



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions

- TPSGC

11 Laurier St./ 11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

Revision to a Request for a Standing Offer

Révision à une demande d'offre à commandes

National Individual Standing Offer (NISO)

Offre à commandes individuelle nationale (OCIN)

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Offer remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'offre demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

This document contains a security requirement.

Ce document contient une condition de sécurité.

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Maintenance & Professional Consulting Services

Division (FK)

11 Laurier St./ 11, rue Laurier

3C2, Place du Portage, Phase III

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet SMART BUILDING SERVICES		
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EN438-170958/A		Date 2016-12-01
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 20170958		Amendment No. - N° modif. 006
File No. - N° de dossier fk289.EN438-170958	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME	
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$FK-289-71747		
Date of Original Request for Standing Offer Date de la demande de l'offre à commandes originale		2016-10-20
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2016-12-21		Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Standard Time EST
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Maquiling(fk div), Amalia O.		Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur fk289
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (873) 469-4886 ()		FAX No. - N° de FAX (819) 956-3600
Delivery Required - Livraison exigée		
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:		
Security - Sécurité This revision does not change the security requirements of the Offer. Cette révision ne change pas les besoins en matière de sécurité de la présente offre.		

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Acknowledgement copy required Accusé de réception requis	Yes - Oui <input type="checkbox"/>	No - Non <input type="checkbox"/>
The Offeror hereby acknowledges this revision to its Offer. Le proposant constate, par la présente, cette révision à son offre.		
Signature	Date	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of offeror. (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du proposant. (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)		
For the Minister - Pour le Ministre		

This solicitation amendment 006 is issued to answer questions raised from the Industry.

Q1 PART C, section 11. e) of the Security Requirements Check List indicates that there will NOT be an electronic link between the supplier's IT systems and the government department or agency. A fundamental component of the smart building services solution involves the collection of data from crown BAS on regular intervals which will require an electronic link to collect this data. Can the crown please provide a definition for "electronic link" and additional details explaining why the SRCL indicates an electronic link is NOT required?

R1. The limitation is that the Offeror solution cannot connect to or assume availability of an existing data network in the building. On the other hand, it is expected that the solution will connect to the existing Building Automation System (BAS) and will provide connectivity between the solution and the Offeror's analytics engine (e.g. the Cloud).

Q2 With respect to the response to Question 1, Amendment #1 - As Offeror, our Canadian company leverages the experience of global Smart Buildings projects that have been delivered by our parent company and its affiliates. Please confirm that corporate project references from our parent company and affiliates will be accepted by the Crown for requirement 4.3.1.2.

R2 Offeror's experience may include project references completed outside of Canada and fulfilled by the offeror or the members of the joint venture. A corporation is an independent legal entity and as defined the experience of a parent or affiliate company would be that of another legal entity and can't be used by the offeror as part of its experience qualifications.

Q3 - will each of Canada's buildings allow the supplier's hardware device to sit within the building's network such that the device can be accessed via the internet?

R3. See answer to Question 2. Additionally, there is no limitation on placing Offeror's hardware (e.g. a gateway) in the building (within the reasonable space limitations). However, the Offeror cannot assume Internet access to any part of the building. If such access is required, the Offeror has to furnish the facilities and ensure security, according to Security requirements.

Q4 Can you please clarify whether the requirement for 30 page limit apply only to the technical proposal for meeting the technical requirements under the 4.3.1 or jointly to both technical and mandatory requirements (4.3.1 + 4.3.2). Can we address the mandatory requirements 4.3.2 as a separate section (outside the 30 page limit)?

R4. The page limitation is applicable only to the rated requirements under Part 4 as per the RFSO. The rated requirements are under 4.3.2 – Point Rated Technical Requirements. All other requirements outside of 4.3.2 are not subject to a page limitation.

Q5 No energy audits exists for Alvin Hamilton building in Regina, SK and the North West Atlantic Fisheries Centre in St. John's, NL.

R5 PSPC has just uploaded Building Condition Reports for these sites as background information reference documents for these two sites.

Q6 The 12096PRapp for Shawinigan is in French. Is there an English copy of this audit?

R6 Energy audit is in French only as most of the background information reference documents in Quebec region are in French.

No other changes apply.