



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

**Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions
- TPSGC**

11 Laurier St./11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT

MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

**Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Special Projects/Projets Spéciaux

11 Laurier St./11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage/, Phase III

Floor 10C1/Étage 10C1

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet AUTO FINGERPRINT ID SYSTEM SOLUTION	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation M7594-153234/E	Amendment No. - N° modif. 002
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client M7594-153234	Date 2016-08-02
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$ZL-107-30327	
File No. - N° de dossier 107zl.M7594-153234	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2016-08-19	Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Campbell, Jeff	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 107zl
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (879) 469-3956 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (819) 956-9235
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

N° de l'invitation - Solicitation No.

N° de la modif - Amd. No.

Id de l'acheteur - Buyer ID

M7594-153234/E

002

107zl

N° de réf. du client - Client Ref. No.

File No. - N° du dossier

N° CCC / CCC No./ N° VME - FMS

M7594-153234

107zl.M7594-153234

The purpose of this amendment is to:

1. Address questions posed concerning the solicitation.

1. Response to questions raised during solicitation:

The following table (Comments Received Table Error! No text of specified style in document.-1) includes answers to questions provided by Bidders in response to the AFIS RFP posted 2016-07-04 in its first release. Questions received 2016-07-22 and 2016-07-25 starting at Q#13 have been added.

Comments Received Table Error! No text of specified style in document.-1: RFP Questions and Answers – Set #2				
Q #	Document Reference	Section Reference	Question / Comment	PSPC/RCMP Response
13.	Appendix A SOW	4.7.1.K.i	<p>Can we have more information on the Oracle licences that will be provided by RCMP?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Number and type of licenses that can be used by the provider (processor, user, ...) - Version (Standard Edition 1, Standard Edition 2, Standard Edition, Enterprise) - Type of licensing (Full use, Application Specific Full Use Licensing, Embedded) - Options that can be used (Database vault, Advanced Security, Dataguard...) - Other Oracle Licenses (Goldengate) 	<p>The following repeats each part of the question followed by an answer.</p> <p>Q: Number and type of licenses that can be used by the provider (processor, user, ...)?</p> <p>A: 16 processor based licenses</p> <p>Q: Version (Standard Edition 1, Standard Edition 2, Standard Edition, Enterprise)?</p> <p>A: Oracle 11G, Enterprise Edition</p> <p>Q: Type of licensing (Full use, Application Specific Full Use Licensing, Embedded)?</p> <p>A: Oracle Database Enterprise Edition</p>

N° de l'invitation - Solicitation No.

N° de la modif - Amd. No.

Id de l'acheteur - Buyer ID

M7594-153234/E

002

107zl

N° de réf. du client - Client Ref. No.

File No. - N° du dossier

N° CCC / CCC No./ N° VME - FMS

M7594-153234

107zl.M7594-153234

Comments Received Table Error! No text of specified style in document.-1: RFP Questions and Answers – Set #2

Q #	Document Reference	Section Reference	Question / Comment	PSPC/RCMP Response
				<p>Partitioning - Process Perpetual</p> <p>Real Application Clusters - Process Perpetual</p> <p>Directory Services Plus - Process Perpetual</p> <p>Q: Options that can be used (Database vault, Advanced Security, Dataguard...)?</p> <p>A: No other options licensed</p> <p>Q: Other Oracle Licenses (Goldengate)?</p> <p>A: No other options licensed</p>
14.	APPENDIX A: AFIS RENEWAL STATEMENT OF WORK	11. ONGOING OS, SOFTWARE AND VIRUS UPGRADES 11.1 Purpose	<p><i>This section describes the requirements for the Contractor to provide ongoing OS and software upgrades for all components included in the Contractor's AFIS renewal solution.</i></p> <p>We assume that this concerns, as mentioned in this section, only the servers and not the workstations. Please confirm.</p>	<p>This assumption is not correct. This support requirement is "for all components that constitute the Contractor's AFIS renewal solution".</p> <p>Section 11.3 refers to the Ongoing OS and Software Upgrades (OOSU) deliverable (OU-01) which states "The purpose of the Ongoing OS and Software Upgrade (OOSU) document is to provide the RCMP Technical Authority with a document detailing the ongoing upgrade activities and maintain an up-to-date record of the configuration</p>

N° de l'invitation - Solicitation No.

