

Questions and Answers:

Q1. Could you please provide Annex C and D as listed in summary of work section 01 11 00 page 1 1.2 .4 and .5

A1. Annex C is included as attachment labelled [final report 66 68 alder drive inuvik nt.pdf](#)
Annex D is included as attachment labelled [d2 revised - 221 mackenzie and 18 camsell.pdf](#)

Q2. Please provide Section 2.1 as referred to in section 31 23 01 page 7 3.7 Fill Types and Compaction .1 or please clarify

A2. The reference to point 2.1 is within section 31 23 33.01. There is no separate document outlining the requirements. ASTM D698 should be referenced for additional information.

Q3. What was the intended start time or completion date for this project? Cost impact to abatement work during freezing weather.

A3. Yes the work would need to start as soon as possible to have it completed before March 31st.

Q4. Annex A has the roofs of 66/68 Alder as asbestos containing. The one sample taken was listed as non-asbestos containing. Is this an error on the Annex A?

A4. Annex A is correct. Sampling was conducted on 30 August 2016. Asbestos containing mastic was identified on the 66 Alder roof (contains 2% Chrysotile asbestos fibres). The roofing materials including mastic are to be considered asbestos containing for 66 Alder and 68 Alder. Lab results attached.

Q5. Is there a requirement for the removal of the utilidor at all the sites, or just a requirement to disconnect them?

A5. Anything to do with utilities and disconnection will come from the AHJ. When the contractors apply for a demolition permit through the municipality they will be given direction on what they need to do – it's part of the process. The specs should say that they will abide by the AHJ's requirements for this.