



## RETURN BIDS TO:

## RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions  
- TPSGC

11 Laurier St./ 11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

## SOLICITATION AMENDMENT

## MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

### Comments - Commentaires

This document contains a security requirement.

Ce document contient une condition de sécurité.

### Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du  
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

### Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Maintenance & Professional Consulting Services  
Division (FK)

11 Laurier St./ 11, rue Laurier

3C2, Place du Portage, Phase III

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

<b>Title - Sujet</b> Tunney's Pasture Redevelopment - In	
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> EP168-170312/A	<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 005
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> 20170312	<b>Date</b> 2016-09-14
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$\$FK-289-71383	
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> fk289.EP168-170312	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> <b>on - le 2016-10-14</b>	
<b>Time Zone</b> Fuseau horaire Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT	
<b>F.O.B. - F.A.B.</b> <b>Plant-Usine:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Destination:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Other-Autre:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Maquiling(fk div), Amalia O.	<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> fk289
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (873) 469-4886 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> (819) 956-3600
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b>	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>	<b>Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée</b>
<b>Vendor/Firm Name and Address</b> <b>Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur</b>	
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> <b>Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur</b>	
<b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm</b> <b>(type or print)</b> <b>Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/</b> <b>de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b>	
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>

This solicitation amendment 005 is issued to answer questions raised from the industry.

Q1. As specified on page 8 of 33 in the RFP, Part 3 - Bid Preparation Instructions, point 1, can soft copies of the proposal be submitted on a USB or CD as well, or must it be only on a DVD?

*R1. Yes USB and CD's will be accepted as well.*

Q2. The approved Master Plan for Tunney's Pasture developed by HOK notes two background reports that would be useful for filling out the estimated time Appendix A Deliverables and Meetings.

The two reports are;

*'Tunney's Pasture History and Heritage Character' Fern Graham 2003*

*'Tunney's Pasture Background Report and Analysis' Corporate Research Group n.d.*

Will these reports be made available?

*R2. No other additional information will be supplied before contract award.*

Q3. Could you clarify the following project requirements / deliverables:

-Section 7.1.3 Infrastructure Upgrade and Divestiture Strategy Report pg. 33 Landscape Design notes the requirement to undertake a Cultural heritage impact statement as well as an Archeological resource assessment.

-In the Appendix A Project Deliverables Table A1 pg. 57 Document No. A.2.17 Document Title – Archaeological Resource Plan is identified as a deliverable and the Cultural Heritage Impact Statement has been omitted.

Is a Cultural Heritage Impact Statement a required deliverable?

*R3. Yes. Please see changes to the Terms of Reference page 5, Table A.2 items A.17a), b) and c).*

Q4. Can you please clarify if RT2 – Supplemental Personnel are to be included in the Work Breakdown Structure for each project Deliverable?

*R4. The bidder is responsible for providing a clear description of the structure it will implement for the successful execution of all deliverables. This should include all personnel required for each deliverable.*

Q5. This question requests confirmation of the responses to Questions 3, 4 and 5 of Solicitation Amendment 002:

We ask PSPC to confirm its response to questions 3, 4 and 5, since the response in Solicitation Amendment 002 is inconsistent with our experience in other projects with Public Services and Procurement Canada. Appendices A.2 and A.3 include a number of intermediate deliverables leading to summary final documents. It is our experience in prior projects that PSPC usually requires translation of final documents, not intermediate documents. In addition, Deliverables Table A.1 includes a number of items that our experience in other PSPC projects would not be translated. To ensure a fair and equitable rating of all bids, we would like confirmation that all 27 of these documents in tables A.2 and A.3, and all of the project management reports in A.1 require translation, as that will have notable implications on the overall project price and timeline.

*R5. Please see changes to Terms of Reference Appendix A Tables A.1, A.2 and A.3. An additional column has been added to clarify the translation requirements. If not otherwise stated in the tables, only final versions of the deliverables will be required in both official languages.*

Table A.1 Project Management Deliverables Table

Document Number	Document Title	Version	Delivery Date	Required in both official languages	Number of Paper Copies
A.1.1	Kickoff Meeting	Final	Within 5 working days of Contract Award		NA
A.1.2	Meeting Agendas	Final	3 working days prior to meeting	Yes	NA
A.1.3	Meeting Minutes	Final	Within 2 working days of meeting	Yes	NA
A.1.4	Monthly Program Review Meetings		Once a month		NA
A.1.5	Monthly Report	Final	Within 5 working days after month end	Yes	NA
A.1.6	Preliminary Project Plan	Draft	With bid		5
A.1.7	Project Management Plan	Draft	10 working days after Kickoff Meeting		5
		Final	20 working days after Kickoff Meeting	Yes	5
A.1.8	Risk and Issues Registry	Initial	15 working days after Kickoff Meeting		5
A.1.9	Communications Plan	Draft	With bid		5
		Final	10 working days after Kickoff Meeting	Yes	5
A.1.10	Final Data Package				NA
A.1.11	Identification Close Out Document (ICOD)	Draft	20 days from final Phase 1 IAR Submission and Approval		NA
		Final	30 days from final Phase 1 IAR Submission and Approval	Yes	NA
A.1.12	ICOD Presentation				NA

Table A.2 Investment Program Plan Deliverables Table

Document/ Task Number	Document Title	Version	Delivery Date	Required in both official languages	Number of Paper Copies
A.2.1	Program Analysis Report	Draft			5
		Final		Yes	5
A.2.2	Commercial Space Study	Draft			5
		Final		Yes	5
A.2.3	Strategic Building Condition Review Report	Draft			5
		Final		Yes	5
A.2.4	Infrastructure Integration and Divestiture Strategy	Draft			5
		Final		Yes	5
A.2.5	Master Servicing and Storm Water Management Study and Plan				5
A.2.6	Master grading plan			Yes	5

A.2.7	<del>Master servicing plan</del>				5
A.2.8	Geotechnical investigation			Yes	5
A.2.9	Noise and vibration study			Yes	5
A.2.10	Composite Utility Plan			Yes	5
A.2.11	Erosion and sediment control plan			Yes	5
A.2.12	Survey plans of rights of way and road cross sections and profiles			Yes	5
A.2.13	District Energy Integration Strategy Report			Yes	5
A.2.14	Community Transportation Study			Yes	5
A.2.15	Business Case for connection to Sir John A Macdonald parkway			Yes	5
A.2.16	Parking Strategy			Yes	5
A.2.17 a)	Archeological Resource Assessment			Yes	5
A.2.17 b)	Cultural heritage impact assessment			Yes	5
A.2.17 c)	Landscape design guidelines			Yes	5
A.2.18	Investment Program Plan Feasibility Report	Draft			5
		Final		Yes	5
A.2.19	IPP Strategic Environmental Assessment			Yes	5
A.2.20	IPP Sustainability Conformance Report			Yes	5
A.2.21	IPP Environmental Regulatory Requirements Report			Yes	5
A.2.22	IPP Executive Summary	Draft			5
		Final		Yes	5

Table A.3 Phase 1 Redevelopment Project Deliverables Table

Document Number	Document Title	Version	Delivery Date	Required in both official languages	Number of Paper Copies
A.3.1	Phase 1 Project Feasibility Report	Draft			5
		Final		Yes	5
A.3.2	Phase 1 Sustainability Conformance Report			Yes	5
A.3.3	Phase 1 Environmental Regulatory Requirements Report			Yes	5
A.3.4	Investment Analysis Report	Draft			5
		Final		Yes	5
A.3.5	Market Sounding Report			Yes	5

No other changes apply.