N° de la modif - Amd. No.

Id de l'acheteur - Buyer ID

M7594-153234/E

002

107zl

N° de réf. du client - Client Ref. No.

File No. - N° du dossier

N° CCC / CCC No./ N° VME - FMS

M7594-153234

107zl.M7594-153234

Comments Received Table Error! No text of specified style in document.-1: RFP Questions and Answers – Set #2

Q #	Document Reference	Section Reference	Question / Comment	PSPC/RCMP Response
				management of the test and Production servers, workstations and Transcoder components.”
15.	APPENDIX A: AFIS RENEWAL STATEMENT OF WORK	3.2, point 10	Does RCMP have a list of authorised or unauthorised Hardware and Software list. If so can we access this list?	<p>RCMP does not have any specific list of authorised hardware or software; other than what has been stated throughout the RFP (e.g. Windows, Oracle, McAfee, IBM, etc.). Attempting to list all unauthorised hardware/software is not practical. The RCMP uses a Defence in Depth security philosophy and only hardware/software that is supported within the RCMP infrastructure is allowed. That is, any hardware/software solution must be able to successfully pass an RCMP Departmental Security Branch (DSB) Vulnerability Assessment (VA).</p> <p>Generally, any commercially available hardware/software that would operate in a secure architecture capable of supporting an AFIS solution would be supported in the RCMP security architecture. It is mandatory that all AFIS Bidders have experience operating their solution is a</p>

N° de l'invitation - Solicitation No.

N° de la modif - Amd. No.

Id de l'acheteur - Buyer ID

M7594-153234/E

002

107zl

N° de réf. du client - Client Ref. No.

File No. - N° du dossier

N° CCC / CCC No./ N° VME - FMS

M7594-153234

107zl.M7594-153234

Comments Received Table Error! No text of specified style in document.-1: RFP Questions and Answers – Set #2

Q #	Document Reference	Section Reference	Question / Comment	PSPC/RCMP Response
				<p>secure environment similar to the RCMP; therefore, this is not expected to be an issue. The specific tools used by DSB to perform the VAs has been provided to allow the Bidder to assess their solution.</p> <p>This security architecture related information was presented at the 2015-12-14 Industry Day information session. If necessary, this same information can be presented at RCMP to anyone not able to attend the Industry Day.</p> <p>Any specific hardware/software concerns can be provided by the Bidder for the RCMP to review prior to submitting a bid, if necessary.</p>
16.	ANNEX D TO APPENDIX A: VERIFICATION SUBSYSTEM DETAILED REQUIREMENTS	1.2 VSS Renewal Concept, figure 1 - 1	We assume that for IRCC enrollment we capture 10 rolled fingers + 10 flat fingers (4+4+2). Can you confirm ?	This assumption is not correct. Please refer to paragraph 8 in section 1.2 which identifies the ICDs that relate to enrollments. Please refer to the ICDs, which explain in detail what must be captured for each Type Of Transaction (TOT). To attempt to answer your specific question, current IRCC enrollments are IMM transactions which are ID Flats however, there are no

N° de l'invitation - Solicitation No.

N° de la modif - Amd. No.

Id de l'acheteur - Buyer ID

M7594-153234/E

002

107zl

N° de réf. du client - Client Ref. No.

File No. - N° du dossier

N° CCC / CCC No./ N° VME - FMS

M7594-153234

107zl.M7594-153234

Comments Received Table Error! No text of specified style in document.-1: RFP Questions and Answers – Set #2

