



RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:
Public Works and Government Services Canada
ATB Place North Tower
10025 Jasper Ave./10025 ave. Jasper
5th floor/5e étage
Edmonton
Alberta
T5J 1S6
Bid Fax: (780) 497-3510

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
Public Works and Government Services Canada
ATB Place North Tower
10025 Jasper Ave./10025 ave Jasper
5th floor/5e étage
Edmonton
Alberta
T5J 1S6

Title - Sujet Structural Slab Repair Lethbridge	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EP922-171474/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 001
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client CFIA EP922-171474	Date 2016-10-14
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$PWU-909-10877	
File No. - N° de dossier PWU-6-39172 (909)	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2016-10-31	
Time Zone Fuseau horaire Mountain Daylight Saving Time MDT	
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Mayhew (RPC), Sylvia	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur pwu909
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (780) 497-3645 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (780) 497-3510
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: Public Works and Government Services Canada on behalf of Canadian Food Inspection Agency, Lethbridge, AB	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

This amendment is raised to add Addendum Number One (1) and to make the following changes:

ADD: ADDENDUM ONE (1) – see PDF attached

**UNDER: SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS AND EVALUATION (SRE's),
SECTION 3: TECHNICAL EVALUATION**

ADD: Generic Technical Evaluation Table

PWGSC Evaluation Board members will evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of the Proponent's response to the evaluation criteria and will rate each criterion with using the generic Technical Evaluation Table Appendix 4.

ADD: Appendix 4 - Generic Evaluation Table – see PDF attached.

**UNDER: Introduction,
Paragraph two, delete in its entirety and replace with the following:**

The objective of this RFP is to retain an individual Contractor or Joint Venture to provide the complete design-build work to mitigate the settlement issues experienced in the General Services Building (GSB) slab on grade. Utilizing a D-B service delivery methodology, a full range of professional consultant and contractor services will be required during both the design and construction phases of the project. *The work consists of rehabilitation work to be performed to improve conditions of the building, which may include, but not limited to, the following:*

application for building permit, partial slab demolition, sub-slab crawl space expansion, foundation, underpinning, new piling, structural slab repair and reconstruction, in-slab mechanical radiant heating system, site grading and drainage, landscape, interior finishing/architectural improvement, and commissioning. the design and construction of the General Services Building, Slab Repair work, as more fully described in the Terms of Reference.

End of Amendment

ADDENDUM 001

Date:

Oct 13, 2016

TO ALL BIDDERS OF RECORD

General Notes:

1. This Addendum is used prior to Tender closing to provide for certain revisions as noted herein.
 2. All such revisions will become part of the Work and the effects shall be included in the Tender Price.
-

1.0 REFERENCE Terms of Reference Section 3.3.1.11

1.1 Delete the Notes below:

Monitoring

...

3. *Departmental Representative will be checking at regular intervals as directed after underpinning is complete.*
4. *Monitoring will be considered complete once vertical and horizontal movements have stabilized and up to a maximum of five (5) years.*
5. *Monitoring of the structure is not part of this project.*

1.2 Replace with the Notes below:

Monitoring

...

3. *Contractor is required to survey the elevation of the General Services Building and record the settlement on an annual basis after underpinning is complete and will prepare and submit yearly reports of the settlement data to PWGSC and CFIA. There will be minimum four (4) settlement reports for year 0 (for the initial building elevation at substantial completion), year 1, 2, and 3.*
4. *Monitoring will be considered complete once vertical and horizontal movements have stabilized for three (3) years after substantial completion of the project. In the event that any building settlement is observed after substantial completion, contractor is responsible to rectify the settlement problems immediately until the issue is resolved.*
5. *Monitoring of the structure is part of the contractor's scope of work. A holdback will be withheld for the monitoring activities until three years after substantial completion (if there are no settlements noted) OR until contractor has fully completed the foundation and settlement remediation to ensure there are no more settlements experienced in the General Services Building.*

TECHNICAL EVALUATION TABLE - APPENDIX 4

A consensus 0-10 rating score will be determined by the board for each criterion. In order for each board member to share a common understanding of the evaluation scale, the following table will be used:

NON RESPONSIVE 0 point	INADEQUATE 1 point	POOR 2 - 3 points	WEAK 4 points	JUST ACCEPTABLE 5 points	ACCEPTABLE 6 - 7 points	GOOD 8 points	VERY GOOD 9 points	EXCELLENT 10 points
Did not submit information which could be evaluated	Absolutely inadequate	Slightly or substantially below the desirable minimum	Just fails to meet the desirable minimum	Just meets the desirable minimum	Meets the desirable minimum	Slightly exceeds the desirable minimum	More than satisfies desirable minimum	Exceptionally strong proposal
	Weaknesses can't be corrected	Generally doubtful that weaknesses can be corrected	Weaknesses can be corrected	Weaknesses can easily be corrected	No significant weaknesses	No significant weaknesses	No apparent weaknesses	No apparent weaknesses
	Proponent lacks qualifications and experience	Proponent generally lacks qualifications and experience	Proponent just below minimum qualifications and experience	Proponent has minimum qualifications and experience	Proponent is qualified and experienced	Proponent is well qualified and experienced	Proponent is highly qualified and experienced	Proponent is exceptionally qualified and experienced
	Team proposed is not likely able to meet requirements	Team is weak - either missing components or overall experience is weak	Team not quite capable of fulfilling requirements as presented	Team capable of just fulfilling requirements	Team covers all components and will likely meet requirements	Team covers all components and more than likely will meet requirements	Strong team - some members have previously worked together	Exceptional team - has worked well together before on comparable work
	Sample projects not related to this project's needs	Sample projects generally not related to this project's needs	Sample projects only marginally related to this project's needs	Sample projects somewhat related to this project's needs	Sample projects generally related to this project's needs	Sample projects are related to this project's needs	Sample projects directly related to this project's needs	Took the lead in projects directly related to this project's needs
	Extremely poor, insufficient to meet performance requirements	Little capability to meet performance requirements	Just below acceptable capability	Minimum acceptable capability, should meet minimum performance	Average capability, should be adequate for effective results	Above average capability	Superior capability, should ensure effective results	Exceptional capability, should ensure extremely effective results