



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

**Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions
- TPSGC**

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

11 Laurier St./11, rue Laurier

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT

MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

**Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Miscellaneous Special Projects Division (XN)/Division
des projets spéciaux divers (XN)
Canadian Building
219 Laurier Ave. West, 13th Floor
Room 13077
Ottawa
Ontario
K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet TA Vehicle	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation G9292-176319/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 006
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client G9292-176319	Date 2016-11-15
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$XN-110-30319	
File No. - N° de dossier 110xn.G9292-176319	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2016-11-28	
Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Standard Time EST	
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Yang, Annie	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 110xn
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 654-5745 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

AMENDMENT 006

**THE SOLICITATION AMENDMENT # 006 IS RAISED TO MODIFY THE BID SOLICITATION
AND TO ANSWER QUESTIONS FROM THE INDUSTRY.**

SECTION A: MODIFICATIONS**MODIFICATION # 002**

Reference: Mandatory Criteria M1 in Attachment 4.1 Corporate Evaluation Criteria

Modification # 002:

The table for minimum billable days requirements under M1 is hereby deleted and replaced with the following:

TBIPS ID / Resource Category	Oracle SOA Suite	Oracle BPM Suite
A.1 Application/Software Architect	960	720
A.6 Programmer/Software Developer	1440	960
I.11 Technology Architect	960	720

MODIFICATION # 003

Reference: Rated Criteria R1 in Attachment 4.1 Corporate Evaluation Criteria

Modification # 003:

The Example Evaluation Scenario Table under R1 is hereby deleted and replaced with the following:

	EXAMPLE EVALUATION SCENARIO			
Resource Category	Billable Days			
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
	Billable Days Provided By Bidder	Minimum Identified Under M-1	Bidder Excess	Bidder % Excess
			(C)=(A)-(B)	Minimum: 0 Maximum: 100 (D)=(C)/(B)*100
A.1 Application/Software Architect	1440	960	480	50.0
A.6 Programmer/Software Developer	1440	1440	0	0.0
I.11Technology Architect	5500	960	4540	100.0
BIDDER SCORE = SUM OF (D) FOR ALL 3 CATEGORIES / 3				50.0

SECTION B: QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS**QUESTION # 004**

Reference: Mandatory Criteria M1 in Attachment 4.1

Question:

Mandatory requirement M1 within Attachment 4.1 of the RFP contains a requirement for demonstrating a number of billable days by resource category and domain. While the total maximum number of 5 contracts looks reasonable and the billable days for the Application/Software Architect look reasonable, when comparing with the anticipated usage, the Programmer/Software Developer and Technology Architect for the Oracle SOA Suite look unjustifiably high. Would the Crown agree to reduce the billable days for M1 for these two categories from 1,920 to 1,500 for the A.6 Programmer/Software Developer and from 1,440 to 1,000 for the I.11 Technology Architect for the SOA Suite domain only? This would bring them in line with the predicted usage. If not, please explain why the levels required are so extraordinarily high for these two categories alone.

Answer:

ESDC has taken the question for M1 into consideration and agrees to lower the minimum billable days under the SOA Suite domain for the A.6 Programmer/Software Developer to 1,440 days and for the I.11 Technology Architect to 960 days. This will also result in a change to R1. Please refer to Modification # 002 and 003.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN THE SAME.