Q #	Document Reference	Section Reference	Question / Comment	PSPC/RCMP Response
				restrictions on the TOTs that can be used to enroll. Figure 1-1 specifically depicts IRCC Visa Application Centers (VACs) submitting IMM enrollment transactions.
17.	ANNEX D TO APPENDIX A: VERIFICATION SUBSYSTEM DETAILED REQUIREMENTS	1.2 VSS Renewal Concept, figure 1 - 1	We assume that for CBSA enrollment we capture 10 rolled fingers + 10 flat fingers (4+4+2). Can you confirm ?	This assumption is not correct. Please refer to paragraph 8 in section 1.2 which identifies the ICDs that relate to enrollments. Please refer to the ICDs, which explain in detail what must be captured for each TOT. To attempt to answer your specific question, current CBSA enrollments are REF transactions which are rolled, plains and palms; however, there are no restrictions on the TOTs that can be used to enroll. Figure 1-1 specifically depicts CBSA Refugee Input Sites submitting REF enrollment transactions.
18.	ANNEX D TO APPENDIX A: VERIFICATION SUBSYSTEM DETAILED REQUIREMENTS	1.2 VSS Renewal Concept, figure 1 - 1	We assume that for CBSA verification is done on 10 flat fingers (4+4+2). Can you confirm ?	This assumption is not 100% correct. Figure 1-1, section 1.2 and more specifically section 2 explains that CBSA submits the VER transaction. Please refer to the ICDs for the specifications on the VER TOT. To attempt to answer your specific question, the VER TOT is used to submit ID flats which could be (4+4+2).

N° de l'invitation - Solicitation No.

N° de la modif - Amd. No.

Id de l'acheteur - Buyer ID

M7594-153234/E

002

107zl

N° de réf. du client - Client Ref. No.

File No. - N° du dossier

N° CCC / CCC No./ N° VME - FMS

M7594-153234

107zl.M7594-153234

Comments Received Table Error! No text of specified style in document.-1: RFP Questions and Answers – Set #2

Q #	Document Reference	Section Reference	Question / Comment	PSPC/RCMP Response
19.	APPENDIX A: AFIS RENEWAL STATEMENT OF WORK	Table 3-3	Could you provide a breakdown of the total number of record by types (criminals, refugees, immigration, employees, ...).	There is no table 3-3 in Appendix A.
20.	ANNEX D TO APPENDIX A: VERIFICATION SUBSYSTEM DETAILED REQUIREMENTS	3.3 Verification Volumetrics	Can you confirm the record types to be in both the AFIS and VSS Databases. For example shall we store in the VSS database only the Immigration records (As shown in figure 3-3) or also the refugees.	<p>There is no figure 3-3 in Appendix D.</p> <p>Please refer to paragraphs 7 and 8 in section 1.2 which identifies the ICDs that relate to enrollments. Please refer to the ICDs, which explain in detail what must be captured for each TOT. Figure 1-1 specifically depicts IRCC IMM and CBSA REF enrollment transactions being stored in both AFIS and VSS. Additionally, the AFIS ICD explains when an enrollment is flagged as an immigration related enrollment; therefore, must be recorded in the both the AFIS and VSS databases. To answer your specific question, any enrollment flagged to be recorded in the VSS database must be recorded in the VSS database. In the context of section 1.2 and Figure 1-1 this would mean both IMM and REF TOTs must be stored in the VSS database.</p>

N° de l'invitation - Solicitation No.

N° de la modif - Amd. No.

Id de l'acheteur - Buyer ID

M7594-153234/E

002

107zl

N° de réf. du client - Client Ref. No.

File No. - N° du dossier

N° CCC / CCC No./ N° VME - FMS

M7594-153234

107zl.M7594-153234

Comments Received Table Error! No text of specified style in document.-1: RFP Questions and Answers – Set #2

Q #	Document Reference	Section Reference	Question / Comment	PSPC/RCMP Response
21.	Appedix A SOW	4.3, item 4	We assume that RCMP will coordinate all exchanges with the remote agencies concerning the preparation for the migration/installation of the new transcoders. Please confirm that our assumption is correct.	<p>This assumption is not correct. As stated in the RFP, it is the Contractor's responsibility to support and maintain the Transcoders. This support and maintenance includes any migration, installation, upgrade, replacement or any other change required by the Contractor to provide a fully operational system.</p> <p>Section 4.3, paragraph 4 states the following mandatory requirement "The Transcoder upgrades must be fully tested and approved in the test environment before the Production Transcoders can be upgraded. Additionally, <u>the Production Transcoder upgrade must be coordinated with agencies using the Transcoders to ensure minimal impact to remote agency operations and the RNSC. (M)</u>"(emphasis added).</p> <p>As part of the agreed to Master Contract Schedule (MCS), RCMP will ensure the agencies are aware of the planned schedule of Transcoder changes and provide a contact list for each site to the Contractor. As part of the MCS approval, the RCMP will</p>

N° de l'invitation - Solicitation No.

