

## Request for Standing Offers #264 (“RFSO”) Special Examination Auditing Services

### Question & Answer # 3

This Question & Answer #3, including any appendices attached hereto (the “Addendum”), amends and clarifies Request for Standing Offers #264 (“RFSO”) Special Examination Auditing Services, as previously amended and clarified (the “RFSO”). The RFSO otherwise remains unchanged and any capitalized words not defined herein have the meaning ascribed thereto in the RFSO.

23. With respect to rated requirement A.2, can you provide some details regarding the scoring of the 3 projects with respect to each element. We have significant experience in the conduct of similar projects with federal government departments and agencies and have some experience with crown corporations on different types of projects but do not have 3 similar projects with crown corporation and would like to know how this will impact our scoring.

**Answer:** Please refer to #11 in Question and Answer #1. With respect to A.2(iii), the evaluation will focus on the relevance and similarity in the nature of the business operations and processes rather than the type of organization. (Underline added for emphasis).

24. Also, would you consider modifying element iii to accept projects with federal government departments and agencies? We understand it is not exactly the same, but there are a number of similarities in their context of operations.

**Answer:** No modification required. Please refer to #23 above.

25. In rated requirement A.2, three (3) projects will be evaluated on the basis of their relevance and similarity to the OAG’s requirements. The list provided by the OAG is comprised of Crown Corporations. For the purpose of this rated requirement, will the OAG accept project summaries from Government departments and Agencies whose nature of operations are similar to the Crown Corporations listed in Appendix A of the RFSO.?

**Answer:** Please refer to #23 above.

26. The following question is a follow-up to questions #3 and #13 previously submitted to the OAG.

We understand that the OAG is looking for a diverse team of professionals with the depth and breadth of experience to meet the requirements of the planned Special Examinations. In order to fully demonstrate the breadth and depth of experience of our personnel, it would be limiting to include their resumes within the 40-page limit. In order to convey the full experience of our proposed personnel, we kindly request that resumes included as appendices in the technical proposal not be considered as part of the 40-page limit

**Answer:** Please refer to #3 and #13 in Question and Answer #1. We expect Bidders to optimize the content and format of their submission while respecting the page limit described in section 4.1 of the RFSO. Any information contained in exceeding the stipulated maximum may not be evaluated, in the OAG's sole and absolute discretion.