



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

**Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions
- TPSGC**

11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT

MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

**Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Informatics Professional Services Division / Division
des services professionnels en informatique

11 Laurier St., / 11, rue Laurier

4C2, Place du Portage

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet Integration Development	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation B8986-170040/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 005
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client B8986-170040	Date 2016-11-21
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$ZM-620-30574	
File No. - N° de dossier 620zm.B8986-170040	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2016-12-05	
Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Standard Time EST	
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: St-Jean Valois, Joanne	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 620zm
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (873) 469-4945 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (819) 956-1156
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

Solicitation No. – N° de l’invitation B8986-170040/A	Amd. No – N° de la modif. 005	Buyer ID – Id de l’acheteur 620ZM
Client Ref. No. – N° de réf. De client B8986-170040	File No. – N° du dossier 620ZM –B8986-170040	CCC No./ N° CCC – FMS No/ N° VME

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT 005

This amendment is raised to:

1. Provide answers to Bidder’s questions in relation to this solicitation; and
 2. Amend the Request for Proposal (RFP) as detailed in Appendix A-002 below.
-

Question #3:

Is there currently, or has there been within the past 6 months an incumbent (s) delivering any services similar to or identical to those being solicited in this RFP. If so who are the incumbents supplier, what has been the duration of the contract or contracts and the total value of the services provided to-date.

Answer #3:

Please refer to answer 1 of Amendment 3.

Question #4:

We have noticed that the RFP (e.g., Section 1.2 i), the Statement of Work (e.g., Section 2.2) and the Pricing Schedule (Attachment 4.3) all refer to three categories of resources, one of which is a Level 2 resource. However the evaluation criteria in Attachment 4.1 and 4.2 refer to two categories of resources, with two separate resources per category, both of which are Level 3. Will the Crown please confirm if bidders should provide responses to Attachments 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 with the resource categories as are currently identified in each?

Answer #4:

Yes, the Bidders are invite to provide responses to Attachments 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 with the resource categories as are currently identified in each. Also, please note that we have provided an Appendix C to Annex A with Amendment 2.

Question #5:

In the case that multiple contracts are awarded, will the Crown please confirm how requirements will be allocated to each contract/vendor over the life of the contracts?

Solicitation No. – N° de l'invitation B8986-170040/A	Amd. No – N° de la modif. 005	Buyer ID – Id de l'acheteur 620ZM
Client Ref. No. – N° de réf. De client B8986-170040	File No. – N° du dossier 620ZM –B8986-170040	CCC No./ N° CCC – FMS No/ N° VME

Answer #5:

Please see Appendix A-002 below.

Question #6:

Will the Crown please confirm if the proposed resources need to be exclusive to the bidder who proposes them, or if the same resource can be proposed by multiple bidders? It is understood that each bidder cannot propose the same resource for more than one role.

Answer #6:

The resources are not the exclusivity of the bidder. It is the Bidders responsibility to ensure resources proposed meet the certification requirements of the solicitation including certification of Professional Services Resources, Part 5, at article 5.2(a) (iii). Bidders are reminded that the Bidder must, upon request from the Contracting Authority, provide a written confirmation, signed by the individual, of the permission given to the Bidder and of his/her availability. Failure to comply with the request may result in the bid being declared non-responsive. Also, a Bidder must not proposed the same individual for more than one Resource Category.

Question #7:

RT10 appears to be the exact mirror of RT5, except the word "application" is missing:

*RT5 - The proposed resource should demonstrate experience translating ERP business or functional requirements into Service Oriented **application**.*

RT10 - The proposed resource should demonstrate experience translating ERP business or functional requirements into Service Oriented.

Would the Crown consider inserting the word "application" at the end of RT10?

Answer #7:

Canada has added the Word application in the RT10 criteria. See the revised attachment 4.2.

Question #8:

In order for vendors to provide a quality response to this RFP, we respectfully request that an additional two (2) week's extension be granted, for a Tuesday December 13, 2016 close date.

Answer #8:

The extension was granted until December 5, 2016, with the Amendment 4.

Solicitation No. – N° de l’invitation B8986-170040/A	Amd. No – N° de la modif. 005	Buyer ID – Id de l’acheteur 620ZM
Client Ref. No. – N° de réf. De client B8986-170040	File No. – N° du dossier 620ZM –B8986-170040	CCC No./ N° CCC – FMS No/ N° VME

Question #9:

Is there someone currently, or has there been someone in the past providing similar or relevant services? If yes, who has been providing these services, what is (or was) the contract value and what is (or was) the duration of the contract (including any extensions), and are they allowed to bid on this opportunity?

Answer #9:

Please refer to answer 1 of Amendment 3.

Question #10:

Given the complexity of the requirements and the client sign-off needed for Corporate Mandatory M1, would the Crown please grant a 2 week extension?

Answer #10:

Please see answer 8.

Solicitation No. – N° de l’invitation B8986-170040/A	Amd. No – N° de la modif. 005	Buyer ID – Id de l’acheteur 620ZM
Client Ref. No. – N° de réf. De client B8986-170040	File No. – N° du dossier 620ZM –B8986-170040	CCC No./ N° CCC – FMS No/ N° VME

APPENDIX A-002

At article 7 entitled: Task Authorization:

INSERT the following paragraph:

Allocation of Task Authorizations: More than one contract has been awarded for this requirement. As a result, the Task Authorizations issued under this series of contracts will be allocated in accordance with the following:

- (i) At the time this series of contracts was awarded, each Contractor was allocated an amount of funding as specified in the Limitation of Expenditure in respect of Task Authorizations based on the evaluation process described in the bid solicitation that resulted in the award of this series of contracts.
- (ii) Canada will make a reasonable effort to ensure that the dollar value of the TAs issued to the Contractors are proportionally balanced throughout the Contract Period based on the percentage values in the Fund Allocation Formula. A review of TAs issued to the Contractors will be conducted at six-month intervals and at the beginning of each fiscal year to confirm proportional utilization and distribution of the TAs. Should a contractor refuse a TA under the Contract the next Contractor, under the same allocation process, will be offered the draft TA. The dollar value of the refused TA will be subtracted from the dollar value of the Contractor’s Contract and may be re-allocated, at the Contracting Authority’s sole discretion, in whole or in part, to one or more of the other Contractors. Should all Contractors refuse a TA under the Contract, Canada reserves the right to use other methods of supply. In the event that Canada determines the proposed resource(s) does not meet the minimum experience or other requirements of the categories identified in the draft TA, Canada may, at its entire discretion request that the contractor propose another resource and the contractor will have the time set out in the subparagraph “Contractor’s Response to Draft Task Authorization” to respond. If the contractor fails to respond on time or Canada determines that the proposed resource(s) does not meet the minimum experience or other requirements of the categories identified in the draft TA, the draft TA will be forwarded to the next contractor, under the same allocation process.

At Attachment 4.1 – Mandatory Technical Criteria, the criteria RT10 has been amended as follow:

DELETE Attachment 4.1 – Mandatory Technical Criteria (Revised November 8, 2016)

INSERT ***Attachment 4.1 – Mandatory Technical Criteria (Revised November 18, 2016)***