N° de la modif - Amd. No.

Id de l'acheteur - Buyer ID

M7594-153234/E

002

107zl

N° de réf. du client - Client Ref. No.

File No. - N° du dossier

N° CCC / CCC No./ N° VME - FMS

M7594-153234

107zl.M7594-153234

Comments Received Table Error! No text of specified style in document.-1: RFP Questions and Answers – Set #2

Q #	Document Reference	Section Reference	Question / Comment	PSPC/RCMP Response
				ensure the respective Transcoder agencies are aware of and agree to schedule for the impending changes; however, all coordination, work, direct contact for specific technical changes and anything else required to make the new Transcoders fully operational is the responsibility of the Contractor.
22.	Appedix A SOW	13.1, item 5	We assume that RCMP will perform the data extraction and provide the contractor with NIST files.	The RCMP will provide the data required for conversion in the form stated in the RFP. Specifically for section 13.1, paragraph 5, the NIST packet types identified will be provided by the RCMP.
23.	Request for Proposal M7594-153234 E	Appendix C	Appendix C, Security requirement Check List should be attached. It seems to be missing from the tender release. Could you please provide it?	Please refer to amendment posted on buyandsell.gc.ca under this solicitation for the SRCL.
24.	Appedix A SOW	13.1, item 5	We assume that RCMP will perform the data extraction and provide the contractor with logs in a readable format, accompanied by a detailed log structure explanation document.	Section 13.1 paragraph 5 does not have any reference to log files. The log files referred to in section 13.1 will be provided in a readable format, accompanied by an explanation of log structure.

N° de l'invitation - Solicitation No.

N° de la modif - Amd. No.

Id de l'acheteur - Buyer ID

M7594-153234/E

002

107zl

N° de réf. du client - Client Ref. No.

File No. - N° du dossier

N° CCC / CCC No./ N° VME - FMS

M7594-153234

107zl.M7594-153234

Comments Received Table Error! No text of specified style in document.-1: RFP Questions and Answers – Set #2

Q #	Document Reference	Section Reference	Question / Comment	PSPC/RCMP Response
25.	Annex B to Appendix A	3.6 Volumetrics page 44/45	<p>For tenprint transactions (lines rows 1-7) our interpretation of the contents of this table is as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Lights out column indicates the maximum time allowed for performing all automated processing (i.e. NIST processing, image processing and biometric search). The turn-around columns for high and normal priorities indicate the amount of time allowed for the entire AFIS process to complete including the automated processing and any necessary manual processing (i.e. QC, verification, etc.) <p>We understand that the contractor's commitment applies only to satisfying the lights out turn-around times. This implies that RCMP personnel are responsible to ensure that the manual processing is performed within the total turnaround time limits.</p>	<p>Page 44/45 contains Table 3-2. This answer is based on the assumption that this question is referring to Table 3-2 and only applies to rows 1-7.</p> <p>This assumption is mostly correct within the context stated. The lights out column indicates the maximum time allowed to perform all automated processing and this will be used as a key requirement to be met for acceptance purposes; however, the overall requirements also considers other requirements in the RFP (e.g. section 3.6, paragraph 14).</p> <p>Additionally, the other turn-around times reflect time allowed for the entire AFIS process to complete including the automated processing and any necessary manual processing (i.e. QC, verification, etc.). The RCMP personnel are responsible for completing the manual processing. However, the Contractor's commitment does not only apply to the light out turnaround times. The Contractor's solution must</p>

N° de l'invitation - Solicitation No.

N° de la modif - Amd. No.

Id de l'acheteur - Buyer ID

M7594-153234/E

002

107zl

N° de réf. du client - Client Ref. No.

