



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC/Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC

11 Laurier St./11, rue Laurier
Place du Portage, Phase III
Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau
Quebec

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

**Revision to a Request for Supply
Arrangement - Révision à une demande
pour un arrangement en matière
d'approvisionnement**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless
otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of
the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf
indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation
demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

**Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Professional Services Online & Temporary Help
Services Division/Division des services professionnels
en ligne et d'aide temporaire
11 Laurier St./11, rue Laurier
10C1, Place du Portage III
Gatineau
Quebec
K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet TSPS SA Solutions Recompetition	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation E60ZT-16TSSB/B	Date 2016-11-18
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client E60ZT-16TSSB	Amendment No. - N° modif. 003
File No. - N° de dossier 016zt.E60ZT-16TSSB	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$ZT-016-30568	
Date of Original Request for Supply Arrangement 2016-11-01 Date de demande pour un arrangement en matière d'app. originale	
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2016-12-12	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Charland, Claudia	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 016zt
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (873) 469-4458 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (819) 956-9235
Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	
Security - Sécurité This revision does not change the security requirements of the solicitation. Cette révision ne change pas les besoins en matière de sécurité de l'invitation.	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Acknowledgement copy required	Yes - Oui	No - Non
Accusé de réception requis	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The Offeror hereby acknowledges this revision to its Offer. Le proposant constate, par la présente, cette révision à son offre.		
Signature	Date	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of offeror. (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du proposant. (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)		
For the Minister - Pour le Ministre		

**E60ZT-16TSSB/B
Amendment 003**

This amendment is raised to:

A. Provide answers to questions from bidders.

A. Questions and Answers

Q.6

As an existing TSPS Supplier on both Supply Arrangements, not wishing to qualify for any additional Streams or Tiers.

- A. Can we make use of our Grandfather Rights, if our previously submitted Project Summaries are no longer within the required date range?
- B. If not able to Grandfather, do we have to re-submit all of our Project Summaries for the Streams and Tiers, or only replace the ones that are out of date?

A.6

- A. Yes. Through the submission of the Grandfather Certification, Existing SA Suppliers are not required to re-qualify for any Streams for which they already have a SA.
- B. N/A. Please refer to A.6 A above

Q.7

- A. Is there a specific format or template you want the data in the "Mandatory Technical Evaluation Criteria to be provided?
- B. How do we verify that all "Currently Offered Streams" are properly carried over? Does this just involve the submission of the grandfather certification or do we have to resubmit much the same data we would if we were a new bidder.
- C. It says in the introductory paragraph that "No less than three (3) and no more than five (5) projects are to be submitted per Stream" but at a later point it makes reference to a minimum of 500k billed to qualify for Tier 1. My question is are these tied in any way or are they separate and distinct? We are a firm that has many smaller contracts so we have no 5 projects that would ever come close to a combined 500k. Do we have to submit details of a sufficient number of contracts to hit the 500k mark or is that data culled from our reporting sheets?

A.7

- A. Yes. All Bidders must submit their online response template through the Data Collection Component (DCC) of the Centralized Professional Services System (CPSS) e-Portal. Please refer to Attachment C to Component 1 "Guide to Centralized Professional Services System Data Collection Component Bidding for navigation instructions.

- B. The DCC will identify all previously awarded Streams as being 'currently offered'. You can compare what the system has identified with the streams identified in your current Annex E "Qualified Streams". Through the submission of the Grandfather Certification, Existing Suppliers are not required to re-qualify for any Streams. For navigation instructions related to "Currently Offered Streams" in the DCC, please refer to Step 12 of Attachment B to Part 4.
- C. M.3a and M.3e are linked. M3e "Total Dollar Value per Stream" is comprised of the total cumulative value of the three to five projects submitted. As per instructions under M.3, additional proposed project summaries above the maximum number will not be evaluated.

Another method of supply for consideration is ProServices, however it is task driven and not solution based. It has the same streams as TSPS Solutions, but broken into categories. ProServices mandatory criteria is less strict than that of TSPS. ProServices currently has their last quarterly refresh open which is set to close December 31, 2016. The ProServices tender notice can be found here: <https://buyandsell.gc.ca/procurement-data/tender-notice/PW-ZT-009-27511>

Q.8

Under section M.3 Project Summaries per Stream, can you advise if project summaries that relate to ongoing projects may be submitted, if certain phases of that project are complete?

A.8

No. Although a Bidder can present different phases of a single project as a separate Project Summary in order for each of the phases to be considered as individual "projects", each of the projects submitted must have been "completed" within the last five (5) years prior to the RFSA solicitation closing date. The end date of the project must fall within this five year period.

Q.9

In an earlier Q&A, it was indicated that for TSPS SA/SO re-competition (E60ZT-16TSPS/B) "as per Component 1, Attachment A, M.3.1: '...The second email address can be from an alternate email address for the first reference (e.g. a work email address versus a personal email address) or an email address of a completely separate individual representing the same project.'"

Does this also apply to the TSPS SA Solutions re-competition (E60ZT-16TSSB/B) Reference Check? In other words, can a bidder supply a completely separate individual representing the same project as a reference (Attachment A, Part 4, M.4)?

A.9

Yes. The alternate email address can be from a completely separate individual representing the same project.

All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.