If the Verification Phase in the RVM is stated as “Phases 2A – Mandatory” or “Phase 2B – Rated” AND the Verification Method is “Test”, then the Bidder has to provide a Test Report, in due form, at bid closure.

Please remember that the RVM Verification Phase take precedence and flow according to the Bid Evaluation timeline (e.g. Phase 2F).

QUESTION 44:

Anx A,
App AA,
SRS-4810

In Annex A - appendix AA, SRS-4810, the Bid Evaluation Method is test. However, this requirement will be responded by supplying a methodology that is intended to predict the mask size. Therefore, would the Bid Evaluation method be 'Inspection'?

ANSWER:

Agreed, For the JGC – SRS – 4810, the Verification Method will be changed to Inspection, as the proposed solution will be inspected to be concordant with the statement and the conditions stipulated in the Success Criteria are met.

Anx A, App AA, SRS-4810

The SRS will be amended accordingly.

DELETE:

Verification Method, Test

INSERT:

Verification Method, Inspection

QUESTION 45:

Anx A,
App AA,
SRS-4835

In Annex A - appendix AA, SRS-4835, the requirement is to indicate packaging requirement for the mask, the sub-filter system and the Respirator's accessories (successive layers). Does PWGSC/DND realize it may increase the cost of each accessories as some of them do not required being sealed by their nature (vision correction system, Canteen connector, etc)?

ANSWER:

- 1) Annex A SOW Para 6.5.1

DELETE:

"Each Mask, Filter System and Respirator Accessory must be individually packaged in Preservation Packaging in order to meet the requirements of Appendix AA System Requirements Specification."

INSERT:

"Each Mask and Filter System must be individually packaged in Preservation Packaging in order to meet the requirements of Appendix AA System Requirements Specification."

- 2) Annex A, Appendix AA, JCG-SRS-4835, columns titled "Joint CBRN GSR System Requirements Specification (SRS)" and "Verification Criteria"

DELETE:

"Each Mask, Filter Sub-system and Respirator Accessories..."

INSERT:

"Each Mask and Filter Sub-system..."