File No. - N° du dossier

N° CCC / CCC No./ N° VME - FMS

M7594-153234

107zl.M7594-153234

Comments Received Table Error! No text of specified style in document.-1: RFP Questions and Answers – Set #2

Q #	Document Reference	Section Reference	Question / Comment	PSPC/RCMP Response
			Please confirm this assumption.	ensure the solution has processing capacity to manage and process all transactions within the turn-around times. For example, there must be sufficient processing capacity to support all the users, queues and the user activities require to support the volumes in an effective and efficient manner as stated throughout the RFP. That is, there are other requirements stated throughout the RFP that must be considered in combination with these turn-around times.
26.	Annex B to Appendix A	3.6 Volumetrics page 44/45	For remaining transactions (lines rows 8-14) our interpretation of the contents of this table is as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The turn-around columns for priorities solely indicate the maximum time allowed for performing all automated processing (i.e. biometric search, database retrievals, etc.). We understand that the contractor's commitment applies to fully satisfying these turn-around times. RCMP personnel are not directly involved with this	Please note that table 3-2 only has 13 rows. This assumption is correct with the added statement that there are other requirements stated throughout the RFP that must be considered in combination with these turn-around times.

N° de l'invitation - Solicitation No.

N° de la modif - Amd. No.

Id de l'acheteur - Buyer ID

M7594-153234/E

002

107zl

N° de réf. du client - Client Ref. No.

File No. - N° du dossier

N° CCC / CCC No./ N° VME - FMS

M7594-153234

107zl.M7594-153234

Comments Received Table Error! No text of specified style in document.-1: RFP Questions and Answers – Set #2

Q #	Document Reference	Section Reference	Question / Comment	PSPC/RCMP Response
			processing. Please confirm this assumption.	
27.	Request for Proposal	Part 6 – Security, Financial and Other Requirements	How would we process PWGSC/RCMP reliability clearances for our colleagues in France? Do we need prior to award approval for Foreign Workers to be able to work on this project on site or remote?	Please refer to Q#4, which answers this question. There is no difference in the processing of a clearance for Foreign workers other than a likely longer times to process. As stated in Q#4, no one can work on this project (on-site or remote) without a PSPC and RCMP security clearance.
28.	Request for Proposal	Part 6 – Security, Financial and Other Requirements	For the Benchmark testing would we need to have the security clearances completed for our team? If so, what would be required, RCMP Reliability or PWGSC Reliability clearances or both?	No, individual security clearances are not required for the Benchmark testing. Bidder's must sign a non-disclosure agreement and abide by the terms of the Benchmark testing to use the test data that is part of the Benchmark testing. Please refer to Q#4 for additional information on security, specifically that an organizational security clearance is required at the time of bid closing.
29.	Request for Proposal, SOW, Annex's, Appendices,		Are these documents in whole or part, Protected, is there a protected level	No, these documents are published on the internet for everyone to view. These documents were protected prior to publication to ensure

N° de l'invitation - Solicitation No.

N° de la modif - Amd. No.

Id de l'acheteur - Buyer ID

M7594-153234/E

002

107zl

N° de réf. du client - Client Ref. No.

File No. - N° du dossier

N° CCC / CCC No./ N° VME - FMS

M7594-153234

107zl.M7594-153234

Comments Received Table Error! No text of specified style in document.-1: RFP Questions and Answers – Set #2

Q #	Document Reference	Section Reference	Question / Comment	PSPC/RCMP Response
	Attachments, Amendments		associated with these documents?	only individuals directly involved in the development of the RFP had access. Once published, they are considered non-sensitive.

Comments Received Table Error! No text of specified style in document.-2: RFP Questions and Answers – Set #3

Q #	Document Reference	Section Reference	Question / Comment	PSPC/RCMP Response
30.	RFP Solicitation	Part 1, 1.2.4 (Page 4) & 7.7.1 (Page 26)	The period of the Contract is from date of Contract to ____ inclusive (fill in end date of the period). The start of Maintenance and Support Services date is from date of warranty expiry to MM-DD-YYYY. Question: Can PWGSC clarify the contract period or provide an approximate contract period start date?	The contract duration is five (5) years from signature of contract. The start date will be determined once the evaluations including benchmark testing have been completed.
31.	RFP Solicitation	Section 2. OEM	“(a) Any Bidder that is not the Original Equipment	A certification form is not required for GFE hardware

N° de l'invitation - Solicitation No.

