



**RETURN BIDS TO:**

**RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:**

Public Works and Government Services Canada  
ATB Place North Tower  
10025 Jasper Ave./10025 ave. Jaspe  
5th floor/5e étage  
Edmonton  
Alberta  
T5J 1S6  
Bid Fax: (780) 497-3510

**Revision to a Request for a Standing Offer**

**Révision à une demande d'offre à commandes**

Departmental Individual Standing Offer (DISO)  
Offre à commandes individuelle du département(OCID)

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Offer remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'offre demeurent les mêmes.

**Comments - Commentaires**

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address**

Raison sociale et adresse du  
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

**Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution**

Public Works and Government Services Canada  
ATB Place North Tower  
10025 Jasper Ave./10025 ave Jasper  
5th floor/5e étage  
Edmonton  
Alberta  
T5J 1S6

<b>Title - Sujet</b> Architectural Services DISO	
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> E0209-171193/A	<b>Date</b> 2016-10-24
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> VARIOUS E0209-171193	<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 003
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> PWU-6-39136 (023)	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$PWU-023-10855	
<b>Date of Original Request for Standing Offer</b> Date de la demande de l'offre à commandes originale	
2016-09-08	
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> <b>on - le 2016-11-04</b>	
<b>Time Zone</b> Fuseau horaire Mountain Daylight Saving Time MDT	
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Taylor (RPC), Ian	<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> pwu023
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (780) 566-9487 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> (780) 497-3510
<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>	
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b>	
<b>Security - Sécurité</b> This revision does not change the security requirements of the Offer. Cette révision ne change pas les besoins en matière de sécurité de la présente offre.	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

<b>Acknowledgement copy required</b> <b>Accusé de réception requis</b>	<b>Yes - Oui</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	<b>No - Non</b> <input type="checkbox"/>
<b>The Offeror hereby acknowledges this revision to its Offer.</b> <b>Le proposant constate, par la présente, cette révision à son offre.</b>		
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of offeror. (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du proposant. (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)		
<b>For the Minister - Pour le Ministre</b>		

**This solicitation amendment has been raised to extend the solicitation period and to respond to questions posed by potential bidders:**

REVISED CLOSING DATE OF:  
02:00 PM on 2016-11-04

Question 1.

Will PWGSC send another APPENDIX B - Price proposal that will include a space for the hourly rates of an interior designer?

Response 1.

No. Interior designer positions are not part of the evaluated positions. Proponents would identify costs associated with unlisted positions under the 'Sub-consultant/specialists' section in a proposal. Response to question 7 from Amendment 001 is to be corrected to: *"Interior Design services will be required for Interior Fit-Up projects and possibly in support on other assignments. Identify and include senior, junior and other levels of interior design as appropriate in their proposal."*

Question 2.

On page 65 of 72 of the RFSO, Appendix B – Price Proposal, replace article 4 with the following:

4. In order to ensure that fair and competitive hourly rates are received for each of the positions listed, the following requirement must be strictly adhered to: proponents must provide an hourly rate for each listed position. In the event that the firm consists of fewer personnel than listed, provide an hourly rate that corresponds with each position listed. Within the architects and technologists positions, the hourly rate provided must be equal to or greater than the hourly rate provided for the position listed below it. For example, if the firm does not have an Intermediate Personnel, the hourly rate provided must be equal to or greater than the hourly rate provided for the Junior Personnel. The hourly rate for any given category of personnel cannot be \$0 or nil value. Failure to insert an hourly rate for each position listed will render your proposal non-responsive.

Question 3.

Regarding Submission Requirements SRE 2.2 maximum pages in response "vary in accordance, with the number of zones being proposed".

If the maximum number of projects submitted is 3 (not dependent upon the number of zones being proposed), the number of key senior personnel is limited to 2 and the number of project personnel is limited to 2 (not dependent upon the number of zones being proposed), then we believe this will give proponents who are responding to more zones an advantage by increasing the page limit of their proposal. This would allow more explanatory material for each required response.

I don't believe this is your intent.

As background, in prior Requests for Proposals for these Standing Offers, the number of projects and personnel was multiplied by the number of zones the Proponent was responding to. In this RFP you are limiting the number of projects and personnel resumes no matter how many zones the Proponent is responding to.

Please clarify whether the number of pages increases with the number of zones being responded to or whether the number of projects and personnel is multiplied by the number of zones being responded to.

Response 3.

Addendum 1, question 1 is corrected with following response. See Addendum 2, question 10 which confirms that projects submitted are based on number of zones proposed. Number of key senior personnel and project personnel submitted is based on number of zones proposed.

Question 4.

In the TOR included, In 1.2.3 Architectural Services for Building Projects, 1.2.3.1.5 reads "Refer to appendices in the project specific TOR for site plan and Photographs of existing conditions". Same with 1.2.3.2.4 "Refer to appendices in the project specific TOR for roof plan and photographs of existing conditions". Same with 1.2.3.3.4 "Project comprises Fit-up of the 2nd and 3rd floors (refer to location plans in the project specific TOR). Were these issued?

Response 4.

Wording is as an example for future project specific ToR. No appendix or floor plans related to future project specific ToR was intended for issuance with Architectural DISO ToR.

Question 5.

For Project #1, does the hypothetical project require only programming and design phases and NOT construction document, bid, construction and post construction phases?

Response 5.

No – The Firehall project includes all services up to the end of the Post Construction phase.

**All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.**