Public Works and Government Services Canada | Travaux publics et Services gouvernementaux Canada

RETURN BIDS TO:
RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Regional Manager/Real Property Contracting/PWGSC
Ontario Region, Tendering Office
12th Floor, 4900 Yonge Street
Toronto, Ontario
M2N 6A6
Ontario

Revision to a Request for a Standing Offer
Révision à une demande d'offre à commandes

Departmental Individual Standing Offer (DISO)

Offre à commandes individuelle du département(OCID)

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Offer remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'offre demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Regional Manager/Real Property Contracting/PWGSC
Ontario Region, Tendering Office
12th Floor, 4900 Yonge Street
Toronto, Ontario
M2N 6A6
Ontario

Title - Sujet Geotechnical Engineering RFSO	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EQ754-171056/A	**Date** 2016-12-07
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 20171056	**Amendment No. - N° modif.** 002
File No. - N° de dossier PWL-6-39088 (029)	**CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME**
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-$PWL-029-2240	
Date of Original Request for Standing Offer **Date de la demande de l'offre à commandes originale**	2016-11-23
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin **at - à 02:00 PM** **on - le 2017-01-05**	**Time Zone** **Fuseau horaire** Eastern Standard Time EST
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Fok, Bo See	**Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur** pwl029
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (416) 590-8254 ( )	**FAX No. - N° de FAX** (416) 512-5862
Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: **Destination - des biens, services et construction:** Ontario Region	
Security - Sécurité This revision does not change the security requirements of the Offer. Cette révision ne change pas les besoins en matière de sécurité de la présente offre.	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Acknowledgement copy required **Accusé de réception requis**	**Yes - Oui** ☐	**No - Non** ☐

The Offeror hereby acknowledges this revision to its Offer.
Le proposant constate, par la présente, cette révision à son offre.

Signature **Date**

Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of offeror. (type or print)
Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du proposant.
(taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)

For the Minister - Pour le Ministre

Canada

Solicitation No. - N° du contrat	Amd. No. - N° de la modif.	Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur
EQ754-171056/A		pwl029
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client	File No. - N° du dossier	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
EQ754-171056	PWL-6-39088	

Amendment No. 002

This amendment is being raised to provide responses to Requests for Clarification.

Requests for Clarification

Q1: Why are geotechnical engineers designing footings, piles, developing contracts for construction, and the like. Designing foundation elements are structural engineering matters. This is the American model in some states. We are not the USA. Many of the features are not geotechnical matters. The same for many of the services being listed as being needed. Can these strange features be rectified and removed and or can we sub these out?

A1: In some cases, the services identified in the RFSO will be required in order to expedite some projects. A firm is to have the in-house capacity or joint venture arrangement to complete all services in the RFSO.

Q2: Why are there strange features such as persons with a firm may only use resume experience while at the firm. If we sub out regularly, then in theory the sub cannot work for us on the soa as the resume is not relevant. Further if we hire a senior person with 40 years experience in geotechnical now and we have a person with 2 years experience at our firm, then the 40 year person is treated as though they have no experience. Can these two strange matters be rectified?

A2: This is not a requirement identified in the SRE. Past projects had to be completed by the firm. However, to clarify, Personnel are required to be in-house to the firm. Experience is not identified as having to be acquired while working at the firm.

Q3: While the sample projects read like geotechnical matters, why is the rest so strange? Our intent is to bid the strange stuff, but it is strange. In my opinion based on almost 40 years in the business.

A3: The past Geotechnical Engineering and Materials Testing Standing Offers have been established and periodically reviewed. The current RFSO is based upon what has been successful in the past.

Q4: On Page 79 of 102, in Paragraph 3.2.6.2 (e), there is a reference to “CSU/Client environment.” Can you please define this term?

A4: CSU stands for Client Service Unit. CSU/Client environment is the Departmental Representative, Public Works and Government Services Canada (PWGSC) and their client, another government department.

All other terms and conditions remain the same.