N° de la modif - Amd. No.

Id de l'acheteur - Buyer ID

M7594-153234/E

002

107zl

N° de réf. du client - Client Ref. No.

File No. - N° du dossier

N° CCC / CCC No./ N° VME - FMS

M7594-153234

107zl.M7594-153234

Comments Received Table Error! No text of specified style in document.-2: RFP Questions and Answers – Set #3

Q #	Document Reference	Section Reference	Question / Comment	PSPC/RCMP Response
	Attachment 2 to Part 5	Certification (a) Page 19	<p>Manufacturer (OEM) for every item of hardware proposed as part of its proposal is required to submit the certification below which must be signed by the OEM (not the Bidder). No Contract will be awarded to a Bidder who is not the OEM of the hardware it proposes to supply to Canada, unless the OEM certification set out below has been provided to Canada."</p> <p>Question:</p> <p>Can the PWGSC clarify and confirm a certification form is not required for the reuse of GFE hardware components provided by the RCMP?</p>	components provided by the RCMP.
32.	RFP Solicitation	7.2.1 Page 23	<p>2035 45 Use and Translation of Written Material</p> <p>1. Unless provided otherwise in the Contract, copyright in any written material used, produced or delivered under the Contract belongs to its author or rightful owner. Canada has Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation Amd. No. - N° de la</p>	<p>This clause from another document was accidentally included. The following replaces this clause.</p> <p>Unless provided otherwise in the Contract, copyright in any written material used, produced or delivered under the Contract belongs to its author or rightful owner. Canada has the right to use, copy and disclose, for</p>

N° de l'invitation - Solicitation No.

N° de la modif - Amd. No.

Id de l'acheteur - Buyer ID

M7594-153234/E

002

107zl

N° de réf. du client - Client Ref. No.

File No. - N° du dossier

N° CCC / CCC No./ N° VME - FMS

M7594-153234

107zl.M7594-153234

Comments Received Table Error! No text of specified style in document.-2: RFP Questions and Answers – Set #3

Q #	Document Reference	Section Reference	Question / Comment	PSPC/RCMP Response
			<p>modif. Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur EN260-101970/D 663xe Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client File No. - N° du dossier CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME 663xe.EN260-101970 Bid Solicitation Page 25 of 52 the right to use, copy and disclose, for government purposes, the written material related to the Work that is delivered to Canada.</p> <p>Question:</p> <p>There seems to be a reference error in this section. Can PWGSC clarify this paragraph?</p>	<p>government purposes, the written material related to the Work that is delivered to Canada.</p> <p>If the Contract does not require the delivery of any written material in both of Canada's official languages, Canada may translate the written material into the other official language. The Contractor acknowledges that Canada owns the rights on the translation and that Canada is under no obligation to provide the translation to the Contractor. Canada agrees that any translation must include any copyright and any proprietary right notice that was part of the original.</p>
33.	RFP Solicitation	7.15 Paragraph 3 Page 31	<p>...The Contractor must forward to the Contracting Authority within ten (10) days after the date of award of the Contract, a Certificate of Insurance evidencing the insurance coverage and confirming that the insurance policy complying with the requirements is in force. Coverage must be placed with an Insurer licensed to carry out business in Canada,</p>	<p>Yes, if requested, a certified notarized copy of Evidence of Coverage would be accepted in lieu of a true copy of all applicable insurance policies.</p>

N° de l'invitation - Solicitation No.

N° de la modif - Amd. No.

Id de l'acheteur - Buyer ID

M7594-153234/E

002

107zl

N° de réf. du client - Client Ref. No.

File No. - N° du dossier

N° CCC / CCC No./ N° VME - FMS

M7594-153234

107zl.M7594-153234

Comments Received Table Error! No text of specified style in document.-2: RFP Questions and Answers – Set #3

Q #	Document Reference	Section Reference	Question / Comment	PSPC/RCMP Response
			<p>however, for Foreign-based Contractors, coverage must be placed with an Insurer with an A.M. Best Rating no less than "A-".The Contractor must, if requested by the Contracting Authority, forward to Canada a certified true copy of all applicable insurance policies.</p> <p>Question:</p> <p>Would the PWGSC accept a certified / notarized copy of Evidence of Coverage (Certificate of Liability Insurance) as a true copy in lieu of a certified true copy of all applicable insurance policies? Would an Evidence of Coverage fulfill this requirement?</p>	
34.	RFP Solicitation	Appendix B Table 1-1 Page 33	<p>Table 1-1 does not include a line item for the Hand Held Scanners required under SOW Appendix A, Page 27, Section 3.3.2 3.</p> <p>Question:</p> <p>Can the PWGSC update Table 1-1 to include the Hand Held Scanners?</p>	<p>There is no requirement for PSPC to include a line item for the hand held scanners. Appendix B states "The bidder may add or remove items to any table below to suit their proposal". It is impossible to know an itemized list for every possible Bidder; therefore, trying to update this table would be futile.</p>

N° de l'invitation - Solicitation No.

N° de la modif - Amd. No.

Id de l'acheteur - Buyer ID

M7594-153234/E

002

107zl

N° de réf. du client - Client Ref. No.

File No. - N° du dossier

N° CCC / CCC No./ N° VME - FMS

M7594-153234

107zl.M7594-153234

Comments Received Table Error! No text of specified style in document.-2: RFP Questions and Answers – Set #3

Q #	Document Reference	Section Reference	Question / Comment	PSPC/RCMP Response
35.	RFP Solicitation	Appendix B Paragraph 9 Table 9-1 Page 38	<p>9. Total Bid Evaluation Value is the sum of the totals of table 1-1 through 9-9 with the exception that the value used for Table 9-1 is 100 hours of the highest rate only.</p> <p>Question:</p> <p>Please clarify if there is a typo where the Total Bid Evaluation Value is the sum of the totals of table 1-1 through 8-1 and not 9-9. Also Item 9 in table 9-1 should be removed and Item 8 should be described as Total Table 8-1 (only highest rate used).</p>	Please refer to the amendment posted 2016-07-26 correcting this table.
36.	RFP Solicitation	Appendix B Paragraph 10 Page 38	<p><i>Optional Firm Lot Prices for the Optional Functionality. The Contractor agrees to provide Canada with the option to procurement additional matching capacity at the same or similar pricing (adjusted for inflation or for new technology) included in Table 1-1. The Contractor must provide a cost breakdown in the following Table 10-1 to show the cost</i></p>	Appendix B, paragraph 10 states "The Contractor agrees to provide Canada with the option to procurement additional matching capacity". Additional matching capacity is considered additional transaction workload (i.e. transactions per hour). However, any additional matching capacity must be able to satisfy the requirements which would also expect to include an increase in database size. That is, if more transactions are processed per

N° de l'invitation - Solicitation No.

N° de la modif - Amd. No.

Id de l'acheteur - Buyer ID

M7594-153234/E

002

107zl

N° de réf. du client - Client Ref. No.

File No. - N° du dossier

N° CCC / CCC No./ N° VME - FMS

M7594-153234

107zl.M7594-153234

Comments Received Table Error! No text of specified style in document.-2: RFP Questions and Answers – Set #3

Q #	Document Reference	Section Reference	Question / Comment	PSPC/RCMP Response
			<p><i>of each type of matching capability.</i></p> <p><i>There must be a correlation between the cost breakdown and the pricing provided in Table 1-1.</i></p> <p><i>That is, it must be clear how the cost for additional matching capacity correlates to pricing in Table 1-1. This Table 10-1 will not be used in the bid evaluation value since this is a breakdown of pricing already included.</i></p> <p>Comment:</p> <p><i>The previous LOI version of the RFP included a specific workload increase (i.e., 1,200 transactions per hour) for the pricing of additional matching capacity and this information is now removed in the final version of the RFP. As such, it is not clear what the pricing in Table 10-1 should be based upon.</i></p> <p>Question:</p> <p>Can the PWGSC clarify what the pricing in Table 10-1 should be based upon, i.e., a specific increase in</p>	<p>hour, the RCMP would expect the database size would increase and the solution must support both aspects of the requirement.</p>

N° de l'invitation - Solicitation No.

N° de la modif - Amd. No.

Id de l'acheteur - Buyer ID

M7594-153234/E

002

107zl

N° de réf. du client - Client Ref. No.

File No. - N° du dossier

N° CCC / CCC No./ N° VME - FMS

M7594-153234

107zl.M7594-153234

Comments Received Table Error! No text of specified style in document.-2: RFP Questions and Answers – Set #3

Q #	Document Reference	Section Reference	Question / Comment	PSPC/RCMP Response
			transaction workload or a specific increase in database size?	
37.	RFP Solicitation	Appendix B Paragraph 10 Table 10-1 Page 39	<p>Table 10-1 lumps the AFIS and VSS components together under Ten Print Matching.</p> <p>Question:</p> <p>As Ten Print Matching for Civil and Criminal transaction processing is handled by the AFIS Subsystem, and Ten Print Matching for VER transaction processing is handled by the VSS Subsystem, can Table 10-1 be updated to separate these two matching capabilities so that pricing information can be clearly presented by the Bidders?</p>	Please refer to Q#34. "The bidder may add or remove items to any table below to suit their proposal". Consequently, this table will not be updated by PSPC.
38.	Appendix A SOW	Section 1.5.3 Paragraphs 1 & 2 Page 4	<p>1. The RCMP/SSC has a mature release and change management process. The Contractor must adhere to the RCMP/SSC current change management policy found at (this link is only available on the RCMP's intranet): (M)</p>	Please refer to Q#10.

N° de l'invitation - Solicitation No.

N° de la modif - Amd. No.

Id de l'acheteur - Buyer ID

M7594-153234/E

002

107zl

N° de réf. du client - Client Ref. No.

File No. - N° du dossier

N° CCC / CCC No./ N° VME - FMS

M7594-153234

107zl.M7594-153234

Comments Received Table Error! No text of specified style in document.-2: RFP Questions and Answers – Set #3

Q #	Document Reference	Section Reference	Question / Comment	PSPC/RCMP Response
			<p>http://infoweb.rcmp-grc.gc.ca/cio/cm-gc/index-eng.htm</p> <p>2. The RCMP will provide the Contractor with a printed copy of the material found in the above link, upon request. (I)</p> <p>Question: Can the PWCSG provide this Bidder with a printed version of the referenced document?</p>	
39.	Appendix A SOW and Attachment 1 to Appendix G	Section 1.6.1.5 Paragraph 1 Page 6 Section 5.2.3 Page 31	<p>Section 1.6.1.5:</p> <p>1. At no cost to Canada, benchmark testing must be completed in North America (i.e. Canada or continental USA) at a location proposed by the Contractor and agreed to by the RCMP. (M)""</p> <p>Section 5.2.3: The Benchmark Evaluation Team will consist of approximately six (6) representatives from the RCMP and its contractors and PWGSC."</p> <p>Question A: Please clarify if the cost of travel and expenses for</p>	Canada will be responsible for all costs associated with PSPC/RCMP personnel involved in the Benchmark testing. There may be more than six (6) representatives. Canada does not see this number to be relevant. If after providing this clarification the Bidder still requires a more accurate number, please provide a follow-up question. The number is not expected to exceed eight (8) representatives.

N° de l'invitation - Solicitation No.

N° de la modif - Amd. No.

Id de l'acheteur - Buyer ID

M7594-153234/E

002

107zl

N° de réf. du client - Client Ref. No.

File No. - N° du dossier

N° CCC / CCC No./ N° VME - FMS

M7594-153234

107zl.M7594-153234

Comments Received Table Error! No text of specified style in document.-2: RFP Questions and Answers – Set #3

Q #	Document Reference	Section Reference	Question / Comment	PSPC/RCMP Response
			<p>RCMP personnel for the benchmark testing is to be paid for by the bidder and if it is yes, please provide further details in order for the bidders to be able to price accordingly.</p> <p>Question B:</p> <p>Can the PWGS confirm that the representatives will not exceed six (6) (for calculating price purposes)?</p>	

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN THE SAME.